

Appendix E

Traffic and transport impact assessment



Transport for NSW

Townson Road Upgrade between Jersey Road and Burdekin Road - Stage 2 Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment

April 2022

This report has been prepared by GHD for Transport for New South Wales and may only be used and relied on by Transport for New South Wales for the purpose agreed between GHD and the Transport for New South Wales as set out in this report.

GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than Transport for New South Wales arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible.

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on conditions encountered and information reviewed at the date of preparation of the report. GHD has no responsibility or obligation to update this report to account for events or changes occurring subsequent to the date that the report was prepared.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in this report. GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

GHD has prepared this report on the basis of information provided by Transport for New South Wales and others who provided information to GHD (including Government authorities), which GHD has not independently verified or checked beyond the agreed scope of work. GHD does not accept liability in connection with such unverified information, including errors and omissions in the report which were caused by errors or omissions in that information.

Table of contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Proposal outline	1
1.3	Scope of this assessment	4
1.4	Report structure	4
1.5	Assumptions and agreed methodology	5
2.	Methodology	6
3.	Existing road and traffic conditions	10
3.1	Existing land uses	10
3.2	Existing road network characteristics	12
3.3	Existing traffic volumes	19
3.4	Traffic modelling of existing conditions	26
4.	Future Traffic Growth on Townson Road	28
4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	Background traffic growth	28
4.3	Future development traffic	29
4.4	Future traffic accesses	36
4.5	Summary and conclusion	38
5.	Future Traffic Performance on Townson Road	41
5.1	Assessment Criteria	41
5.2	Richmond Road and Townson Road	42
5.3	Stage 2: Townson Road and Veron Road	44
5.4	Summary of results: Townson Road and Victory Road (Stage 1)	48
5.5	Summary of Results: Townson Road and "New Road" (Stage 1)	50
5.6	Summary of findings	51
5.7	Closure of Railway Terrace	53
6.	Construction traffic impact assessment	54
6.1	Potential construction traffic generation	54
6.2	Work activities	54
6.3	Construction activity traffic generation	54
6.4	Construction traffic access and trip distribution	55
6.5	Parking for construction workers	55
6.6	Public transport	55
7.	Cumulative traffic impacts	56
8.	Mitigation measures	57
8.1	Preliminary construction traffic management plan	57
8.2	Operational mitigation measure	64
9.	Conclusion and recommendations	65

9.1	Operational impacts	65
9.2	Construction impacts	66
9.3	Mitigation measures	67
10.	References	68

Table index

Table 3.1	Townson Road key features	13
Table 3.2	Bus services at Schofield Station	15
Table 3.3	Crash summary	18
Table 3.4	Weekday Peak Hour Calibration Summary – Base Model (2019)	26
Table 3.5	Calibration Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines	27
Table 4.1	Estimated future background traffic growth	29
Table 4.2	Development land use and size considered	30
Table 4.3	Summary of applicable trip generation rates	31
Table 4.4	Development trip generation by 2026 and 2036	32
Table 4.5	Assumed access of proposed developments	37
Table 4.6	Development traffic by proposed access roads –Stage 1 interim phase- AM peak	37
Table 4.7	Development traffic by proposed access roads –Stage 1 interim phase– PM peak	37
Table 4.8	Development traffic by proposed access roads – Stage 1 ultimate phase and Stage 2 – AM peak	38
Table 4.9	Development traffic by proposed access roads – Stage 1 ultimate phase and Stage 2 – PM peak	38
Table 5.1	Level of Service Criteria (TfNSW)	41
Table 5.2	Assessed options for Stage 2 work	41
Table 5.3	2026 results – Richmond Road and Townson Road	44
Table 5.4	2036 results – Richmond Road and Townson Road	44
Table 5.5	Intersection performance of revised layout Townson Road and Veron Road 2026	45
Table 5.6	Intersection performance of revised layout Townson Road and Veron Road 2036	46
Table 5.7	Modelled signal settings at Townson Road and Veron Road	46
Table 5.8	Intersection performance of Townson Road and Victory Road intersection –	48
Table 5.9	Intersection performance of Townson Road and New Road intersection –2026	50
Table 5.10	Level of Service results summary (2026 and 2036)	52
Table 9.1	Level of Service results summary (2036)	66

Figure index

Figure 1.1	Location of the proposal	2
Figure 1.2	Key features of the proposal.....	3
Figure 2.1	Townson Road staging and transport network.....	7
Figure 2.2	Scope for microsimulation model.....	8
Figure 3.1	Land zoning within the Townson Road to Burdekin Road Corridor.....	11
Figure 3.2	Townson Road viewed east.....	13
Figure 3.3	Signposted speed limits.....	14
Figure 3.4	Modal split (2017/18)	15
Figure 3.5	Travel by purpose split (2017/18)	15
Figure 3.6	Existing cycle routes	17
Figure 3.7	Crash map (2014 – 2018).....	18
Figure 3.8	Traffic data collection locations.....	19
Figure 3.9	AM peak hour 7.15 – 8.15	21
Figure 3.10	PM peak hour 4.45 to 5.45	21
Figure 3.11	Weekday average traffic profile at South Street (between Richmond Road and Fulton Road)	22
Figure 3.12	Weekday average traffic profile at Schofields Road (between Railway Terrace and Junction Road).....	22
Figure 3.13	Weekday average traffic profile at Quakers Hill Parkway (between Eastern Road and Nirimba Drive)	23
Figure 3.14	Weekday average traffic profile at Richmond Road (between Alderton Drive and Hollinsworth Road).....	23
Figure 3.15	Weekday average traffic profile at Railway Terrace (between Jerralong Drive and Woolworths Schofields Access).....	23
Figure 3.16	Travel time routes	25
Figure 4.1	Background traffic zones from STFM	28
Figure 4.2	Daily Traffic Generation (2026 and 2036).....	33
Figure 4.3	AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (2026 and 2036).....	34
Figure 4.4	PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (2026 and 2036).....	35
Figure 4.5	Future traffic accesses from the proposed developments.....	36
Figure 4.6	2026 AM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)	39
Figure 4.7	2026 PM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)	39
Figure 4.8	2036 AM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)	40
Figure 4.9	2036 PM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)	40

Figure 5.1	Assumed upgrades at Richmond Road and Townson Road (2036)	42
Figure 5.2	Intersection layout at Richmond Road and Townson Road	43
Figure 5.3	Indicative single slip lane configuration	43
Figure 5.4	Revised intersection layout at Townson Road and Veron Road	45
Figure 5.5	Constrained capacity at northbound and southbound approaches	48
Figure 5.6	Original design layout at Victory Road and Townson Road	49
Figure 5.7	Modelled intersection layout at Townson Road and Victory Road	49
Figure 5.8	Original design layout of New Road and Townson Road	50
Figure 5.9	Modelled intersection layout at Townson Road and New Road	51
Figure 5.10	Constrained capacity at northbound and southbound approaches at Veron Road intersection	53
Figure 8.1	Example traffic guidance scheme (TGS) for road closures and detours	59

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

A four-lane divided road is proposed along the Townson Road/Burdekin Road corridor linking Richmond Road, Marsden Park in the west with Burdekin Road, Schofields in the east. The length of the overall program of work is about 3.6 kilometres.

The overall program of work consists of two stages:

- Stage 1 involves an upgrade of about 1.6 kilometres of road extending from Richmond Road to south of Jersey Road. This stage is being delivered within an interim and ultimate phase, subject to a separate planning approval.
- Stage 2 is about 2.0 kilometres in length involving the construction of a new road between the Stage 1 tie-in and Burdekin Road (referred to as ‘the proposal’ for the purposes of this assessment).

The proposal is located within the Marsden Park Industrial and West Schofields precincts of the North West Growth Area, about 37 kilometres north-west of the Sydney central business district and three kilometres west of Schofields (see Figure 1.1).


The roads authority is the proponent of the proposal, and an environmental assessment in the form of a review of environmental factors (REF) is being prepared in accordance with the requirements of Division 5.1 of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act). Transport for NSW has prepared the concept design and BCC will prepare the detailed design and will construct the proposal.

This report assesses and documents the potential traffic and transport impacts of the proposal.

1.2 Proposal outline



The key features of the proposal are shown in Figure 1.2 and include:

- Constructing a new median separated dual carriageway through greenfield sites that is approximately 2.0 kilometres in length connecting Stage 1 in the west with Burdekin Road in the east
- Constructing a 300-metre long viaduct over Eastern Creek
- Providing a signalised intersection at Veron Road with pedestrian crossing facilities
- Providing a 2.5 metre wide shared path for pedestrians and cyclists on the southern side of the carriageway up to Veron Road, then 1.5 metre footpath to Burdekin Road
- Providing a 1.5 metre wide footpath on the northern side of the carriageway along the length of the proposal
- Constructing a vehicular and pedestrian bridge over the T1 Western Line with associated retaining walls and embankments before tying into Burdekin Road.



LEGEND

- Locality
- The proposal **Subject to detailed design*
- Minor road
- Railway
- Major road
- Watercourse
- Site location



Map Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Horizontal Datum: GDA 1994
 Grid: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56



**Transport for NSW
 Townson Road Upgrade Stage 2
 Burdekin Road**

Project No. 21-12511195
 Revision No. -
 Date 23/03/2022


Location of the proposal

FIGURE 1.1

G:\2112511195\GIS\Maps\Deliverables\21_12511195_Z037_Stage2_Locality_AllSpecialists.mxd
 Print date: 23 Mar 2022 - 12:35



Whilst every care has been taken to prepare this map, GHD (and Nearmap) make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose and cannot accept liability and responsibility of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred by any party as a result of the map being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.

Data source: MetroMap - Imagery (date extracted: 23/03/2022) , General topography - DPI 2015, Roads - DSFI2019. , Created by: eibbertson



LEGEND

- The proposal **Subject to detailed design*
- Compound site
- Watercourse
- Construction footprint
- Drainage basin
- Cadastre



Map Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Horizontal Datum: GDA 1994
 Grid: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56



Transport for NSW
 Townson Road Upgrade Stage 2
 Burdekin Road
The Stage 2 Proposal

Project No. 21-12511195
 Revision No. -
 Date 28/10/2021

FIGURE 1.2

1.3 Scope of this assessment

The purpose of this report is to document the results of the assessment of the potential traffic and transport impacts of the operation and construction of the proposal. This report supports the REF for the proposal. The scope of assessment included:

- Review of the current situation and forecast land use changes in the NWGA as envisaged in the North-West Growth Centre Structure Plan.
- Provide the traffic modelling to assess the proposal under future network conditions assuming the connection of Townson Road to Burdekin Road in 2026 and 2036, focusing on Townson Road and Veron Road intersection.
- Use the microscopic traffic model and intersection model to inform the concept and detailed road design for the proposal.
- Determine key infrastructure requirements for vehicles, public and active transport.

GHD's traffic modelling methodology was agreed with TfNSW in August 2019, that a microscopic model of the Townson Road and Burdekin Road corridor should be developed in AIMSUN, capturing the future land uses directly served by this corridor, between Richmond Road and Veron Road. The primary function of this microscopic model is to test the intersection layouts and lane configurations that form part of proposal only.

