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## Overview

In NSW fixed speed cameras were first introduced in 1997 in the Sydney Harbour Tunnel. As technology became more advanced, digital speed cameras were introduced from 1999 and gradually replaced wet-film cameras. There are currently 172 fixed speed cameras operating in 141 locations throughout NSW, with 65 of these cameras located in 44 school zones. The installation of all NSW fixed speed cameras meet specific site selection criteria.

A previous independent evaluation of the NSW Fixed Speed Camera Program demonstrated significant reductions in vehicle speeds (a $6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ drop both 12 and 24 months after installation), percentage of vehicles exceeding the speed limit (approximately $70 \%$ reductions), injury crashes ( $20 \%$ along camera-installed blacklengths), and fatal crashes (approximately $90 \%$ along camera-installed blacklengths) (ARRB Group, 2005).

This report presents findings from a further detailed crash based review of NSW fixed speed camera locations conducted by the NSW Centre for Road Safety.

## Summary of Findings

Overall, the current analysis demonstrates the effectiveness of fixed speed cameras in achieving crash and casualty reductions on NSW roads.

The current analysis was conducted for 128 fixed speed camera locations throughout NSW (excluding five tunnel locations, six deactivated sites, and two locations on a substantially re-aligned section of road). The current analysis examined crash data for the three-year period before and the three-year period after implementation of each fixed speed camera for 500 metres either side of non-school zone cameras or patch-topatch for all school zone cameras'. This before analysis was different to the original crash length analysis undertaken to identify fixed speed camera locations. The present analysis employs a shorter length resulting in lower crash numbers in the before period. The shorter length has been employed following our own recent research findings that demonstrate that speed reductions are observed only for a 500 metre distance either side of a fixed speed camera (known as a "halo effect").

The current analysis demonstrates a $26 \%$ reduction in both total crashes and the total number of casualties across all 128 fixed speed cameras locations (including a $67 \%$ reduction in fatalities), with the vast majority of locations showing sustained crash and casualty reductions over time. These findings support the previous evaluation of the NSW Fixed Speed Camera Program (ARRB Group, 2005). The 24 lives and 412 injuries saved as a result of the NSW fixed speed camera program amounts to an estimated community saving of around $\$ 186$ million (based on the willingness-to-pay

[^0]methodology). The collective saving to the community is likely to be substantially greater than this estimate given that many cameras have been operational for more than a decade, and given the likely general deterrence effect achieved as motorists change their driving behaviour over a sustained period more broadly throughout the road network.

Overall, 98 of the 128 NSW fixed speed camera locations able to be analysed demonstrate clear road safety benefits ( $77 \%$ of all locations).

However, some fixed speed camera locations did not show clear road safety benefits in the immediate vicinity of the cameras. Based on the current analysis, 15 of 14 I locations were recommended for relocation (11\%), while a further 22 of 141 locations were recommended for further consultation and/or review (16\%). Cameras at these locations will be further reviewed before a decision is made either to keep the camera in operation or to relocate the camera to an alternative location with a greater likelihood of road safety benefit, based on crash history. This review will involve consideration of factors such as changes in traffic volume and local community consultation. This process will give the community assurance that all fixed speed cameras in use in NSW are deployed for road safety and are delivering a road safety benefit.

## Site Selection Criteria for Fixed Speed Cameras

Fixed speed cameras are installed to reduce speeding and are installed in locations that meet specific criteria. The RTA Fixed Speed Camera Site Selection Criteria were developed by the RTA in consultation with the NSW Police Force and NRMA Motoring and Services. These criteria are based on fatal and injury crash rates and travelling speeds which ensures that cameras are installed on road lengths with a high crash rate where there is a significant speeding problem.

Fixed speed camera locations in NSW were originally identified employing one of the following four site selection criteria:
I. Blacklength Criteria
2. Rural Bends Criteria
3. School Zones Criteria
4. High Risk Criteria

Assessment of locations for fixed speed cameras should be based on homogenous sections with the minimum length of road assessed for these criteria being I kilometre. Sites should not be located within 300 metres of a speed zone change.

Fixed speed camera locations also need to meet operational requirements such as the availability of electricity and communications and appropriate site configuration.

## I. Blacklength Criteria

## Crashes

| Road Type | Crash Criterion 1 <br> Crash Rate (per hundred <br> million vehicle kilometres) | Crash Criterion 2 <br> Casualty Crashes (per kilometre <br> per year) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rural | $>40$ | $>0.5$ |
| Urban | $>80$ | $>0.5$ |
| Divided (Freeway / Motorway) ${ }^{*}$ | $>25$ | $>0.5$ |

Note: A separate crash analysis is carried out for each direction of flow. This may result in installation being for one direction only.
The latest three years crash history should be used except where major works or changes to speed limits have been undertaken during this period. In such cases, crashes should reflect the period since the work or change was completed with a minimum study period of I year.

