

50-FT-057/5.0

Contractor Performance Report

CONFIDENTIAL WHEN COMPLETED

CPR creation date:

(Refer to Guideline for Contractor Performance Reporting -50-PR-047)

Contract Details									
Contract No.			Perce	entage comp	lete at da	ate of re	eport		
Contract Title									
Program				Project					
Contractor's Company / Organisation Name									
Contract Category									
Contract Scope Summary									
Contractor's Representative	Name					Posit	ion		
	Tel/Mobile					Emai	I		
Reason for Report				_					
Progress	March			Septe	mber			 	
Kov Milostopo	Practical Completion Unsatisfactory					perform	nance		
Key Milestone	Finalisati	on		Termi	nation				
Contract Price			Contra	act Price as \	/aried				
Contract Start Date									
Original Date for Completion			2	ed Contractı etion Date	ıal				

Contractor's Performance

Evaluation Criteria:	Not Applicable (PSC's only)	A Unsatisfactory# O Points	B Marginal 3 Points	C Acceptable 5 Points	D Good 7 Points	E Superior [#] 10 Points
Cost management						
Comments:						
Time management						
Comments:						
Standard of work						
Comments:						
Auditing						
Comments:						



50-FT-057/5.0

Evaluation Criteria:	Not Applicable	A Unsatisfactory# 0 Points	B Marginal 3 Points	C Acceptable 5 Points	D Good 7 Points	E Superior [#]
	(PSC's only)	0 Points	3 Points	5 Points	7 Points	10 Points
Personnel						
Comments:						
Subcontractor/subconsul	tant					
Comments:						
Contract administration						
Comments:						
Claims						
Comments:						
Co-operative relations						
Comments:						
Design						
Comments:						
OHS&R						
Comments:						
Rail safety*						
Comments:						
Reliability of operation*						
Comments:						



50-FT-057/5.0

Evaluation Criteria:	Not Applicable (PSC's only)	A Unsatisfactory# 0 Points	B Marg 3 Po	inal	C Acceptable 5 Points	D Good 7 Points	E Superior [#] 10 Points
Authority approvals							
Comments:							
Industrial relations*							
Comments:							
Environmental manageme	ent*						
Comments:							
Community relations*							
Comments:							
		(Dalaw 2004)	(20%)	100()	(F0%) to (00%)	(CO)(+- 0.40()	(05 %) and an ()
Overall Performance:		(Below 30%) Unsatisfactory	(30% to Margir		(50% to 62%) Acceptable	(63% to 84%) Good	(85% and over) Superior
Suitable for further work:	Suitable	Not S	uitable^		t Suitable", conta tor, or Director Pr	-	

* May not be applicable for some Professional Service Contracts (PSC's) ^ If Not Suitable" is ticked, detailed reasons must be given.

Wherever superior or unsatisfactory score is given, evidence must be provided in the form of explanation in the comments section where this score has been given.



The unsatisfactory aspects were discussed with the Contractor / PSC at a meeting held:

General Comments:



Reporting Manager:	Reporting Manager's Signature:
	Name:
	Title:
	Email:
	Date:
Reviewing Manager:	Reviewing Manager's Signature:
Reviewing Manager:	
Reviewing Manager:	Name:
Reviewing Manager:	
Reviewing Manager:	Name:
Reviewing Manager:	Name: Title:
Reviewing Manager:	Name: Title: Email:

Distribution:

- 1. Contractor's Representative
- 2. TfNSW's Reporting Manager / Reviewing Manager
- 3. TPD's Commercial Group