1.4 Report structure

The report is comprised of the following sections:

- **Section 2 – Methodology**, discusses the steps and methods undertaken to assess the existing and future traffic and transport conditions of the proposal.
- **Section 3 – Existing traffic condition**, supplemented by the microsimulation traffic model results documented in the *Townson Road and Burdekin Road Design and EA Base Traffic Model Report* (GHD, November 2019). Hereafter this is referred to be *Existing Condition Report*.
- **Section 4 – Operational traffic impact assessment** discusses the operation of future traffic growth within the study area, according to the Sydney Traffic Forecasting Model (STFM) and outputs provided by TfNSW for horizon years 2026 and 2036 on:
 - Conceptual design layout and the proposed improvement to the road network and intersection layouts of the proposal.
- **Section 5 – Construction traffic impact assessment** discusses the construction traffic and transport impacts of the proposal on the surrounding road network.
- **Section 6 – Cumulative impacts:** discusses the operational impacts of the proposal and results of the traffic and transport assessment.
- **Section 7 – Mitigation and management measures:** provides recommendations of proposed mitigation options for the construction and operational impacts of the proposal.
- **Section 8 – Conclusion and recommendations:** presents a summary of the traffic and transport assessment findings and sets out the principal conclusions for the study.

1.5 Assumptions and agreed methodology

The principle of the design requires the proposed intersections in the proposal corridor to accommodate the future traffic growth when the connection to Burdekin Road is implemented, forming an east-west corridor south of Schofields Road. The detailed traffic modelling was originally undertaken for Townson Road upgrade Stage 1.

At the time of assessing Stage 1 work, the traffic modelling assessment had also accounted for the impact of the completion of Stage 2 of the project, as a worst-case option from a traffic growth perspective. The traffic modelling scope of Stage 2 work was agreed to only include Townson Road and Veron Road intersection in August 2019. The traffic assessment results of for both Stage 1 and Stage 2 were reviewed by TfNSW's project team in 2020. These results were then presented in separate reports for each stage of the overall project, to accompany the Review of Environmental Factors.

Therefore, it is important to note that this report presents the traffic assessment results in relevance to Stage 2 works from the assessment developed in early 2020. The traffic modelling of the Stage 2 proposal has not been updated, as instructed by TfNSW, since early 2020 and does not capture any updates to the design or design assumptions that have evolved since.

2. Methodology

Review and summarise the existing conditions:

- This includes the operations of Townson Road and Veron Road intersection that are assumed to be subject to traffic during construction and operation. These also include Richmond Road, Victory Road, Meadow Road and Durham Road which act as road links of Stage 1 works that provide access/egress to local roads adjacent to the proposal. Figure 2.1 shows the location of Stage 1 and the proposal in relation to the surrounding road network.
- The purpose of the existing conditions assessment is to document the current operations within the study area as a point of reference for comparison to the construction and operational stages. Information gathered for the assessment includes:
 - Existing traffic turn counts for major intersections along the Townson Road corridor
 - Crash history on the proposed routes within proximity of the proposal
 - Existing public transport services in the study area (bus and train services).
- A base year traffic model has been developed that represents the AM and PM peak periods for a standard school term weekday. A base microsimulation traffic modelling using AIMSUN has been undertaken.
- Similar to our approach for recent TfNSW studies, the models have been developed to represent a two-hour peak centred in both the AM and PM peak periods. The signal timings are based on fixed time-setting using trial and error method to optimise delay and queueing. The models have been calibrated against the Roads and Maritime Traffic Modelling Guidelines (2013).
- This report also refers to the Base Model Development Report that describes, in detail and according to the Roads and Maritime Traffic Modelling Guidelines (2013), the traffic calibration and validation process and results for the 2019 traffic model. The report documents the existing traffic operations within the study area, provides a network wide line diagram showing turning movement volumes for each of the AM and PM peak hour periods and lists all agreed assumptions.
- It should be noted that since preparation of the traffic assessment, Veron Road has had its name changed to Aerodrome Drive. It continues to be referred to as Veron Road for the purpose of this assessment.
- See Section 3 for existing traffic and transport conditions.



Figure 2.1 Transport network

Carry out a construction traffic impact assessment as follows:

- Desktop review of proposed construction routes for suitability for use by construction vehicles
- Estimate peak hour construction traffic generated by the proposal based on the number of workers and on information provided by TfNSW
- Assess impacts on vulnerable transport users due to construction based on a desktop review
- Assess likely impacts on road performance as a result of the peak construction activities
- See section 6 of this report.

Carry out an operational traffic impact assessment:

- Transport for New South Wales have developed the Strategic Transport Forecast Model (STFM), using the EMME software platform. This model encompasses the whole of the Greater Metropolitan Area and forecasts traffic volumes into the future at 5-year increments. The model produces results at a strategic level only, so information from the strategic model will be used to feed into microsimulation modelling to investigate the detailed traffic impact.
- The microsimulation modelling will cover the scope identified as high-level project influence area as shown below in Figure 2.2.




Figure 2.2 Scope for microsimulation model

- Following the review by TfNSW on the base model, a future year demand estimation has been carried out, based upon:
 - Traffic forecast and project lists from the latest STFM model
 - Approved traffic generating developments within the study area.
- An assessment has been carried out on the proposed Townson Road conceptual design for the proposal for future traffic options in 2026 and 2036.
- The future traffic options have considered the completion of Stage 2, such that the future traffic growth associated with the connection of Townson Road to Burdekin Road can be assessed.
- The initial assessment on the conceptual design highlights areas of capacity constraint (if any). For locations on the corridor with capacity constraint, potential for road and intersection improvements are investigated and recommended based on the traffic assessment in this report.

- An unconstrained case has also been modelled as a sensitivity test which assumes that Richmond Road has been upgraded to six lanes (from the existing four lanes). The unconstrained case has the potential to allow more traffic from Richmond Road to travel through the Townson Road corridor during peak periods. This option tests the worst-case traffic that could be expected in the study area.
- See Section 5 for the operational traffic impact assessment.

3. Existing road and traffic conditions


This section outlines the existing traffic and transport environment within the proposal area. The data presented in this chapter represents the base or existing conditions and is based on information that was specifically sourced for the proposal.

3.1 Existing land uses

The land uses in the vicinity of the subject corridor will influence the type and volume of traffic that access the corridor. The general land zoning within the study area is provided within the *Blacktown Local Environment Plan (LEP) 2015*. Key features of land use surrounding the proposal include:

- To the north-west of the Townson Road to Burdekin Road corridor, the land is zoned as Primary Production (RU4)
- Towards the south, the land is zoned as medium density residential (R3) and private recreation (RE2)
- The area south of Burdekin Road is zoned as Low Density Residential (R2), Environmental Conservation (E2) and Public Recreation (RE1).

An extract of the land zoning map for areas within the vicinity of the proposal is shown in Figure 3.1.



Source: Blacktown Local Environmental Plan 2015

Figure 3.1 Land zoning within the Townson Road to Burdekin Road Corridor

3.2 Existing road network characteristics

This section provides an understanding of the existing road network surrounding the proposal.

3.2.1 Road hierarchy

Roads within NSW are categorised in the following two ways:

- By Classification (ownership)
- By the function that they perform.

3.2.1.1 Road classification

Roads are classified (as defined by the *Roads Act 1993*) based on their importance to the movement of people and goods within NSW (as a primary means of communication). The classification of a road allows TfNSW to exercise authority of all or part of the road. Classified roads include Main Roads, State Highways, Tourist Roads, Secondary Roads, Tollways, Freeways and Transitways.

For management purposes, TfNSW has three administrative classes of roads. These are:

- **State roads** – Major arterial links through NSW and within major urban areas. They are the principal traffic carrying roads and fully controlled by TfNSW with maintenance fully funded by TfNSW. State Roads include all Tollways, Freeways and Transitways; and all or part of a Main Road, Tourist Road or State Highway.
- **Regional roads** – Roads of secondary importance between State Roads and Local Roads which, with State Roads provide the main connections to and between smaller towns and perform a sub arterial function in major urban areas. Regional roads are the responsibility of councils for maintenance funding, though TfNSW funds some maintenance based on traffic and infrastructure. Traffic management on Regional Roads is controlled under the delegations to local government from TfNSW. Regional Roads may own all or part of a Main Road, Secondary Road, Tourist Road or State Highway; or other roads as determined by TfNSW.
- **Local roads** – The remainder of the council-controlled roads. Local Roads are the responsibility of councils for maintenance funding. TfNSW may fund some maintenance and improvements based on specific programs (eg urban bus routes, road safety programs). Traffic management on Local Roads is controlled under the delegations to local government from TfNSW.

Functional hierarchy

Functional road classification involves the relative balance of the mobility and access functions. TfNSW define four levels in a typical functional road hierarchy, ranking from high mobility and low accessibility to high accessibility and low mobility. These road classes are:

- **Arterial roads** – generally controlled by TfNSW, typically no limit in flow and designed to carry vehicles long distance between regional centres.
- **Sub-arterial roads** – can be managed by either TfNSW or local council. Typically, their operating capacity ranges between 10,000 and 20,000 vehicles per day, and their aim is to carry through traffic between specific areas in a sub-region or provide connectivity from arterial road routes (regional links).
- **Collector roads** – provide connectivity between local roads and the arterial road network and typically carry between 2,000 and 10,000 vehicles per day.

- **Local roads** – provide direct access to properties and the collector road system and typically carry between 500 and 4,000 vehicles per day.

The surrounding road network is shown in Figure 2.1.

3.2.1.2 Route description

Townson Road functions as a local road and is located between Richmond Road and Victory Road. Townson Road has the following key features as outlined in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.2.

Table 3.1 Townson Road key features

Feature	Description
Carriageway	Undivided carriageway with one lane in each direction Line marking is only available on the approaches to the intersections
Parking	Restricted parking on either side of the road
Speed Limit	60 km/h
Pedestrian Facilities	No dedicated pedestrian facilities
Bicycle Facilities	No dedicated bicycle facilities
Public Transport	No dedicated public transport facilities



Source: Google Maps

Figure 3.2 Townson Road viewed east

3.2.2 Speed limits

The signposted speed environments of the key roads of the surrounding road network are illustrated in Figure 3.3.




Source: Google Maps – modified by GHD

Figure 3.3 Signposted speed limits

3.2.3 Travel modes


In the Blacktown – North SA3 region, a total of 397,968 trips were made according to census data for 2017/2018. This equated to 3,952,652 kilometres travelled in total. Of these, 53 per cent of the trips were made by private vehicle as a driver. This is followed by travelling in a vehicle as a passenger (28 per cent). The next most common mode was by walking, which made up 9 per cent of the total trips. The modal splits for the Blacktown – North SA3 region are shown in Figure 3.4.