Speed
Each site will have to meet the following Speed Criterion A or Speed Criterion B:

- Speed Criterion A - Speed profiles which show 85 th percentile speeds ${ }^{2}$ are in excess of IO\% above the posted speed limit.
- Speed Criterion B - Mean speeds are in excess of the posted speed limit.


## 2. Rural Bends Criteria

If a rural site does not meet the above criteria, it can be considered eligible if the site meets the following specific rural criteria.

## Crashes

| Road Type | Criterion I <br> Crash Rate (per hundred million vehicle kms ) | Criterion 2 <br> Casualties per kilometre per year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rural | $>40$ | $>0.5$ |

Note: There should be a minimum of Annual Average Daily Traffic of 2000 vehicles per day.

## Speed

The speed measured from the 7-day, 24-hour speed survey must meet the following Speed Criterion A or Speed Criterion B:

- Speed Criterion A - the 95th percentile speed is in excess of the speed limit plus 10\%, or
- Speed Criterion B - the 85th percentile speed is greater than the posted speed limit.


## Site Alignment

[^1]The blacklength must comprise a curve or series of curves, with a radius that warrants advisory speed signs, with enhanced delineation, or advisory speed sign is displayed.

## 3. School Zones Criteria

The following criteria should be met before a site is considered suitable for a fixed speed camera.

Where a school is bounded by more than one road, a single length of road must be selected which includes a school $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ zone. That length will be the one that will achieve the maximum road safety benefit from the installation of a fixed speed camera around the school. That length must also meet the following:

- The number of crashes along the selected road within the school zone must exceed 10 crashes for a 3 year period and include at least one crash in school zone times and
- The Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume must exceed I0,000 and
- There must be potential for conflict between pedestrians and vehicles during school zone times within the $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ school zone


## 4. High Risk Criteria

Fixed speed cameras have also been implemented in high risk locations where traditional police enforcement cannot be conducted, and where a serious or fatal crash would result in significant difficulties of access by ambulance and emergency vehicles to the crash site. Typically, these are installed in locations such as tunnels, where any crash would have potentially catastrophic consequences.

## Difference in Approach Between Current Analysis and Original Crash Length Analysis

The majority of fixed speed cameras were introduced in NSW in the late 1990s, and research evidence at this time demonstrated that the effects of speed cameras would extend to between 4 km and 10 km from the speed camera site (e.g. Makinen \& Oei, 1992). Thus, in order to treat identified blacklengths, rather than being placed within the actual blacklength, fixed speed cameras were sometimes placed adjacent to, or some distance away from, the identified blacklength, in order to obtain a suitable site for the camera.

More recent international research, however, has shown that the effects of fixed speed cameras are limited to an approximate I,000 metre total length around the camera (e.g. Christie, Lyon, Dunstan \& Jones, 2003; Hess, 2004; Keenan, 2002). This effect is shown in the below graph, based on speed survey analysis conducted by the RTA, which highlights that the largest speed reductions are observed for the closest 500 metres around the camera. This sustained effect of enforcement adjacent to the operational speed enforcement location is now commonly known as a "halo effect" (Christie et al., 2003; Hess, 2004).


Based on this more recent international and national research evidence, the current analysis examines crash data before and after implementation of each fixed speed camera for 500 metres either side of non-school zone locations (l,000 metre total length around the operational camera location), or patch-to-patch for all school zone locations, which in most cases equates to a smaller total length around the camera (489 metre average).

Therefore, a number of NSW fixed speed camera locations indicate a low number of crashes in the before period based on the current analysis, because this analysis is different to the original crash length analysis undertaken prior to camera implementation. More specifically, this may have occurred for one of the following reasons:
I. The original blacklength that was analysed is sometimes considerably longer than the I,000 metres employed for the current analysis.
2. The speed camera is sometimes located just outside the original blacklength. For these cases, there is minimal overlap between the original blacklength and the current length that is analysed. The original rationale for this was that the speed camera would slow vehicles prior to entering the blacklength, and therefore have an impact on this section of road.
3. In some instances, there is a temporal difference between the three-year before period for site selection (conducted before the time at which the camera was approved) and the three-year before period for the current analysis (conducted up to the time at which the camera was installed and operational).
4. In some instances, the three-year before period for site selection may have been subject to an approximate 9 -month lag on finalised crash and casualty data, whereas a retrospective analysis can be conducted on finalised data for the three-year period up to the start date of operation (employed for the current analysis).
5. The identification of some of the earliest fixed speed camera locations was based on crash analysis over a five-year data period.

The following examples present more detailed commentary on two particular NSW fixed speed camera locations, highlighting reasons for the observed difference in crash data based on the difference between the current analysis and the original crash length analysis.

## New England Highway, Blandford (April, 2002)

This location is an example where the original blacklength was considerably longer than the length of road currently analysed, at 3,900 metres in length. Over the three-year crash analysis period for the original blacklength, there were 16 crashes resulting in 2 fatalities and 14 injuries. The current analysis ( 1,000 metres around the camera) indicates there were 3 crashes resulting in 3 injuries in the before period and 2 crashes resulting in I injury in the after period.

