

MEETING NOTES



Name of meeting: Foxground & Berry Bypass Route Comparison Study: Technical Investigation Group
Weekly Progress/Coordination Meeting 7

Location of meeting: RMS Project Office, Pyrmont

Meeting facilitator: Steve Zhivanovich

Date: 21/03/2012 **Time:** 10am

Attendees:	Name	Initials	Organisation
	Adam Berry	AB	RMS
	Henk Buys	HB	AECOM
	Derrick Hitchins	DH	SMEC (External Reviewer)
	Phil Jorgensen	PJ	Evans & Peck
	David Kennewell	DK	AECOM
	Annabel Killen	AK	Evans & Peck
	Chris Masters	CM	SMEC (External Reviewer)
	Michael Moore	MM	Evans & Peck
	Ken O'Neill	KO	Aurecon
	Basil Pazpinis	BP	RMS (Internal Reviewer)
	John Poposki	JP	RMS
	Raj Rajeswaran	TR	Evans & Peck
	Peter Stewart	PS	Peter Stewart Consulting
	Alan Thomas	AT	RMS (Internal Reviewer)
	Jon Williamson	JonW	AECOM
	Steven Zhivanovich	SZ	RMS

Additional distribution:	Name	Initials	Organisation
	Ron de Rooy	RdR	RMS
	Glen Smith	GS	AECOM
	Stuart Dalziel	SD	AECOM

	Item		
1.	Review and update of outstanding actions from previous minutes	Minutes attached	Noted
2.	Outcomes from 19 March Q&A session	Preliminary records from Q&A session distributed for review and comment.	ALL
	2.1. Estimating	Provision for additional pedestrian bridge linking North Street over the north bypass route: Currently	PJ

		the north route does not provide for such a structure and the potential cost impact is to be discussed in the report. A separate line item will be included below the bottom line cost for the north route.	
		Cost estimate structure queried, noted that it is consistent with the current RMS cost estimate structure guidelines	Noted
		Cost estimate structure to be communicated as early as possible to the community. Noted that final output from cost estimate will not be known until conclusion of the group's work however a blank template showing estimate structure to be prepared and released prior to this date following review by BP and AB.	PJ
		Initial review of preliminary notes completed	Noted
	2.2. Geotechnical	Initial review of preliminary notes completed	Noted
	2.3. Structures	Initial review of preliminary notes completed	Noted
	2.4. Constructability	Initial review of preliminary notes completed	Noted
	2.5. Flood investigations	The issue of having a "like for like" comparison with regards to flood immunity for the town was considered: resolution required on what constitutes "like for like" for the purposes of this investigation. Further discussions on this required.	SZ
		Initial review of preliminary notes completed	Noted
3.	Outcomes from 15 March discussion with Mr. Bruce Ramsay	Meeting notes as published on the website reviewed. Variations were presented on the current alignment and that these would be noted however at this stage the cost estimate exercise was proceeding using the current horizontal alignment	Noted
4.	Process of reviewers engagement and interface with TIG	Reviewers presence at interim estimating review 21/3/12 confirmed. Reviewers to have access to any materials generated by the TIG. Timing of reviewers reports: After TIG report is complete (now anticipated late April) and to be complete two weeks after that. Reviewer's reports	Noted

		will be delivered to RMS General Manager Project Development (GMPD). Reviewers will provide interim briefings to GMPD on progress.	
5.	Design development: Southern suggestion Northern preferred option developments	Use of pile caps to be determined: Waiting on results from geotechnical fieldwork Documentation indicating southern suggestion noise barrier requirements to be produced	GS AB / SZ
6.	Flood investigations and assessment Engagement and interface with independent flood modelling reviewer – Lyall & Associates	Modelling on island embankment progressing. Scope of works to be confirmed by 23/03/	DK SZ
7.	Geotech investigations (desk top)	No issues raised	HB
8.	Geotech investigations (fieldwork)	Geotech is progressing. CPT rig is being mobilised however has not yet arrived.	AB
9.	Property severance and adjustments	Appropriate method of calculating property acquisition cost discussed. AB to distribute notes detailing method to be progressed.	AB
10.	Structures	No issues raised	KO'N
11.	RailCorp interface	Outstanding points to be clarified: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Allowance for raising of rail line. - Whether overhead electrification can be incorporated into the structure. 	AB / RdR
12.	Construction methods	Work is progressing.	PS
13.	Mass Haul Analysis	Work is progressing.	PS
14.	Estimating	Interim estimation review to be held 21/03/2012.	PJ
15.	Construction Programming	Progress on construction programming to be discussed during interim estimation review.	MM
16.	Comparative report Preparing draft inputs	Work is progressing.	AK

17.	AOB	Issue raised regarding the publication of technical information on the project website without prior review by the relevant technical specialist. Process to ensure quality control of published information to be developed and implemented.	JW
-----	-----	---	----