Source: Household Travel Survey (HTS)

Figure 3.4 Modal split (2017/18)

The proportion of trips made in the Blacktown – North SA3 region is shown in Figure 3.5. The greatest proportion of trips is by passengers, which makes up 24 per cent of the total. This is followed by social/recreation purposes at 16 per cent of the total trips. Work and educational trips both constituted nine per cent of the total trips.



Source: Household Travel Survey (HTS)

Figure 3.5 Travel by purpose split (2017/18)

3.2.4 Public and active transport

Accessibility to public transport is important for reducing the reliance on private vehicles. For new developments, a walkable distance of 400 metres to 800 metres to public transport is recommended or a 1.5-kilometre bicycle riding distance to encourage public transport use (NSW Planning, 2004). Details of the accessibility to public transport, walking and bicycle riding is provided in the following sub-sections.

3.2.4.1 Bus services

A bus interchange is located outside of Schofields Station. The bus services accessing this interchange, their associated coverage and the number of services per day are outlined in Table 3.2. Bus route 742 is the main service that operates along the existing Townson Road and Bus route 734 that operates along Burdekin Road.

Table 3.2 Bus services at Schofield Station

Route	Coverage	Frequency
734	Riverstone to Blacktown via Schofields interchange (using Burdekin Road to access Schofields Station)	Weekday 37 services Weekend 17 services
	Blacktown to Riverstone via Schofields interchange (using Burdekin Road to access Schofields Station)	Weekday 37 services Weekend 17 services
751	Blacktown to Rouse Hill Town Centre	Weekday 39 services Weekend 16 services
	Rouse Hill Town Centre to Blacktown	Weekday 47 services Weekend 27 services

Route	Coverage	Frequency
742	Marsden Park to Rouse Hill	Weekday 15 services Weekend 6 services
	Rouse Hill to Marsden Park	Weekday 15 services Weekend 6 services
748	Marsden Park to Rouse Hill, via Schofields interchange (using South Street/Railway Terrace to access Schofields Station)	Weekday 49 services Weekend 34 services
	Rouse Hill to Marsden Park, via Schofields interchange (using South Street/Railway Terrace to access Schofields Station)	Weekday 49 services Weekend 34 services
N71	Richmond to City Town Hall via Schofields interchange (using Burdekin Road to access Schofields Station)	Weekday 5 services Weekend 5 services
	City Town Hall to Richmond via Schofields interchange (using Burdekin Road to access Schofields Station)	Weekday 5 services Weekend 5 services

Source: TfNSW (2019)

3.2.4.2 Rail services

Schofields Station and Quakers Hill Station are the two railway stations which are closest to the Townson Road to Burdekin Road Corridor. The stations are serviced by the T1 Western Line and the T5 Cumberland Line, providing trains to the City and Leppington respectively, via Blacktown and Parramatta. Schofields Station also features a bus interchange on the eastern side, allowing passengers to change between local bus routes and train services.

3.2.4.3 Cycling


TfNSW Cycleway Finder has been used to identify the bicycle paths in the vicinity of the proposal, as shown in Figure 3.6.

The figure indicates that along Townson Road there are no existing cycle track provisions. There is one section however, which is located at the Townson Road/Victory Road roundabout south approach that provides a cycle crossing and connects to an off-road shared path. This shared path continues for approximately 75 metres along the eastern side of Victory Road, where it terminates.

Along Richmond Road, off-road shared paths are available on both sides of the road. These shared paths are connected by signalised cycle lantern crossings at the intersection of Townson Road/Richmond Road/Hollinsworth Road on all four approaches.

Towards the east of the proposal, an off-road shared path is available on the northern side of Burdekin Road. The shared path begins at the end of Railway Terrace to the south of Schofields Station and continues for the length of Burdekin Road.

A bicycle rack is provided on the western side of Schofields Station.



Source: TfNSW Cycleway Finder

Figure 3.6 Existing cycle routes

3.2.4.4 Walking

There are no provisions for pedestrian infrastructure along Townson Road. However, a pedestrian footpath is provided at the Townson Road/Victory Road roundabout southern approach. This footpath extends along the length of Victory Road on the western side. Towards the east of the study area, pedestrian infrastructure in the form of the shared path is provided on the northern side of Burdekin Road.

3.2.5 Crash analysis

A review of crash data in proximity to the proposal from the *Transport for NSW Centre for Road Safety* website has been undertaken for a five-year period (2013 - 2017).

A summary of the five-year crash data resulting in injuries is summarised in Table 3.3, with the crash locations shown in Figure 3.7.

A total of 11 crashes were recorded:

- Three resulted in serious injuries
- Three resulted in minor/moderate injuries
- Five were “non-injury” crashes
- No fatalities occurred.



Source: Transport for NSW Centre for Road Safety website (modified by GHD)

Figure 3.7 Crash map (2014 – 2018)

Table 3.3 Crash summary

Location	Number of injuries			
	Fatal	Serious	Moderate/ Minor	No injury
Townson Road to Burdekin Road	0	3	3	5
Predominate Crash Type	RUM Code	Number of Crashes		
Cross traffic	10	1		
Head on	20	2		
Object on road	66	1		
Off road left into object	71	2		
Off road to right	72	1		
Other same direction	39	1		
Right rear	32	2		
Struck animal	67	1		
	TOTAL	11		


3.3 Existing traffic volumes

3.3.1 Data collection

Traffic data collection was conducted by Matrix and Transport Data (Matrix) on Tuesday 3 September 2019. The surveys included:

- Classified Intersection counts – three locations
- Queue length surveys – one location
- Seven days classified mid-block traffic counts – five locations
- Travel time surveys – two routes.

Survey locations are shown in Figure 3.8.



Source: Matrix

Figure 3.8 Traffic data collection locations

A summary of the traffic counts is provided in the traffic movements diagrams in *Existing Condition Report* (GHD, 2019).

3.3.2 Classified intersection counts

Turning movement surveys were undertaken at the following three (3) intersections:

- Richmond Road and Townson Road
- Townson Road and Victory Road
- Meadow Road and Durham Road.

The surveys captured light vehicles, heavy vehicles and pedestrian activity. The surveys were undertaken in 15-minute increments on a weekday between the following times in order to identify the peak periods:

- 6 am – 9 am
- 3 pm – 6 pm.

The network AM peak hour was identified as 7.15 am to 8.15 am and the PM peak hour was identified as 4.45 pm to 5.45 pm. Peak hour volumes for each intersection are shown in Figure 3.9 and Figure 3.10.




Figure 3.9 AM peak hour 7.15 – 8.15




Figure 3.10 PM peak hour 4.45 to 5.45

3.3.3 Queue length surveys

Queue length surveys were undertaken at the Richmond Road and Townson Road intersection between 7 am - 9 am and 3 pm - 6 pm. A summary of the traffic queue length surveys is provided in *Existing Condition Report (GHD. 2019)*.

3.3.4 Midblock traffic counts

Seven-day automatic tube traffic counts (ATC) were undertaken at the following locations between Wednesday 4 September 2019 and Tuesday 10 September 2019, for 7 days and 24 hours per day:

- ATC 1 – South Street between Richmond Road and Fulton Road
- ATC 2 – Schofields Road between Railway Terrace and Junction Road
- ATC 3 – Quakers Hill Parkway between Eastern Road and Nirimba Drive
- ATC 4 – Richmond Road between Alderton Drive and Hollinsworth Road
- ATC 5 – Railway Terrace between Jerralong Drive and Woolworths Schofields Access.

The midblock traffic volumes are summarised in Figure 3.11 to Figure 3.15.




Figure 3.11 Weekday average traffic profile at South Street (between Richmond Road and Fulton Road)




Figure 3.12 Weekday average traffic profile at Schofields Road (between Railway Terrace and Junction Road)




Figure 3.13 Weekday average traffic profile at Quakers Hill Parkway (between Eastern Road and Nirimba Drive)




Figure 3.14 Weekday average traffic profile at Richmond Road (between Alderton Drive and Hollinsworth Road)




Figure 3.15 Weekday average traffic profile at Railway Terrace (between Jerralong Drive and Woolworths Schofields Access)

The data indicates that:


- The graphical representations of the daily traffic profiles in Figure 3.11 to Figure 3.15 show the peak traffic flow, with a clear “spike” in traffic volumes during their respective morning and afternoon peak periods.
- For Richmond Road, the peak traffic flow occurs during the AM peak period with most of the traffic flow heading northbound. During this period, the traffic flows peak at approximately 1,800 vehicles per hour in the northbound direction and 1,500 vehicles per hour in the southbound direction.
- For South Street (west of the Richmond Road), traffic flow is negligible, less than 100 vehicles per hour.
- Higher traffic volumes head eastbound bound in the AM peak on Schofields Road (between Railway Terrace and Junction Road) compared to the westbound direction.
- Quakers Hill Parkway (between Eastern Road and Nirimba Drive) shows significantly higher southbound traffic volumes in the AM compared to the PM peak period.
- Higher traffic volumes head southbound in the AM peak on Railway Terrace (between Jerralong Drive and Woolworths Schofields Access) compared to the northbound direction.

3.3.5 Travel time surveys

Travel time surveys (using GPS technology) as shown in Figure 3.16 were undertaken on the following routes on Tuesday 3 September 2019, between 7 am – 9 am and 4 pm – 6 pm:

- Route 1: Townson Road between Richmond Road and Victory Road (0.73 kilometres)
- Route 2: Townson Road between Victory Road and Durham Road (0.92 kilometres).

The delays at the signalised intersections along each route were recorded separately.



Source: Matrix

Figure 3.16 Travel time routes

Recorded travel time surveys were used for validation and compared against modelled travel time to validate the base microsimulation model. The average travel speed survey results demonstrated that:

The recorded average speed on Townson Road between Richmond Road and Victory Road is:

- 52 kilometres per hour in eastbound direction in AM peak
- 49 kilometres per hour in westbound direction in AM peak
- 54 kilometres per hour in eastbound direction in PM peak
- Ranges between 49 and 53 kilometres per hour in westbound direction in PM peak.

The recorded average speed on Townson Road between Victory Road and Durham Road ranges between:

- 50 kilometres per hour in the eastbound direction in the AM peak
- Ranges between 28 and 37 kilometres per hour in westbound direction in the AM peak
- Ranges between 51 and 52 kilometres per hour in eastbound direction in the PM peak
- Ranges between 35 and 41 kilometres per hour in westbound direction in the PM peak.

The westbound vehicles on Townson Road approaching Richmond Road experience delays at the intersection. This reduces the overall average speed heading west across the intersection at Richmond Road, resulting in lower speed than the posted speed limit (60 kilometres per hour).

3.3.6 Traffic signal information

SCATS Intersection Diagnostic Monitor (IDM) data for the signalised intersection of Richmond Road and Townson Road, within the microscopic model study area, was collected on 3 September 2019 from TfNSW, the same date as the site visit and traffic surveys for the classified intersection counts.

3.4 Traffic modelling of existing conditions

3.4.1 Introduction

The existing Townson Road microsimulation model was developed using AIMSUN (version 8.3.0). The *Roads and Maritime Traffic Modelling Guidelines* (2013) served as a reference in developing the microsimulation model. Model development and key output metrics are detailed in the *Base Model Report* (GHD, 2019). The remainder of this section summarises the key performance indices achieved.