## Woy Woy Road, Kariong (March, 2000)

This is an example where the speed camera was located outside the blacklength. The speed camera was placed around 400 metres south-east of the identified blacklength, in order to slow drivers proceeding in a north-westerly direction along Woy Woy Road. In addition, the blacklength was much longer than the length of road currently analysed, at 2,500 metres in length. For these reasons, the current analysis has minimal overlap with the original blacklength analysis. For this original blacklength, there were $10 \mid$ crashes resulting in 3 fatalities and 48 injuries over a five year analysis period. The current analysis shows 2 crashes resulting in no injuries or fatalities in the before period and I crash resulting in I injury after the camera was installed.

## Additional Technical Notes for the Current Analysis

I. The current crash analysis is based predominantly on the underlying textual description of the crash. Basing the analysis solely on a spatial buffer may be flawed due to inaccurate geo-coding, and given that some road centrelines have moved over the past 14 years.
2. Note that the current analysis has been conducted by location, rather than by camera. There are currently a total of 172 fixed speed cameras operating in 141 locations throughout NSW. Therefore, in some instances, there is more than one fixed speed camera operating at the one location. One of these locations (F6, Gwynneville) has two cameras operating approximately I,000 metres apart, and infringing in different directions, therefore these cameras were directionally analysed as separate locations. Another location (Spit Road, Beauty Point/The Spit) has two cameras operating approximately 500 metres apart in opposite directions, therefore this was analysed as one location with a longer total length of I,500 metres including both cameras. For all other locations with more than one camera in operation (where cameras are less than 100 metres apart), the crash analysis length was for 500 metres either side of the mid-point of the two cameras.
3. The commencement date listed for each location refers to the month and year that the fixed speed camera commenced infringement at that location. For locations where more than one fixed speed camera is in operation, the date listed refers to the month and year that the first camera started infringing at that location.
4. For each location, the 'before' and 'after' periods vary depending on the date the camera commenced infringement, and excluded the 3-month period directly before the commencement date. For each location, the 'before' period was defined as the 3 -year period up to 3 months prior to the commencement date of camera infringements, and the 'after' period was defined as the 3-year period from the commencement date of infringement.
5. Analysis of some recently-installed school zone locations was necessarily based on shorter before and after time periods (i.e. one-year or two-year periods).
6. Locations for which cameras were installed based on the high risk criteria have not been analysed because these locations have no before data for analysis (typically tunnel locations).
7. Crashes with unknown location information, or identified as occurring on ramps (e.g. access ramps to interchanges) have been excluded from the current analysis.
8. Rather than provide average annual figures for all available years, data presented above are aggregated figures for three-year before and after periods.
9. These data do not take into account factors such as change in traffic volume, general trends, and regression to the mean. These may result in crash and casualty changes independent of the effect of fixed speed cameras, although it is unclear how the effect of some of these factors could be controlled given that fixed speed camera locations are blacklengths based on crash criteria.
10. Data for the following crash analysis have not been ranked or presented in any particular order.
II. If camera locations shown to be not effective are omitted from this analysis, combined results would demonstrate much more pronounced positive road safety effects, which emphasises the location-to-location variability likely to be found in the complete analysis.

## Criteria for Recommendations Based on the Current Analysis

Along with before-and-after crash analysis of NSW fixed speed camera locations, the current report lists a recommendation for each location based on the current analysis. One of the following five recommendations is listed for each location:

- Effective
- Relocate Pending Review
- Relocate Pending Consultation and Review
- Relocate
- Relocate - Already Deactivated

It should be noted that, between 2004 and 2007, the amount of vehicle travel in NSW has increased by almost 7\% (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008), and this increase in travel has been estimated to continue ${ }^{3}$. So, including these data as the before period, vehicle travel in NSW could be expected to increase by around I4\% over a recent sixyear period. Based on this general increase in vehicle travel across the state, the number of vehicles passing a fixed speed camera location is likely to be increased significantly. Thus, safety improvements may be cancelled out by increased exposure. These location-specific factors could only be assessed following a closer review of the actual fixed speed camera location.

## Locations Listed as Effective

Fixed speed camera locations have been classified as being effective if the current crash analysis satisfies any one of the following criteria:
I. There is a lower number of total casualties and the same or lower number of crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
2. There is the same number of total casualties but a lower number of total crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
3. If there was at least one fatality in the before or after period, the combined cost to the community of fatalities and injuries in the after period is less than the combined cost in the before period. This acknowledges the greater cost to the community of fatalities compared to injuries. The estimated cost of road crash casualties is calculated using the willingness to pay methodology, which reflects the accumulated value the NSW community is willing to pay or forgo in exchange for a reduction in the probability of crash related injuries and road crash deaths on NSW roads. According to willingness to pay, casualty costs are $\$ 5.834$ million per fatality, and $\$ 0.078$ million per injury (Roads and Traffic Authority, 2009).
4. Fixed speed cameras have been installed in tunnels and other areas under the "high risk" Site Selection Criteria. For these locations, there are no available data in the before period due to there being no crash history prior to camera implementation. However, any crash that occurs in these areas would have potentially catastrophic consequences due to difficulties of access by ambulance and emergency vehicles to the crash site.