3.4.2 Model calibration

The key output metrics employed to evaluate the model's accuracy in replicating the observed traffic conditions and driver behaviour were:

- Percentage Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of total modelled flows and observed counts
- Scatter plot of modelled flows and observed counts, with regression statistics (R² values)
- GEH-statistic, a form of the Chi-squared statistic, that incorporates relative and absolute differences between modelled flows and observed counts.

GHD adopted the calibration criteria and acceptability guidelines for the link and turning movement flows from the *Roads and Maritime Traffic Modelling Guidelines* (2013). Both of the AM and PM peak base models (2019) were calibrated according to the RMSE, R² values, GEH metrics and criteria set out in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4 Weekday Peak Hour Calibration Summary – Base Model (2019)

Period	Metric	Target	Status
AM Peak Hour	RMSE	Less than or equal to 30%	Calibrated
	Scatter Plot (R ²)	Greater than 90%	Calibrated
	GEH < 5	Greater than or equal to 85%	Calibrated
PM Peak Hour	RMSE	Less than or equal to 30%	Calibrated
	Scatter Plot (R ²)	Greater than 90%	Calibrated
	GEH < 5	Greater than or equal to 95%	Calibrated

Source: 'Traffic Modelling Guidelines', Roads and Maritime Services, NSW, 2013

The *Base Model Report* (GHD, 2019) contains detailed statistics of the AM and PM Base Year (2019) model calibrations.

3.4.3 Model validation

The *Roads and Maritime Traffic Modelling Guidelines* recommend the measure for travel time validation as the percentage difference between modelled and observed travel times, subject to an absolute maximum difference. Table 3.5 defines the travel time validation criterion.

Table 3.5 Calibration Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines

Criteria	Acceptability Guidelines
Modelled times along routes should be within 15% of surveyed times (or 60 seconds, if higher)	≥ 95% of routes

Source: 'Traffic Modelling Guidelines', Roads and Maritime Services, NSW, 2013

GHD validated the accuracy of the modelling results against an independent set of travel time measurements on Richmond Road and Rooty Hill Road North. The results confirmed that the calibrated Base model (2019) was validated for both AM and PM peak hours.

3.4.4 Fit for purpose

The results demonstrated that the AM and PM Base Year (2019) models were acceptably calibrated based on the industry-approved standards using observed intersection counts and travel time surveys. These validations confirmed the ability of the models to replicate travel times.

Following the review by TfNSW on the base model and the Base Model Report, it was agreed that the base model could be carried forward to the assessment of 2026 and 2036 horizon year options.

4. Future Traffic Growth on Townson Road

This section outlines the traffic growth implications after the completion of the proposal

4.1 Introduction

Future traffic estimates were carried out to determine 2026 and 2036 future traffic growth within the Townson Road Corridor. This traffic growth is attributed to new developments (see Section 4.3.1) that are planned with the information provided by TfNSW in late 2019:


- Within the study area for Stage 1 interim phase
- Within the study area for both the Stage 1 ultimate phase and Stage 2.

It was envisaged the future traffic growth within the study is comprised of the following:

- Background traffic growth projected in the wider strategic planning tools, such as the land use information in STFM provided by the TfNSW
- Traffic generated from the committed and potential developments within the study area, based on the submitted/approved planning documents provided by TfNSW.

4.2 Background traffic growth

The background traffic growth was primarily determined from the land use information in the STFM provided by the TfNSW. Background traffic growth was estimated by calculating the absolute difference in traffic volumes between the existing STFM model links and the future 2026 and 2036 model links, as shown in Figure 4.1.



Source: Sydney Strategic Travel Forecast Model TfNSW 2019

Figure 4.1 Background traffic zones from STFM

It can be seen in Figure 4.1 that zones 3 and 4 were removed from the cordon matrix of the Townson Road model. Zone 3 was attributed to future development that is not planned to access Townson Road directly. Zone 4 is attributed to trips generated by the future CSR limited development, which was more accurately estimated by proposed land use provided by TfNSW.

Matrices from the STFM model are shown in Townson Road Upgrade Stage 1 Traffic Study Report.

Background traffic growth for the Stage 2 work proposal uses the STFM zones as shown in Figure 4.1, and assumes that the Stage 2 traffic zones are included in the assessment (ie zones 6 to 10). It is worth noting that there are instances of negative background traffic growth shown for both light and heavy vehicles. This could be explained by future changes in route choice favouring Townson Road over less favourable existing route choices, the results are summarised in Table 4.1.

2019 AM peak and PM peak volumes are based on calibrated microsimulation base models. The traffic growths between 2019 and 2026 and between 2019 and 2036 horizon years are based on STFM outputs. Background traffic growth is predominantly determined by:

- The increase of traffic volumes on the Richmond Road Corridor
- The increase of traffic volumes on Townson Road and Burdekin Road corridor, after the completion of Stage 2 upgrade, including:
 - Between Burdekin Road and Richmond Road via Townson Road
 - Between Burdekin Road and New Veron Road north (connecting to Schofields Road)
 - Between New Veron Road north (Schofields Road) and Townson Road.

Table 4.1 Estimated future background traffic growth

Year	AM peak (veh/hr)		PM peak (veh/hr)	
	Light vehicle	Heavy vehicle	Light vehicle	Heavy vehicle
2019	3874	472	4330	256
2019 to 2026 background growth	1939	-12	2077	-9
	7.2% pa	-0.4% pa	6.9% pa	-0.5% pa
2019 to 2036 background growth	3694	169	3690	163
	5.6% pa	2.1% pa	5.0% pa	3.7% pa

4.3 Future development traffic

4.3.1 Proposed developments and land use

The following information was available to GHD regarding the proposed developments, provided by TfNSW, are detailed in *Townson Road Upgrade Stage 1 Report (GHD, 2020)* and summarised below:

- Property ownership Map (Transport for NSW, 2019)
- Altrove Development: anticipated number of lots and releasing timing provided by email on 8 November 2019
- Luxeland Property (68 Townson Road): provided by email on 1 November 2019
- CSR limited West Schofields Proposed Lot Yield and Delivery provided by email 27 August 2019
- Marsden Park Industrial Area, Stonecutters Ridge and the Private Dwellings estimation provided by email 14 November 2019.

GHD have made the assumptions of both dwelling numbers and completion date for the following developments as detailed in Table 4.2:

- Stonecutters Ridge Golf Club
- Kennards (no longer assessed)
- Multiple Private Properties.

A summary of each development, including lot yield and land use, is provided in Table 4.2, as advised by TfNSW. It is worth noting the completion year was benchmarked with the future horizon years to be used in traffic modelling (eg 2026 referring to prior to 2026).

Table 4.2 Development land use and size considered

Development name	Land Use	Development size	Completion by	Source
CSR	Low Density Residential	1376 Dwellings	2026	TfNSW
	Medium Density Residential	160 Dwelling	2028, assumed as 2026	TfNSW
Luxeland	Low Density Residential	240 Dwellings	2026	Luxeland
Stonecutters Ridge Golf Club	Recreational	No further developments	N/A	Stonecutters Ridge Golf Club
Private Properties (West of New Road link)	Low Density Residential	432 Dwellings (note 1)	2026	GHD assumption
	Medium Density Residential	108 Dwellings (note 1)	2026	GHD assumption
Altrove Development	Medium Density Residential	164 Dwellings	2026	TfNSW
	High Density Residential	1500 Units	2036	TfNSW
Private Properties (east of New Road link)	Low Density Residential	216 Dwellings (note 1)	2026	GHD assumption

Note 1: estimated dwelling numbers and completion date

The information provided to date was used to develop the above dwelling yields. The assumptions made GHD to summarise land use information are as follows:

- Where residential lot yield is provided, it has been assumed that one dwelling will occupy each lot.
- As residential developments are predominantly low-density (R2) within the assessment area, this assumption is deemed reasonable.
- All private properties have been assumed to be completed by 2026.
- Lot yield of private properties has been estimated based on total proposal area, with the assumption of 80 per cent being low density residential and 20 per cent being medium density residential. More specifically:
 - The total proposal area of all private properties has been approximated to be 45 hectares, of which 36 hectares are low density residential
 - Build capacities are 20 lots per hectare for low density residential and 25 lots per hectare for medium density residential as indicated in West Schofields Draft ILP, which generates 720 low density and 225 medium density dwellings.

4.3.2 Development traffic generation

Based on land use information, traffic generation for each development has been estimated by applying appropriate trip generation rates from the following guidelines:

- Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (Version 2.2), RTA, October 2002 (referred to henceforth as “Guide”)
- Roads and Maritime Guide to Traffic Generating Developments Technical Direction (TDT 2013/04a) – Updated traffic surveys, TfNSW, August 2013 (referred to henceforth as “Technical Direction”).

4.3.3 Trip generation rates

Based on development land uses, applicable trip generation rates have been extracted from the aforementioned guidelines and summarised in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3 Summary of applicable trip generation rates

Land use	Rate type	Unit	Trip rate (veh/day or /hr)			Source
			Daily	AM	PM	
Low density residential	Average	Dwelling	10.7	0.95	0.99	Technical Direction (TfNSW, 2013)
	Maximum	Dwelling	10.7	1.32	1.39	Technical Direction (TfNSW, 2013)
Medium density residential	Average	Dwelling	5.8	0.58	0.58	Guide (RTA, 2002)
	Maximum	Dwelling	6.5	0.65	0.65	Guide (RTA, 2002)
High density residential	Average	Unit	1.52	0.19	0.15	Technical Direction (TfNSW, 2013)
	Maximum	Unit	3.14	0.32	0.41	Technical Direction (TfNSW, 2013)
	Maximum	Outlet	N/A	N/A	120*	Guide (RTA, 2002)


The following assumption was made for above residential trip rates:

- All medium density residential developments assessed have been assumed to be larger units or townhouses.
- A range of trip rates is provided for daily and peak-hourly traffic respectively. The average trip rate has therefore been calculated as the average of maximum and minimum value within each range.
- For above fast food trip rates, Daily trip generation rate has been assumed to be seven times the PM peak traffic generation/ AM peak trip generation rate has been assumed to be 10 per cent that of PM peak traffic generation.

Traffic generation for each development has been obtained by applying the appropriate trip generation rate listed in Table 4.3 to development size in Table 4.2. The results are summarised in Table 4.4 for both horizon year 2026 and 2036. With the available information to GHD, for traffic modelling, it was assumed that all the proposed developments would be completed by 2026. The results are also presented in Figure 4.2, Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4.