[^2]
## Locations Listed for "Relocate Pending Review"

Fixed speed camera locations have been listed as "relocate pending review" if the current crash analysis satisfies any one of the following criteria:
I. There is a higher number of total casualties but a lower number of total crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
2. There is a slightly lower number of total casualties but a higher number of total crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
3. There is the same number of total casualties, and the same number of total crashes, in both before and after periods (and no fatalities in the after period).
4. If there was at least one fatality in the after period, the combined cost to the community of fatalities and injuries in the after period is greater than the combined cost in the before period. This acknowledges the greater cost to the community of fatalities compared to injuries (with calculations based on the willingness to pay methodology, as already outlined).

The review of fixed speed camera locations will employ guidelines that consider factors such as changes in traffic volume and local community consultation. These guidelines for review are outlined in a later section of this report.

Locations Listed for "Relocate Pending Consultation and Review"
School zone fixed speed camera locations have been listed as "relocate pending consultation and review" if the current crash analysis satisfies either one of the following criteria:
I. There is a higher number of total casualties in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
2. If there was at least one fatality in the after period, the combined cost to the community of fatalities and injuries in the after period is greater than the combined cost in the before period.

Note that analysis of some recently-installed school zone locations was necessarily based on shorter before and after time periods (i.e. one-year or two-year periods). Therefore, recommendations for these locations should be made only after more finalised data are included in the analysis for each location. As a result, "More Data Needed" has been noted for these locations.

For these school zone fixed speed camera locations, consultation with relevant local school communities will take place before any decision is made to relocate school zone cameras.

The review of fixed speed camera locations will employ guidelines that consider factors such as changes in traffic volume and local community consultation. These guidelines for review are outlined in a later section of this report. Additionally for school zone locations, analysis of pedestrian crashes and casualties during school zone times will be undertaken before any decision is made to relocate school zone cameras.

## Locations Listed to "Relocate"'

Non-school zone fixed speed camera locations have been recommended to "relocate" without review if the location satisfies either one of the following criteria:
I. There is a higher number of both total casualties and total crashes in the after period compared to the before period.
2. Major road works such as curve re-alignment or highway duplication have significantly improved safety at the existing location.

A number of sites that fall into this criterion have already been deactivated because major road works such as curve re-alignment or highway duplication have significantly improved the location.

## Locations Listed to "Relocate - Already Deactivated"

Fixed speed camera locations have been listed as "relocate - already deactivated" if the speed camera has already been deactivated because major road works such as curve re-alignment or highway duplication have significantly improved the location.

## Exception to the Above Criteria

An exception to the criteria outlined above was made for one fixed speed camera location: the Sydney-Newcastle F3 Freeway, Bar Point. This location originally had a $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit; however, the speed limit was increased to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, with an enforced $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ wet weather speed limit. Based on crash data only, it appears that crashes at this location have increased since the speed limit was raised to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and that the trial of a $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ wet weather speed limit has not been successful. However, due to the increase in speed limit, it is not clear if the speed camera is effective or not at this location.

## Current Crash Analysis

The current crash analysis is conducted for 128 fixed speed camera locations throughout NSW (excluding five tunnel locations, six deactivated sites, and two locations on a substantially re-aligned section of road).

Based on the current analysis, over the three-year period before fixed speed cameras started infringing there was a total of 3,053 crashes across all 128 locations, resulting in 36 fatalities and 1,625 injuries. In the three-year period after fixed speed camera infringement commenced at these locations, there were 2,257 crashes across all 128 locations, resulting in 12 fatalities and 1,213 injuries. This equates to 24 lives and 412 injuries saved as a result of the NSW fixed speed camera program, representing a $26 \%$ reduction in both total crashes and total casualties across all 129 fixed speed cameras locations (including a $67 \%$ reduction in fatalities). These casualty savings amount to an estimated community saving of around $\$ 186$ million (based on the willingness-to-pay methodology). The collective saving to the community is likely to be substantially greater than this estimate given that many cameras have been operational for more than a decade.

Overall, the current analysis demonstrates the effectiveness of fixed speed cameras in achieving crash and casualty reductions on NSW roads. These findings support the previous evaluation of the NSW Fixed Speed Camera Program (ARRB Group, 2005), which found a comparable $23 \%$ reduction in casualty crashes at treated blacklength locations.

The most encouraging aspect of these findings is that the vast majority of fixed speed camera locations have demonstrated sustained crash and casualty reductions over time. For example, in the three years before a fixed speed camera commenced enforcement at Great Western Highway, Valley Heights, there were 27 crashes resulting in 2 fatalities and 10 injuries. In the three years after the camera commenced enforcement at this location there were only 8 crashes resulting in 4 injuries (and no fatalities). At Bexley Road, Bexley North, there were 35 crashes resulting in I fatality and 27 injuries in the three years before a fixed speed camera commenced enforcement, compared to 20 crashes resulting in 16 injuries (and no fatalities) in the three years after the camera commenced enforcement at this location.