Table 4.4 Development trip generation by 2026 and 2036

Development name	Land Use	Daily		AM Peak (per hour)		PM Peak (per hour)	
		Rate per unit	No. of Trips	Rate per unit	No. of Trips	Rate per unit	No. of Trips
CSR	Low Density Residential	10.7	14720	0.95	1310	0.99	1360
	Medium Density Residential	5.75	940	0.575	90	0.575	90
Altrove Development	Medium Density Residential	5.75	940	0.575	90	0.575	90
	High Density Residential	1.52	2280	0.19	290	0.15	230
Luxeland	Low Density Residential	10.7	2570	0.95	230	0.99	240
Stonecutters Ridge	Recreational	No further development					
Private Properties	Low Density Residential	10.7	7700	0.95	680	0.99	710
	Medium Density Residential	5.75	1290	0.575	130	0.575	130




Source: TfNSW

Figure 4.2 Daily Traffic Generation (2026 and 2036)



Source: TfNSW

Figure 4.3 AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (2026 and 2036)




Source: TfNSW

Figure 4.4 PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (2026 and 2036)

4.4 Future traffic accesses

The future traffic accesses from new developments are summarised in Figure 4.5 and Table 4.5, based on the assumptions agreed by TfNSW.



Source: TfNSW

Figure 4.5 Future traffic accesses from the proposed developments

Table 4.5 Assumed access of proposed developments

Development name	Land Use	Proposed Access	
		Road Name	% of Trips
CSR North	Low Density Residential	Victory Road North	30
		New Road North	30
		Schofields Road (Note 1)	40
CSR South	Low Density Residential	New Road South	100
Luxeland	Low Density Residential	LILO access	14
		Victory Road South	56
		Alderton Drive (Note 1)	30
Private property 1	Low Density Residential	Victory Road North	100
Private property 2	Medium Density Residential	New Road South	100
Private property 3 and 4		New Road North	50
		Veron Road North	50
Altrove Development	Medium Density Residential	Veron Road South	80
		Internal local street to Schofields Road (Note 1)	20

Note 1: Accesses are outside Townson Road corridor

Table 4.6 to Table 4.9 summarise the estimated hourly development traffic using each access road on the Towson Road and Burdekin Road Corridor. It is worth noting the traffic volumes presented in the tables below do not include (in addition to) the background traffic growth from STFM discussed in section 3.2.

Table 4.6 Development traffic by proposed access roads –Stage 1 interim phase- AM peak

Summary of Access Roads Volumes to Townson Road (veh/hr)			AM IN	AM OUT
Road Name	Zone	Total	20%	80%
Luxeland LILO	15	32	6	26
Victory Road North	13	801	160	641
Victory Road South	11	129	26	103
New Road North	5	257	51	206
New Road South	14	370	74	296
Veron Road North	6	0	0	0
Veron Road South	10	0	0	0
Total		1590	318	1272

Table 4.7 Development traffic by proposed access roads –Stage 1 interim phase- PM peak

Summary of Access Roads Volumes to Townson Road (veh/hr)			PM IN	PM OUT
Road Name	Zone	Total	80%	20%
Luxeland LILO	15	34	27	7
Victory Road North	13	835	668	167
Victory Road South	11	134	108	27
New Road North	5	267	213	53
New Road South	14	383	307	77
Veron Road North	6	0	0	0

Summary of Access Roads Volumes to Townson Road (veh/hr)			PM IN	PM OUT
Road Name	Zone	Total	80%	20%
Veron Road South	10	0	0	0
Total		1653	1322	331

Table 4.8 Development traffic by proposed access roads – Stage 1 ultimate phase and Stage 2 – AM peak

Summary of Access Roads Volumes to Townson Road (veh/hr)			AM IN	AM OUT
Road Name	Zone	Total	20%	80%
Luxeland LILO	15	32	6	26
Victory Road North	13	849	170	679
Victory Road South	11	129	26	103
New Road North	5	404	81	323
New Road South	14	450	90	360
Veron Road North	6	95	19	76
Veron Road South	10	304	61	243
Total		2263	453	1810

Table 4.9 Development traffic by proposed access roads – Stage 1 ultimate phase and Stage 2 – PM peak

Summary of Access Roads Volumes to Townson Road (veh/hr)			PM IN	PM OUT
Road Name	Zone	Total	80%	20%
Luxeland LILO	15	34	27	7
Victory Road North	13	880	704	176
Victory Road South	11	134	108	27
New Road North	5	420	336	84
New Road South	14	473	379	95
Veron Road North	6	100	80	20
Veron Road South	10	256	205	51
Total		2297	1838	459

4.5 Summary and conclusion

Figure 4.6 to Figure 4.9 present future traffic movements on the Townson Road Corridor, including both background traffic growth and future development traffic.




Figure 4.6 2026 AM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)




Figure 4.7 2026 PM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)




Figure 4.8 2036 AM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)




Figure 4.9 2036 PM peak Traffic volumes (vehicles per hour)

5. Future Traffic Performance on Townson Road

This section summarises the future traffic, and associated transport and access impacts on Townson Road (Stage 2) focusing on Townson Road and Veron Road intersection.

5.1 Assessment Criteria

Level of Service (LoS) is a basic performance parameter used to describe the operation of an intersection. The LoS range from A to F based on the operational performance primarily determined by the average traffic delay at the signalised intersection. The Roads and Maritime Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (Roads and Maritime, 2012) provides a guideline for the Level of Service (LoS) assessment for different intersection control types. The outputs produced by AIMSUN were used to assess intersection performance summarised in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Level of Service Criteria (TfNSW)

Level of Service	Average Delay (seconds)	Control types	
		Traffic signal	Priority control
A	< 14	Good operation	Good operation
B	15 – 28	Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
C	29 – 42	Satisfactory operation	Satisfactory, but accident study required
D	43 – 56	Near capacity	Near capacity and accident study required
E	57 – 70	At capacity, at signals incidents will cause excessive delays.	At capacity, require other control model
F	> 70	Unsatisfactory with excessive queuing	Unsatisfactory with excessive queuing; requires other control model

Note: The results of ultimate phase was originally documented in Townson Road Traffic Modelling and Assessment Report (April 2020).

The modelled layouts for the ultimate phase are based on the design drawings provided by the project team. The design includes predominantly two through lanes and designated turning lanes in each direction along Townson Road, with side streets providing access to future developments or other major roads. Following modelling options were assessed in the ultimate phase, as presented in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2 Assessed options for Stage 2 work

Stage 2 work	Townson Road approach at Richmond Road (westbound)	Richmond Road	Victory Road	New Road	Veron Road
–	Single dedicated left turn slip lane	4 lanes in 2026 and 6 lanes in 2036	Signalised	Signalised	Signalised

5.2 Richmond Road and Townson Road

5.2.1 Six lanes on Richmond Road in 2036

As a key east-west link in the NWGA, Richmond Road is expected to undergo significant changes in providing access to land use and associated infrastructure. The wide central median for future widening of the road to six lanes (if needed) is reserved on this corridor.

Based on the traffic modelling undertaken for Stage 1 work, the current four-lane capacity on Richmond Road would not accommodate the future growth predicted at both northern and southern approaches, resulting in latent demands (vehicles unable to enter the road network due to congestion). This in turn would impact the throughputs for the turning vehicles onto Townson Road corridor, being propagated outside the network.

Therefore, an 'unconstrained case' is investigated to widen the Richmond Road to six lanes approaching this intersection in 2036 as shown in Figure 5.1, as a sensitivity test. The objective of this preliminary assessment is to discern the impact on the intersection performance at Townson Road/Victory Road and Townson Road/New Road, with the unconstrained capacity at Richmond Road, resulting in higher vehicle throughputs on Townson Road corridor.




Figure 5.1 Assumed upgrades at Richmond Road and Townson Road (2036)

The assessed layout included the one as presented in Figure 5.2. The eastern approach consists of two dedicated through lanes and one left turn slip lane with short 90 metres storage. In addition, it was assumed that the Hollinsworth Road also has a slip lane at the western approach to Richmond Road. Apart from this change, the existing layout of Richmond Road and Townson Road intersection was retained in the assessment.




Figure 5.2 Intersection layout at Richmond Road and Townson Road

Note: the Hollinsworth left turn slip lane was assumed in the future modelling but is not part of the proposal

The zebra pedestrian crossing at the slip lane as shown by the indicative street view in Figure 5.3 is not explicitly modelled. It is anticipated that limited delay to the left turn vehicles would be encountered, in addition to the estimated delay of yielding to through movements on Richmond Road. A signalised pedestrian crossing would be provided; however, would only be activated upon a pedestrian call. It is anticipated that this likely not to occur every cycle.



Figure 5.3 Indicative single slip lane configuration

5.2.2 Performance results at Richmond Road and Townson Road intersection

The intersection performance outputs for Option 3B (with left turn slip lane) are displayed in Table 5.3, with 4 lanes on Richmond Road.

Table 5.3 2026 results – Richmond Road and Townson Road

Approach	2026 - AM				2026 - PM			
	6:15 am-7:15 am		7:15 am-8:15 am		3:45 pm-4:45 pm		4:45 pm-5:45 pm	
	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS
Southbound	39	C	43	D	48	D	53	D
Eastbound	51	D	50	D	41	C	46	D
Northbound	46	D	53	D	49	D	58	E
Westbound	27	B	35	C	37	C	41	C
Total	39	C	44	D	46	D	53	D

With the single westbound left turn slip lane from Townson Road in 2026 (Option B, Table 5.3):

- The level of service and delays are at a satisfactory level (LOS D) overall for the Richmond Road/Townson Road intersection in 2026, with Richmond Road retained as 4 lanes.
- Approach delays at the Townson Road approach (westbound) was predicted to be at Los C or better. The left turn slip lane would result in a delay reduction of approximately 50 seconds compared to Option A.
- The left turn slip lane at Hollinsworth Road contributed to the delay reduction at the eastbound approach, by over 60 seconds compared to the results in Option A.

With Richmond Road widened to six lanes, the results demonstrated that this intersection would still operate within capacity in 2036. Table 5.4 shows by 2036 that overall, the intersection performs satisfactorily with the single westbound left turn slip lane on Townson Road, however both eastbound (Hollinsworth Road) and westbound approaches are likely to have increased delays and operate at capacity, particularly in the PM peak.

Table 5.4 2036 results – Richmond Road and Townson Road

Approach	2036 - AM				2036 - PM			
	6:15 am-7:15 am		7:15 am-8:15 am		3:45 pm-4:45 pm		4:45 pm-5:45 pm	
	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS
Southbound	41	C	42	C	48	D	52	D
Eastbound	54	D	57	E	54	D	56	D
Northbound	46	D	49	D	46	D	47	D
Westbound	31	C	39	C	64	E	52	D
Total	41	C	44	D	50	D	50	D

5.3 Stage 2: Townson Road and Veron Road

The modelled layout of Townson Road and Veron Road was based on the current strategic design (2019) provided by TfNSW:

- Northbound approach has a full-length through and left-turn lane and a short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Southbound approach has a full-length through and left turn lane and a short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Both eastbound and westbound approaches have two full-length through lanes (one is a shared through and left turn lane) and a short-150 metre right-turn lane.
- Pedestrian crossings were included on all approaches with staged crossings on eastern and western approaches and full crossings on northern and southern approaches.

A primarily update to the current strategy design layout at this intersection was investigated in the traffic modelling, as presented in Figure 5.4.

- Northbound approach left and through lane converted to a left, through and right-turn lane, with original short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Southbound approach full-length through and left lane converted to a through lane and left turn slip lane, with original short 70-metre right-turn lane.