## Guidelines for the Review of Fixed Speed Camera Locations

Despite the overall positive findings, some fixed speed camera locations did not show clear road safety benefits. These locations have been listed in the results table as either requiring "review" or "remove or relocate", based on the criteria outlined previously. While some locations have not shown a clear road safety benefit, a further review should be conducted for a range of possible reasons. For example, the traffic volume may have increased yet there has only been a small increase in crashes or casualties. In this case it may be considered that the camera has been effective.

This further review will enable a decision to be made to either maintain operation of the camera at this location or to relocate the camera to an alternative location with a greater likelihood of road safety benefit, based on crash history. This process will give the community assurance that all fixed speed cameras in use in NSW are deployed for road safety and are delivering a road safety benefit.

It is also recommended that revenue from fixed speed camera enforcement be hypothecated to road safety engineering and speed education programs. This will help address the perception of revenue raising and is a strategy that has been adopted in other Australian jurisdictions.

The review of fixed speed camera locations will involve the following guidelines:
I. Changes in traffic volume at the location will be taken into account (e.g. if a small increase in crashes at a particular fixed speed camera location is commensurate with an increase in traffic volume on the road, then consideration should be given to keeping the camera in operation at this location, possibly in conjunction with additional road safety countermeasures where relevant).
2. Any substantial changes in the nature of usage of the road where the camera is located since introduction of the camera will be identified and taken into account (e.g. if the road has now become a major thoroughfare for the community, consideration should be given to keeping the camera in operation at this location).
3. For school zone fixed speed camera locations, analysis of pedestrian crashes and casualties during school zone times will be undertaken before any decision is made to remove or relocate school zone cameras.
4. For school zone fixed speed camera locations, consultation with relevant local school communities will take place before any decision is made to remove or relocate school zone cameras.
5. Fixed speed camera locations have been identified because of a crash problem at or adjacent to the location. Cameras should only be removed once a targeted program of engineering works is implemented at the camera location, in order to manage the identified road safety risks for that location and the potential risk should a camera be removed (such as increased vehicle speeds). The scale of works required is location-dependent and works for each length will be individually designed and costs estimated. Works will be carefully targeted and designed to reduce the chance of a crash and if a crash occurs, reduce the likelihood of death or injury. It is recommended that these works not only target the camera vicinity but also the originally identified black length.
6. In addition to the current analysis, changes in crash data for the original identified blacklength should be analysed and reviewed. Any alternative engineering treatments implemented for a particular location (identified under point 4 above) should treat both the current analysis length and the original blacklength.
7. Fixed speed camera locations have been identified because of a crash problem at or adjacent to the location. Should a camera be removed from a current fixed speed camera location, both speed and crash data will be monitored at that location in order to manage potential road safety risks associated with camera removal.

## Results Table

The complete before-and-after crash analysis of all 128 fixed speed camera locations is presented in the table below, along with infringement commencement dates, the type of location (e.g. school zone), and the total number of crashes (all degrees of crash) and casualties (fatalities and injuries) in the 3 -year period both before and after camera infringement. In addition, this table includes any relevant comments or technical notes about the location, as well as details regarding the original blacklength analysis prior to the cameras installation (the original criteria, the original distance of the blacklength, and original before data regarding numbers of crashes, fatalities and injuries). The table also lists a recommendation for each fixed camera location based on the current analysis, as to whether cameras are effective, should be further reviewed, or should be relocated.