Figure 5.4 Revised intersection layout at Townson Road and Veron Road

The modelled traffic signal phasing and timing were presented in Table 5.7 (overleaf). The intersection performance results were provided in Table 5.5 (2026) and Table 5.6 (2036).




Table 5.5 Intersection performance of revised layout Townson Road and Veron Road 2026

Townson Road and Veron Road	2026 - AM				2026 - PM			
	6:15 - 7:15 am		7:15 - 8:15 am		3:45 - 4:45 pm		4:45 - 5:45 pm	
	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS
Southbound	52	D	92	F	121	F	139	F
Eastbound	39	C	45	D	30	C	29	C
Northbound	38	C	51	D	203	F	201	F
Westbound	40	C	41	C	32	C	31	C
Total	41	C	52	D	64	E	66	E

Table 5.6 Intersection performance of revised layout Townson Road and Veron Road 2036

Townson Road and Veron Road	2036 - AM				2036 - PM			
	6:15 - 7:15 am		7:15 - 8:15 am		3:45 - 4:45 pm		4:45 - 5:45 pm	
	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS
Southbound	121	F	139	F	223	F	204	F
Eastbound	37	C	48	D	29	C	29	C
Northbound	54	D	108	F	202	F	207	F
Westbound	61	E	65	E	36	C	36	C
Total	62	E	76	F	73	F	71	F

Table 5.7 Modelled signal settings at Townson Road and Veron Road

Proposed Signal Phasing / Phase Time	2026 AM peak	2026 PM peak	2036 AM peak	2036 PM peak
	17 seconds	17 seconds	20 seconds	17 seconds
	-	22 seconds	-	22 seconds
	48 seconds	56 seconds	53 seconds	56 seconds

Proposed Signal Phasing / Phase Time	2026 AM peak	2026 PM peak	2036 AM peak	2036 PM peak
	24 seconds	25 seconds	31 seconds	25 seconds
	31 seconds	20 seconds	36 seconds	20 seconds
Cycle time	120 seconds	140 seconds	140 seconds	140 seconds

Note: AM peak: 7:15 – 8:15 am. PM peak: 4:45 – 5:45 pm

As shown in Table 5.5 and Table 5.6:

- With the modelled layout, this intersection was predicted to operate at capacity in 2026. The additional capacity at both northbound and southbound approaches are required in 2026.
- By 2036, additional capacity is required with both northbound and southbound approaches operating at LOS F (Figure 5.5).
- With the current access assumption where the traffic from Altrove predominantly use Townson Road corridor:
 - Additional through-lanes are required for both northbound and southbound approaches
 - A dual right turn would be required for the northbound approach, to accommodate the traffic volumes of approximately 470 vehicles per hour in PM peak in 2036.




Figure 5.5 Constrained capacity at northbound and southbound approaches

5.4 Summary of results: Townson Road and Victory Road (Stage 1)

The details of the assessment of this intersection are also found in the **Townson Road Upgrade Stage 1 Traffic and Transport Report (GHD, December 2020)**. This section provides a summary of the results. The modelled layout of Townson Road and Victory Road (Stage 1) was based on the concept design (Figure 5.6, DS2018/001093, December 2019) with minor changes to the length of short lane. The modelled layout was presented in Figure 5.7. Slip lane provided at the north approach of Victory Road was included in the modelling to demonstrate future capacity benefits if it were to be included. The intersection performance results are provided in Table 5.8.

Table 5.8 Intersection performance of Townson Road and Victory Road intersection –

Townson Road and Victory Road	2026 - AM				2026 - PM			
	6:15-7:15 am		7:15-8:15 am		3:45-4:45 pm		4:45-5:45 pm	
	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS
Intersection Total	38	C	48	D	34	C	40	C
	2036 - AM				2036 - PM			
Intersection Total	39	C	52	D	39	C	43	D

Based on the results in the above table, intersection performance was predicted to be satisfactory in AM and PM peaks in both 2026 and 2036 (less than 60 seconds delay or better than LOS D)




Figure 5.6 Original design layout at Victory Road and Townson Road




Figure 5.7 Modelled intersection layout at Townson Road and Victory Road

5.5 Summary of Results: Townson Road and “New Road” (Stage 1)

The details of the assessment of this intersection can also be found in the Townson Road Upgrade Stage 1 Traffic and Transport Report (GHD, December 2020). This section provides a summary of the results. The original design layout is shown in Figure 5.8 and the modelled layout is shown in Figure 5.9. The intersection performance results were provided in Table 5.9.

Table 5.9 Intersection performance of Townson Road and New Road intersection –2026

Townson Road and New Road	2036 – AM				2036 - PM			
	6:15-7:15 am		7:15-8:15 am		3:45-4:45 pm		4:45-5:45 pm	
	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS	Delay	LoS
Intersection Total	42	C	46	D	36	C	35	C
	2036 - AM				2036 - PM			
Intersection Total	37	C	45	D	47	D	45	D

The results in the above table demonstrated that with the modelled intersection layout, it was predicted to operate within capacity in AM and PM peaks (better than LOS D) in both 2026 and 2036.




Figure 5.8 Original design layout of New Road and Townson Road




Figure 5.9 Modelled intersection layout at Townson Road and New Road

5.6 Summary of findings

Traffic studies in the form of a microsimulation traffic model have been undertaken to account for the impact of Stage 2, as part of Stage 1 REF. It was agreed by TfNSW the principle of the design requires the proposed intersections in Stage 1 work to accommodate the future traffic growth when the connection to Burdekin Road is implemented (completion of Stage 2), forming an east-west corridor south of Schofields Road.

The modelled layout of Townson Road and Veron Road as part of Stage 2 work was based on the current strategic design (2019) provided by TfNSW, which includes:

- Northbound approach has a full-length through and left-turn lane and a short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Southbound approach has a full-length through and left turn lane and a short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Both eastbound and westbound approaches have two full-length through lanes (one is a shared through and left turn lane) and a short 150-metre right-turn lane.
- Pedestrian crossings were included on all approaches with staged crossings on eastern and western approaches and full crossings on northern and southern approaches.

The results demonstrated that while the four-lane Townson Road would accommodate the predicted traffic by the forecast year 2036, additional capacity is likely required at Townson Road and Veron Road intersection, as presented in Table 5.10 and Figure 5.10.

Alternatively, additional access to the future development (eg Altrove Development) needs be provided to divert traffic away from Townson Road and Burdekin Road.

With the current access assumption where the traffic from Altrove predominantly use Townson Road corridor:

- Additional through lanes are required for both northbound and southbound approaches.
- A dual right turn would be required for the northbound approach, to accommodate the traffic volumes of approximately 470 vehicles per hour in PM peak in 2036.

A summary of the traffic modelling results is shown in Table 5.10. The proposed layouts for ultimate phase at Victory Road and Townson Road intersection and Victory Road and New Road intersection were assessed to be sufficient to accommodate the future traffic growth in 2036, as investigated previously as Stage 1 work.

Table 5.10 Level of Service results summary (2026 and 2036)

Level of Service	AM Peak				PM Peak			
Intersections	Richmond Road and Townson Road	Townson Road and Victory Road	Townson Road and New Road	Townson Road and Veron Road	Richmond Road and Townson Road	Townson Road and Victory Road	Townson Road and New Road	Townson Road and Veron Road
Level of Service in 2026	D	C	D	C	D	C	C	E
Level of Service in 2036	D	D	D	F	D	D	D	F

The Veron Road | Townson Road intersection was assessed in forecast year 2026 and 2036. It has been predicted to operate at a LoS C and Los E, for the AM and PM peaks, respectively, by 2026 or upon opening (currently projected towards late 2020s).

Under the current projection, the intersection is anticipated to marginally exceed capacity by 2036 (76 second delay, LoS F), under the premise that growth continues to be substantial for traffic generated from the development and the future mode-shift toward public transport is low. The current land use assumptions and the adopted traffic generation rates are considered conservative, and acknowledged to have space to be reduced, providing better connectivity with public transport for future residents along Townson Road corridor. This may include provision of a Metro station or extended bus operations. It is anticipated the travel mode of the study area has a propensity to change and impact in favour of the operational performance at this intersection over the long term, due to the following reasons:

- The assessment was undertaken based on a conservative approach that 80 per cent of the traffic of Altrove development and private properties will access Townson Road via Veron Road. Provided additional 10 per cent of traffic from the new development would utilise Schofields Road in the north, the intersection would operate within capacity by 2036.
- The predicted traffic delay was around 76 seconds (or 6 seconds beyond the threshold of Level of Service F) by 2036 (AM peak). An interpolation based on a linear traffic growth indicated that it would only exceed the capacity by 2034, mainly due to the traffic from Veron Road whilst Townson Road maintaining LoS C. When required, an additional short right turn lane at the northbound approach on Veron Road, if delivered by 2034, is predicted to enable this intersection to operate within capacity at 2036.
- The future interconnectivity of Quakers Road to Townson Road and the future local network is anticipated to absorb and redistribute a proportion of the peak demand, suggesting an opportunity to extend the design life and serviceable capacity of the Townson Road | Veron Road intersection.




Figure 5.10 Constrained capacity at northbound and southbound approaches at Veron Road intersection

5.7 Closure of Railway Terrace

At the time of undertaken traffic modelling to assess the operational impacts, the closure of the Railway Terrace was not considered. Additional high-level assessment was undertaken in March 2021, based on the strategic modelling plots provided by TfNSW. The analysis indicated that during peak periods (two hours) in 2036 up to 1,500 vehicles will be diverted from Railway Terrace to local network:

- The majority of the above diverted trips (~75%) will be placed on the following north south roads: Veron Road (~35%), Grima Street (25% - 40%), Alex Avenue (~10%) and Hambleton Road (~15%).
- Townson Road (Stage 2) will see a reduction of up to 500 trips, west of Railway Terrace. Originally this traffic will access Railway Terrace from Townson Road.

6. Construction traffic impact assessment

6.1 Potential construction traffic generation

It is expected that construction traffic impacts associated with the proposal would be generated by construction vehicles, namely workers and heavy vehicles, accessing and egressing the proposal. Construction staging traffic modelling was not undertaken as part of this traffic impact assessment.

6.2 Work activities

The proposal will include the following key work activities:

- Clearing and grubbing, topsoil removal
- Site access establishment for local traffic
- Existing asset and property demolitions
- Bridge construction
- Permanent kerb and pavement shared path and stormwater system on Townson Road
- Intersection construction at Victory Road and a New Road (name still to be determined)
- Creek protection works.

6.2.1 Construction timeframe

Construction of the Stage 2 is anticipated to start close to 2026, opening to traffic in 2028. Construction works are anticipated to take around 18 months to complete.

6.2.2 Proposed work hours

The working hours for the construction sites would be as follows:

- Monday to Friday (7.00 am to 6.00 pm)
- Saturday (8.00 am to 1.00 pm)
- Sunday and public holidays (no work)
- Limited night-time works for Victory Road south reconstruction which would involve minimal vehicle movements.