| Location (Month and Year Infringing Commenced) | Type of Location | Current Crash Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  | Relevant Comments or Technical Notes | Original Blacklength Analysis |  |  |  |  | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distance (Current Analysis) | 3 Years Before |  |  | 3 Years After |  |  |  | Original Criteria | Original Distance (metres) | Original Before Analysis |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | All Crashes | Fatalities | Injuries | All Crashes | Fatalities | Injuries |  |  |  | All crashes | Fatalities | Injuries |  |
| F3, Ourimbah (December, 200I) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 9 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | Camera is south of blacklength, enforcing northbound only | Blacklength | 1,200 | 24 | 0 | 16 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Valla <br> Beach (February, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 8 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 3 |  | Blacklength | 1,300 | 11 | 1 | 11 | EFFECTIVE |
| Bruxner Highway, <br> Alstonville (July, 2002) | Non-School Zone | Road upgrade, no longer effective - Site Deactivated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Blacklength | 1,300 | 16 | 0 | 15 | RELOCATE - <br> Already Deactivated |
| Pacific Highway, Hungry <br> Head (November, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 5 | I | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 3,300 | 33 | 3 | 27 | EFFECTIVE |
| New England Highway, Tenterfield (October, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | I,500 | 10 | 3 | 11 | EFFECTIVE |
| Princes Highway, <br> Broughton (July, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 |  | Rural Bends | 1,100 | 14 | 1 | 9 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Princes Highway, Foxground (May, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 10 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 6 |  | Rural Bends | 1,400 | 23 | 2 | 15 | EFFECTIVE |
| Newcastle Road, Lambton (June, 2000) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 35 | 0 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 46 |  | Blacklength | 1,100 | 48 | 0 | 16 | RELOCATE |
| Bells Line of Road, Kurrajong (May, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 8 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 21 | 0 | 12 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Woodburn (March, 2001) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 1,900 | 23 | 2 | 19 | EFFECTIVE |
| Bangalow Road, Clunes (February, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 6 |  | Blacklength | 1,400 | 6 | । | 6 | RELOCATE |
| New England Highway, Kootingal (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 1 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Rural Bends | 2,000 | 8 | 1 | 4 | EFFECTIVE |
| New England Highway, Blandford (April, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | Blacklength | 3,900 | 16 | 2 | 14 | EFFECTIVE |
| New England Highway, Scone (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 2,000 | 27 | 1 | 15 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| New England Highway, Llangothlin (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  | Rural Bends | 1,600 | 6 | 0 | 4 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Princes Highway, <br> Angledale (May, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | Rural Bends | I,000 | 4 | 1 | 4 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Princes Highway, Brogo (May, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 2 | 0 | I | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 1,300 | 5 | 0 | 5 | EFFECTIVE |
| Greystanes Road, Greystanes (November, | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 23 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 13 |  | Blacklength | 1,100 | 35 | 0 | 13 | EFFECTIVE |


| 2001) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England Highway, Ben Lomond (September, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | Rural Bends | 1,000 | 8 | 1 | 8 | EFFECTIVE |
| Bruxner Highway, Wollongbar (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 7 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  | Blacklength | 1,300 | 24 | 1 | 21 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Korora (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 12 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 8 | 0 | 5 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Kundabung (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |  | Blacklength | 2,400 | 14 | 0 | 23 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Pacific Highway, Mayfield West (December, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 53 | 0 | 32 | 34 | 0 | 18 |  | Blacklength | 3,000 | 147 | 0 | 84 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Nords Wharf (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 7 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 2,900 | 23 | 0 | 7 | EFFECTIVE |
| New England Highway, Murrurundi (April, 2003) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-School } \\ & \text { Zone } \end{aligned}$ | 1,000m | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  | Blacklength | 2,000 | 6 | 0 | 6 | RELOCATE |
| Parramatta Road, Auburn (May, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 99 | 0 | 57 | 65 | 0 | 42 |  | Blacklength | 1,300 | 104 | 0 | 42 | EFFECTIVE |
| George Street, South Windsor (November, 200I) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 25 | 0 | 16 | 26 | 0 | 13 |  | Blacklength | 1,130 | 35 | । | 28 | EFFECTIVE |
| Great Western Highway, Valley Heights (April, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 27 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 4 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 38 | 2 | 17 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, <br> Macksville (March, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | Rural Bends | 1,300 | 9 | 5 | 12 | EFFECTIVE |
| Terrigal Drive, Terrigal (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 57 | 0 | 27 | 34 | 0 | 17 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 64 | । | 27 | EFFECTIVE |
| Princes Highway, Berry (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 6 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 |  | Blacklength | 1,900 | 26 | 0 | 14 | EFFECTIVE |
| Northcliffe Drive, Warrawong (May, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 13 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 2 |  | Blacklength | I,100 | 10 | 0 | 13 | EFFECTIVE |
| Princes Highway, Nowra (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 35 | 0 | 28 | 36 | 2 | 18 |  | Blacklength | 1,500 | 34 | 0 | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RELOCATE } \\ & \text { PENDING REVIEW } \end{aligned}$ |
| New England Highway, Tilbuster (May, 2000) | Non-School Zone |  | nt | ade | re-a | no 1 | ecti |  | Improved re-alignment of road on curves | Blacklength | 1,100 | 26 | 4 | 15 | RELOCATE |
| Great Western Highway, Hartley (December, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 6 | 0 | 3 | I | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 2,300 | 38 | 1 | 21 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Urunga (April, 200I) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 |  | Blacklength | 1,400 | 14 | 0 | 12 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Woy Woy Road, Kariong (March, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 2 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | I |  | Blacklength | 2,500 | 101 | 3 | 48 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |


| Hume Highway, Coolac (March, 2000) | Non-School Zone | Road upgrade, no longer effective - Site Deactivated |  |  |  |  |  |  | Blacklength | 3,000 | 11 | 3 | 11 | RELOCATE Already Deactivated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pacific Highway, New Italy (July, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 3 | 0 | 3 | I | 0 | 2 | Blacklength | 1,200 | 8 | 3 | 5 | EFFECTIVE |
| Eastern Valley Way, North Willoughby (June, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 37 | 0 | 17 | 39 | 1 | 16 | Blacklength | 1,700 | 69 | 0 | 18 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Hume Highway, Tarcutta (March, 2000) | Non-School Zone | Road upgrade, no longer effective - Site Deactivated |  |  |  |  |  |  | Blacklength | 2,500 | 20 | 3 | 10 | RELOCATE Already Deactivated |
| Lanyon drive, Queanbeyan (May, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 2 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | Blacklength | 3,300 | 15 | 0 | 11 | EFFECTIVE |
| New England Highway, Quirindi (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 1 | Blacklength | 2,100 | 9 | 0 | 9 | RELOCATE |
| McCaffrey Drive, Rankin Park (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 7 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 1 | Blacklength | 3,600 | 40 | 0 | 22 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pennant Hills Road, Carlingford (August, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 66 | 0 | 36 | 47 | 0 | 23 | Blacklength | 1,000 | 60 | । | 25 | EFFECTIVE |
| Hume Highway, Burwood Heights (December, 200I) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 45 | 0 | 35 | 31 | 0 | 15 | Blacklength | I,100 | 57 | 2 | 28 | EFFECTIVE |
| Hume Highway, Burwood (December, 2001) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 72 | 0 | 28 | 50 | 0 | 29 | Blacklength | 1,700 | 101 | 3 | 45 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Blaxland Road, Ryde (June, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 42 | 0 | 19 | 37 | 0 | 11 | Blacklength | 1,200 | 62 | । | 19 | EFFECTIVE |
| Castle Hill Road, West Pennant Hills (July, 2002) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 41 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 8 | Blacklength | 1,550 | 56 | 1 | 29 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Wardell (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 10 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Rural Bends | 1,400 | 8 | 1 | 6 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway (Northbound), Sandgate (January, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 29 | 1 | 19 | 23 | 1 | 6 | Blacklength | 4,300 | 61 | 2 | 31 | EFFECTIVE |
| Bolong Road, Bomaderry (March, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 14 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 3 | Rural Bends | 3,200 | 17 | 0 | 6 | EFFECTIVE |
| Bolong Road, Shoalhaven Heads (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | Rural Bends | 3,200 | 17 | 0 | 6 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| Pacific Highway, Charmhaven (April, 2007) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 15 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 5 | Rural Bends | 1,000 | 22 | 0 | 18 | EFFECTIVE |
| Concord Road, Concord West (July, 2000) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 34 | 0 | 23 | 31 | 0 | 11 | Blacklength | 1,300 | 79 | । | 35 | EFFECTIVE |
| Henry Lawson Drive, Picnic Point (May, 200I) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 5 | 0 | 2 | I | 0 | 2 | Blacklength | 1,600 | 37 | 3 | 18 | EFFECTIVE |


| James Ruse Drive, Camellia (December 2001) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 70 | I | 39 | 70 | 0 | 32 |  | Blacklength | 1,800 | 113 | 1 | 45 | EFFECTIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennant Hills Road, North Parramatta (November, 200I) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 21 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 10 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 26 | 0 | 9 | EFFECTIVE |
| Hume Highway, Yagoona (December, 2001) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 86 | 2 | 56 | 36 | 0 | 17 |  | Rural Bends | 1,300 | 116 | 2 | 53 | EFFECTIVE |
| Northern Distributor, Corrimal (July, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 22 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 0 | 14 |  | Blacklength | 1,900 | 25 | 1 | 22 | EFFECTIVE |
| Princes Highway, Bulli (December, 200I) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 26 | 1 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 9 |  | Blacklength | 1,200 | 45 | 2 | 31 | EFFECTIVE |
| Warringah Road, Frenchs Forest (January, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 57 | 0 | 19 | 50 | 0 | 27 |  | Rural Bends | 2,300 | 160 | 1 | 54 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| M4 Motorway, <br> Wentworthville / <br> Greystanes (December, $2001 \text { ) }$ | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 72 | 2 | 28 | 84 | I | 46 | Excludes crashes on ramps (location type 16) and at the Cumberland Hy intersections (grade separated from M4 Motorway) / Includes crashes reported as 500 m east or west of Cumberland Hy overpass | Blacklength | 1,100 | 37 | 1 | 15 | RELOCATE |
| Hume Highway, Lansvale (December, 200I) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 76 | 1 | 45 | 52 | 0 | 31 |  | Blacklength | 2,170 | 94 | 1 | 53 | EFFECTIVE |
| New South Head Road, Edgecliff (December, 2001) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 97 | 0 | 54 | 87 | 0 | 48 | Excludes crashes on ramps and at grade separated intersections e.g. Darlinghurst Rd |  | 1,000 | 89 | 0 | 31 | EFFECTIVE |
| Centennial Avenue, Lane Cove (December, 200I) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 36 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 9 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 34 | , | 9 | EFFECTIVE |
| Fairfield Street, Fairfield East (July, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 17 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 6 |  | Blacklength | 1,220 | 36 | । | 30 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Banora Point (April, 2003) | Non-School Zone | Majo | $\overline{\text { porks }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tructi } \\ & \text { vatec } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { ong }}$ | ive - |  |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 30 | 0 | 8 | RELOCATE Already Deactivated |
| Henry Lawson Drive, Peakhurst (January, 2003) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 25 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 6 |  | Blacklength | I,000 | 12 | 0 | 6 | EFFECTIVE |
| Brunswick Valley Way, Ocean Shores (February, 2003) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 5 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 6 | Current analysis period is prior to the completion of road upgrade. Road previously part of Pacific Hy / Brunswick Heads to Yelgun | Rural Bends | 1,000 | 7 | 1 | 10 | RELOCATE |