6.3 Construction activity traffic generation

6.3.1 Staff movements

During the proposed works, the number of personnel per day is dependent on the construction staging and the construction contractor. The construction workforce is expected to peak at about 80 personnel per day (Construction Staging Report, Ranbury 2021).

6.3.2 Light vehicle traffic generation

It is assumed that light vehicle movements to the proposal are in the order of 12 to 50 vehicles daily, provided it is similar to the construction schedule required for Stage 1 work (Construction Staging Report, Ranbury 2021). It is also assumed that there will be some level of car sharing.

These volumes equate to, on average, less than two to five vehicles every hour over the peak hour arrival and departure periods.

The expected volume of construction worker trips is low and would fall within typical daily fluctuations with no expected adverse impacts to the operation of the adjoining road network. Construction workers would be expected to access the site works area in the morning and exit in the afternoon/evening.

Due to the low construction traffic generated by the proposal, no traffic modelling has been carried out.

6.3.3 Heavy vehicle traffic generation

The traffic generation associated with heavy vehicles has been based on the concept design and it is assumed that heavy vehicle truck movements are in the order of five to 10 vehicles per hour (access and egress) at each construction. Trucks are expected to deliver material and to remove spoil.

These increases in traffic movements are low and would fall within typical daily fluctuations with no expected adverse impacts to the operation of the adjoining road network.

Due to the low construction traffic generated by the proposal, no traffic modelling has been carried out.

6.4 Construction traffic access and trip distribution

At the time of this assessment construction traffic haulage routes have not been finalised. As such, a construction traffic impact assessment has not been undertaken as part of this report. When the detailed construction staging of this project has been finalised, it is recommended that a construction traffic assessment is undertaken.

6.5 Parking for construction workers

It is anticipated that parking for construction workers will be restricted to designated compounds and areas for construction workers only. As such, there should be minimal impact to on-street parking and traffic flow on the existing road network. The proposed location for the compound sites are shown in section 8.1.10.

6.6 Public transport

During construction of Townson Road, there will be impacts to bus services that use Townson Road (bus route 742). During this time, that liaison and agreement between TfNSW, local council(s) and bus companies will be required. The bus stop on Burdekin Road, west of Walker Street, is likely to be impacted too.

7. Cumulative traffic impacts

The following developments within close proximity of the proposal have the potential to occur at the same time as the construction of the proposal, and therefore have the potential to contribute to cumulative traffic impacts within the study area:

- Luxeland development
- Altrove development
- CSR development.

The potential for cumulative impacts at the operation stage has been assessed as set out in section 4.3 traffic generation estimates for 2026 and 2036. Future traffic impacts and road network performance in the study area arising as a result of cumulative development shown in Table 4.2 have been modelled in section 5. Section 5 also describes proposed intersection improvements to mitigate against lower levels of intersection performance on Townson Road.

Cumulative impacts arising from construction traffic from developments listed in section 4.3 will be managed with the implementation of the preliminary construction traffic management plan and mitigation measures proposed in section 8. To further minimise the potential for cumulative impacts coordination would be undertaken with other stakeholders associated with those projects prior to construction to ensure construction activities are appropriately scheduled and undertaken to minimise impacts

8. Mitigation measures

8.1 Preliminary construction traffic management plan

8.1.1 Objectives

The Preliminary Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) aims to facilitate the safety of all workers and road users within the vicinity of the construction site. The following outlines the primary objectives:

- To minimise the impact of the construction vehicle traffic on the operation of the adjoining road network.
- To facilitate the continuous, safe and efficient movement of traffic for both the general public and construction workers.
- To identify appropriate advance warning signs to inform users of changed traffic conditions.
- To facilitate the establishment of a safe pedestrian environment in the vicinity of the proposal.
- To provide a description of the types of vehicles and estimated vehicle volumes during each stage of construction.
- To provide information regarding the access arrangement and a description of the proposed routes for vehicles accessing and egressing the construction sites.

8.1.2 Construction vehicle access route

Consideration needs to be given to vehicle types expected to access Veron Road, Alderton Drive and Victory Road to the proposal as this is a local street with residential frontage and sections of parallel parking. A number of roundabouts exist on this route also which may restrict manoeuvrability of longer vehicles; however, they have been designed with mountable kerbs to allow for heavy vehicle movements. The contractor will be responsible for assessing the maximum vehicle type to be used for accessing the proposal.

Heavy vehicles

Arrival of heavy vehicles for construction work will require coordination to minimise queuing of vehicles, notably during peak truck movement, such as the main works. Vehicles are not to double-park or queue to adversely impact traffic and pedestrian thoroughfare and property access.

Although not anticipated to be required for the proposed construction works and is not proposed due to the low volume of construction vehicles, application of a Works Zone can minimise the likelihood of impacts to traffic movement on the surrounding road network in the designated queue areas.

Such traffic management should be considered if road network operation becomes restricted during constructions works.

Light vehicles

During the proposed works, it is expected that there would be a total construction workforce of up to 80 personnel accessing the site daily.

It is anticipated primarily the worker vehicle parking will be within on-site compound, where some parking could be made available adjacent to the proposal.

The designated area will need to be addressed in greater detail between the Contractor, TfNSW and Council, prior to commencement of construction and incorporated within the detailed CTMP.

It is recommended that workers be made aware of alternative transport options and where possible encouraged to use alternate transport options such as public transport to access the proposal or carpool with fellow workers. Detailing the available transport and carpooling options should form part of the site induction process.

8.1.3 Traffic management

Public access to the proposal is expected to be maintained on the surrounding road network. Vehicles will be permitted to travel past the worksite with traffic signage in accordance with Traffic Guidance Schemes (TGSs) to be developed in accordance with Roads and Maritime *Traffic Control at Works Sites* manual (2018) and AS1742.3 – *Traffic Control for Works on Roads*.

TGSs will need to be developed as part of the detailed CTMP before the commencing of construction activity on the proposal.

8.1.4 Road closures

Although details of full road closure are not available at this stage, it is the following locations will be impacted with construction and road closures (Ranbury 2021):

- Nightworks
- Mill and resheet operations at local tie-ins
- Traffic Switches (Burdekin Road and Veron Road)
- Road Closures/Cul-de-sac (Railway Terrace)
- Site access, and egress points
- Materials haulage.

TGSs are to be developed in accordance with Roads and Maritime Traffic Control at Works Sites manual (2018) and AS1742.3 – *Traffic Control for Works on Roads*.

Residential and business in the local area shall be notified on any road closures (refer to Section 8.1.13).

An example of a traffic control plan for a road closure and detour that would be expected on Townson Road is shown in Figure 8.1.

No oversize vehicles are anticipated to be used for the construction works.

8.1.6 Pedestrian and bicycle management

This section outlines the management of impacts on pedestrians, cyclists and paths and the maximisation of their safety. The prime objectives of a pedestrian and cyclist management framework are strategic to:

- Ensure the safety and protection of pedestrians and cyclists.
- Minimise disruption to pedestrian and cycle movements.
- Management of pedestrian and cycle flows around the construction sites and haulage routes. This addresses all traffic control actions such as traffic control personnel, redirection of pedestrians, cyclists, signposting, line-marking, Variable Message Sign (VMS), lane closures etc.
- Identify mitigation measures to improve the efficiency for pedestrian utilisation of pathways and movements.

Site access will be restricted to authorised personnel only. It is anticipated that the pedestrian, and to a lesser extent, cyclist activity, within proximity to the proposal will be low due to the residential nature of the site locations.

Potential interactions between construction traffic and pedestrians and bicycle riders include:

- Impact to pedestrian and bicycle rider movements due to the movement of material to and from the proposal
- Increased vehicle movements may reduce safety.

Pedestrians are to be clearly directed to utilise formed paths where possible or temporary paths as a short-term measure.

Clear visibility at the site egress along the road network and the pedestrian pathway is to be maintained. This can be achieved by the removal of vegetation, where required or convex mirrors upon site egress.

8.1.7 Works zone

Works Zone are not anticipated on the road network for the duration of works. However, such traffic management should be considered if road network operation becomes restricted during constructions works.

Works Zones, if required, are to be positioned near the worksites and can assist in short term parking of heavy vehicles during loading and unloading activity or parking for site workers.

Should a Work Zone be required, the contractor is to apply through the proper government agency for approval.

8.1.8 Roadwork speed zone

Temporary roadwork speed limits are one of many traffic controls that can be implemented to manage the speed of traffic approaching and passing through work sites. However, they can, over long distances, have a significant impact on road user delay.

Roadwork speed zones must be logical and credible, as well as enforceable. When considering the use of roadwork speed zones, they will:

- Only be used where they are self-enforcing or will be enforced
- Not be used alone but with other traffic control signs and devices

- Not be used in place of more effective traffic controls
- Only be used while road works are in progress or the lower speed road conditions exist.

Where works are anticipated to be contained within the boundary of the sites, with vehicle access via local roads (Victory Road, Alderton Drive) where vehicle speeds are relatively low due to the existing urban environment, no Roadwork Speed Zones are proposed adjacent to the sites.

8.1.9 Access to adjoining properties

Access to all adjoining properties and lots will be maintained for the duration of works. Notification and communication to affected properties during lane or road closures are to be provided as outlined in section 8.1.13.

8.1.10 Storage of materials

All construction storage containment is to be located within the proposal. The road networks is not to be used for storage of material and equipment. A potential site compound for the storage of materials is shown in Figure 1.1.

8.1.11 Road hazards

The proposed works adjacent to the road network bring hazards to workers and the public and can impact the surrounding facilities. The CTMP should identify specific road hazards associated with the works area including but not limited to:

- Environmental:
 - Fog
 - Wet weather
 - Frost.
- Transport infrastructure:
 - Bus infrastructure: Richmond Road and Townson Road
 - Bicycle facilities: generally, on-road environment
 - General traffic
 - Pedestrian activity and infrastructure: Parsley Bay Reserve play equipment.
- Public facilities
 - No existing public facilities.

8.1.12 Environmental control

Notwithstanding the environmental requirements specified in other project documents, the following environmental requirements are to be adhered to:

- All vehicles transporting loose materials will have the entire load covered and/or secured to prevent any large items, excess dust or debris depositing onto the roadway during travel to and from the site with additional dust tracking control implemented, including, but not limited to, construction rumble strips/wheels wash at the site egress location.
- The lead contractors will monitor the roads leading to and from the site and take all necessary steps to rectify any road deposits caused by site vehicles, to maintain the safety of all road users.
- Vehicles operating to, from and within the site shall do so in a manner, which does not create unreasonable or unnecessary noise or vibration.

- Metal-tracked vehicles will not be permitted on paved roads.
- Public roads and access points will not be obstructed by any materials, vehicles, refuse skips or the like, under any circumstances.
- All subcontractors must be inducted by the lead contractor to encourage that all the relevant procedures are met.

8.1.13 Method of communicating traffic changes

Advance notification of upcoming works is paramount to safety and efficient delivery of the proposal. The following outlines communication measures to be considered in the detailed CTMP.

On-road communication

TGSs are to be developed in accordance with Australian Standards AS 1742.3 – Traffic Control Devices for Works on Roads (Australian Standards, 2009) and Roads and Maritime Traffic Control at Worksites manual (Roads and Maritime, 2018) to identify appropriate signage (and location) to advise motorists of upcoming changes in the road network.

Signs and Devices

Signs and other safety devices such as safety barriers, containment fences, temporary kerbing and longitudinal channelizing barricades should be provided in accordance with the Australian Standards (AS 1743.2 – Traffic Control Devices for Works on Roads) to:

- Warn, guide and instruct road users, workers and pedestrians
- Control the speed or passage of traffic within and adjacent to work areas
- Indicate travel diversions.

Other alternatives to consider include vehicle-mounted warning devices, illuminating arrow signs, and painting vehicles and machinery distinctive colours. Installations and operations of the traffic control devices must abide by the regulations in section 4 of AS 1743.2.

Variable Message Signs (VMS)

The use of VMS provides benefit to the local community and visitors to convey messages of upcoming impacts to the road network as the result of construction activity. Although not anticipated for this proposal, VMS should be installed in locations and used in accordance with relevant guidelines and Australian Standard practices (AS 4852.1 and/or AS4852.2) with the necessary approvals from governing authorities.

Night Works

Due to limited night work being conducted, temporary traffic route lighting through a worksite may be required to supplement existing lighting particularly on areas where the path through the site could be difficult to follow.

Advance notification of works

Prior to the commencement of works on site, neighbouring properties must be informed by the contractor of the impacts and site contact information. Notification can be provided by various means including, but not limited to:

- Letterbox distribution
- Local newspaper
- Council website.

8.1.14 Monitoring of Traffic Guidance Schemes (TCPs)

During construction the contractor shall each morning, prior to commencing work, ensure all signage will be erected in accordance with the TGSs and is clearly visible to motorists. Each evening, upon completion of work, the contractor is to ensure signage is either covered or removed as required.

A review of the TGSs can be undertaken as required in order to determine any potential need for future amendments. Any variation to the layout of the TGS on site is to be recorded and certified by accredited TfNSW personnel.

8.1.15 Work health and safety

Any workers required to undertake works or traffic control shall be suitably trained and hold the required accreditation to carry out works on-site and will also be site inducted. All traffic control personnel will be required to hold TfNSW accreditation in accordance with section 2.4 of the Roads and Maritimes Traffic Control at Worksites manual (Roads and Maritime, 2018).

8.1.16 Certificates and approvals

Approval is to be obtained from TfNSW, the roads authority and other relevant authorities as required. Approvals that may need to be obtained for items such as but not limited to:

- Roads authority road opening permits
- Road occupancy approvals/licences
- Hoarding/fencing approvals.

8.1.17 Staff induction

All staff and subcontractors engaged on-site will be required to undergo a site induction. The induction will outline the requirements on the CTMP including site access routes, environmental and occupational health and safety responsibilities, emergency procedures, potential carpooling opportunities, etc. Additionally, the Site Manager will discuss CTMP requirements regularly as a part of toolbox talks.

8.1.18 Contact for emergency services

In the event of an emergency related construction traffic incident on the public road network, it will be the responsibility of the Site Manager to ensure that emergency services are notified. The emergency services include but are not limited to:

- Fire
- Ambulance
- Police.

Phone "000" in cases of emergency. Furthermore, it is the responsibility of the Site Manager to advise the emergency services of any restriction of vehicular access to the public and private areas one week prior to its implementation.

8.2 Operational mitigation measure

To provide necessary road capacity to sustain future development in the study area, the proposed Townson Road concept design was assessed against the future traffic growth in section 4. Proposed mitigation measures were recommended to include improvements to the original concept layout at Richmond Road/Townson Road and the Townson Road/Veron Road intersections. These improvements include:

- Providing additional northbound and southbound through lanes on Richmond Road
- Providing sufficient layout at Townson Road and Veron Road intersection
- Provide the sufficient layout at Townson Road and Victory Road, Townson Road and New Road intersections as per Stage 1 work.

The performance of these intersections' concept layouts are discussed in more detail in section 5.

9. Conclusion and recommendations

This report details the traffic and transport impacts during the construction and operation of the proposed Townson Road conceptual design of Stage 2 work. In addition, a preliminary CTMP is provided for future contractors when developing a detailed CTMP prior to construction.

9.1 Operational impacts

Traffic studies in the form of a microsimulation traffic model have been undertaken to account for the impact of Stage 2, as part of the Stage 1 REF. It was agreed by TfNSW the principle of the design requires the proposed intersections in Stage 1 work to accommodate the future traffic growth when the connection to Burdekin Road is implemented (completion of the proposal), forming an east-west corridor south of Schofields Road.

The modelled layout of Townson Road and Veron Road as part of Stage 2 work was based on the current strategic design (2019) provided by TfNSW, which includes:

- Northbound approach has a full-length through and left-turn lane and a short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Southbound approach has a full-length through and left turn lane and a short 70-metre right-turn lane.
- Both eastbound and westbound approaches have two full-length through lanes (one is a shared through and left turn lane) and a short 150-metre right-turn lane.
- Pedestrian crossings were included on all approaches with staged crossings on eastern and western approaches and full crossings on northern and southern approaches.

The results demonstrated that while the four-lane Townson Road would accommodate the predicted traffic by the forecast year 2036, additional capacity is likely required at Townson Road and Veron Road intersection, as presented in Table 5.10 and Figure 5.10.

Alternatively, additional access to the future development (eg Altrove Development) needs to be provided to divert traffic away from Townson Road and Burdekin Road.

With the current access assumption where the traffic from Altrove predominantly use Townson Road corridor:

- Additional through-lanes are required for both northbound and southbound approaches.
- A dual right turn would be required for the northbound approach, to accommodate the traffic volumes of approximately 470 vehicles per hour in PM peak in 2036.

A summary of the traffic modelling results is shown in Table 5.10. The proposed layouts for ultimate phase at Victory Road and Townson Road intersection and Victory Road and New Road intersection were assessed to be sufficient to accommodate the future traffic growth in 2036, as investigated previously as Stage 1 work.

Table 9.1 Level of Service results summary (2036)

Level of Service	AM Peak				PM Peak			
	Richmond Road and Townson Road	Townson Road and Victory Road	Townson Road and New Road	Townson Road and Veron Road	Richmond Road and Townson Road	Townson Road and Victory Road	Townson Road and New Road	Townson Road and Veron Road
Intersections								
Level of Service in 2026	D	C	D	C	D	C	C	E
Level of Service in 2036	D	D	D	F	D	D	D	F

At the time of undertaking traffic modelling to assess the operational impacts, the closure of the Railway Terrace was not considered. Additional high-level assessment was undertaken in March 2021, based on the strategic modelling plots provided by TfNSW. The analysis indicated that during peak periods (two hours) in 2036 up to 1,500 vehicles will be diverted from Railway Terrace to local network:

- The majority of the above diverted trips (~75%) will be placed on the following north-south roads: Veron Road (~35%), Grima Street (25% - 40%), Alex Avenue (~10%) and Hambledon Road (~15%).
- Townson Road (Stage 2) will see a reduction of up to 500 trips, west of Railway Terrace. Originally, this traffic will access Railway Terrace from Townson Road.

9.2 Construction impacts

A review of the expected construction impacts identified the following:

- There are minor impacts to the road network performance associated with construction as the traffic generated is expected to be approximately two to five light vehicles per hour and 10 heavy vehicles per hour. Due to the low construction traffic generated, it is anticipated this would fall within daily traffic fluctuations, and road network performance impacts are expected to be minor.
- At this stage of development, the Mainline staging is largely unaffected by any of the works as it is in mostly greenfield. The project currently has a few local tie-in connections that will need to be built as nightworks, partial lane closures or contra-flow during the day pending external stakeholder agreements and approvals from TfNSW. These will occur at various phases throughout the project and are shown below. Residents and businesses that are affected by the localised tie-in works will need to ensure access can be maintained at all times. The current staging shows the following tie-ins to be constructed at night that may need to be updated as the design progresses:
 - Tie-in of Stage 2 works into Stage 1 near Durham Road/Jersey Road
 - Veron Road intersection
 - Burdekin Road tie-in to bridge abutment/embankment.

9.3 Mitigation measures

9.3.1 Operational measures

To provide necessary road capacity to sustain future development in the study area, the proposed Townson Road concept design was assessed against the future traffic growth in section 4. Proposed mitigation measures were recommended to include improvements to the original concept layout at Richmond Road/Townson Road and the Townson Road/Veron Road intersections. These improvements include:

- Providing additional northbound and southbound through lanes on Richmond Road
- Providing sufficient layout at Townson Road and Veron Road intersection
- Provide the sufficient layout at Townson Road and Victory Road, Townson Road and New Road intersections as per Stage 1 work.

The network traffic model is required to be reviewed during detailed design to confirm and update the network capacity and flow assumptions into the intersection modelling for an accurate representation of intersection performance.

9.3.2 Construction measures

A detailed CTMP is required to be prepared before the start of works, with site induction for construction personnel being undertaken to outline the requirements of the CTMP. The aim of the CTMP is to maintain the safety of all workers and road users within the vicinity site and outline mitigation measures of construction traffic impacts. The plan is to include such items as:

- Vehicle approach routes
- Traffic management and TGS
- Workers transportation and on-site parking provisions
- Pedestrian and bicycle rider management
- Oversize vehicle permit requirements
- Road hazards (including environmental, transportation infrastructure, emergency services and public facilities etc)
- Methods of communicating traffic changes to the local community and visitors to the area.

The CTMP will be developed in consultation with the roads authority and TfNSW.

10. References

Australian Standards, 2009, AS 1742.3 – Manual of uniform traffic control devices-Traffic control for works on roads

NSW Department for Planning, Industry and Environment, 2004, Guidelines for Walking and Cycling

Roads and Maritime Services 2013, Traffic Modelling Guidelines.

Roads and Maritime Services 2012, Guide to Traffic Generating Developments.

Roads and Maritime Services 2018 Version 5, Traffic Control at Worksites Technical Manual

Townson Road Stage 2 Construction Staging Strategy Report (Ranbury, 2021)

Townson Road Stage 1 Upgrade Traffic and Transport Study Report (GHD, December 2020)

Townson Road Existing Condition and Base Model Report (GHD, November 2019)

GHD

Level 15

133 Castlereagh Street

T: 61 2 9239 7100 F: 61 2 9239 7199 E: sydmail@ghd.com

© GHD 2022

This document is and shall remain the property of GHD. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

GHDDocId\\Ghdnet\ghd\AU\Sydney\Projects\21\12511195\Technical\Environmental\Stage 2 REF\Specialist Management\WACG\Appendicies\App E_Traffic assessment_TownsonRdStg2.docx

Document Status

Revision	Author	Reviewer		Approved for Issue		
		Name	Signature	Name	Signature	Date
0	Phil Guo	John McGuinness	On file	E Davies	On file	12/04/2022

www.ghd.com