| Manns Road, West Gosford (November, 2002) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 18 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 11 |  | Blacklength | 1,300 | 21 | 0 | 8 | RELOCATE PENDING REVIEW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sydney - Newcastle Freeway (F3), Bar Point (April, 2006) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 9 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 7 |  | Blacklength | 6,600 | 134 | 2* | 42* | MAINTAIN - <br> Findings confounded by increase in dry weather speed limit |
| Bexley Road, Bexley <br> North (May, 2006) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 35 | 1 | 27 | 20 | 0 | 16 |  | Blacklength | 2,200 | 193 | 0 | 122 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pine Creek Way, Bonville (December, 2005) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 6 | 1 | 9 | I | 0 | 0 | Road previously Pacific Hy, re-named Pine Creek Way when Pacific Hy bypass of Bonville opened (Sept 2008) | Blacklength | 1,400 | 9 | 0 | 8 | EFFECTIVE Consider relocation due to major upgrade of highway (bypass) |
| Pacific Highway, <br> Ewingsdale (September, 2006) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 15 | 1 | 10 | I | 0 | 0 |  | Blacklength | 1,500 | 29 | 1 |  | EFFECTIVE |
| Cowpasture Road, Green Valley (May, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 18 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 13 |  | Blacklength | 2,200 | 54 | 1 | 36 | EFFECTIVE |
| Captain Cook Drive, Carringbah (April, 200I) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 19 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 5 |  | Blacklength | 1,200 | 46 | 2 | 20 | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Herons Creek (April, 2000) | Non-School Zone | Road upgrade, no longer effective - Site Deactivated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 23 | 2 | 32 | RELOCATE Already Deactivated |
| Delhi Road, Macquarie Park (April, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 35 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 0 | 25 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 160 | 1 | 95 | RELOCATE |
| Princes Highway, North Wollongong (June, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 55 | 0 | 43 | 51 | 0 | 26 |  | Blacklength | 1,700 | 48 | 0 | 37 | EFFECTIVE |
| Eastern Arterial Road, Gordon (July, 2000) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 13 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 15 |  | Blacklength | 1,100 | 20 | 1 | 15 | RELOCATE |
| Richmond Road, Berkshire Park (June, 2000) | Non-School Zone | 1,000m | 18 | 1 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 13 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 17 | 1 | 9 | EFFECTIVE |
| Elizabeth Drive, <br> Bonnyrigg (July, 2000) | Non-School Zone | I,000m | 32 | 1 | 13 | 26 | 0 | 13 |  | Blacklength | 1,400 | 81 | 3 | 31 | EFFECTIVE |
| Gibson Avenue, Padstow (July, 2000) | Non-School <br> Zone | I,000m | 31 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 8 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 32 | । | 10 | EFFECTIVE |
| Canterbury Road, Canterbury (April, 200I) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-School } \\ & \text { Zone } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | I,000m | 108 | 1 | 61 | 80 | 0 | 56 |  | Blacklength | 1,000 | 102 | , | 37 | EFFECTIVE |
| M2 Motorway, North Epping (May, 2007) | Non-School Zone | Before and after crash data not comparable due to road widening and speed limit change |  |  |  |  |  |  | This speed camera was installed when the motorway was widened from 2 lanes to 3 lanes and speed limit reduced from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | High risk | No Before Data Available |  |  |  | Evaluate crashes at this location after widening of M2 Motorway for possible future camera enforcement |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AND REVIEW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merrylands Road, Merrylands (May, 2007) | School Zone | 220 m | 20 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 5 |  | School Zone | 226 | 18 | ।* | 8* | EFFECTIVE |
| Bunnerong Road, Maroubra/Eastgardens (June, 2007) | School Zone | 370m | 21 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 5 |  | School Zone | 374 | 19 | 0* | 6* | EFFECTIVE |
| Malabar Road, Maroubra (June, 2007) | School Zone | 680m | 19 | 0 | 10 | 22 | 0 | 7 |  | School Zone | 670 | 29 | 0* | 9* | EFFECTIVE |
| Avoca Street, Randwick (June, 2007) | School Zone | 560m | 32 | 0 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 10 |  | School Zone | 566 | 31 | 0* | 13* | EFFECTIVE |
| Botany Road, Alexandria/Roseberry (June, 2007) | School Zone | 360m | 20 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 5 |  | School Zone | 355 | 21 | 0* | 8* | EFFECTIVE |
| Hume Highway, <br> Bankstown (July, 2007) | School Zone | 670m | 65 | 0 | 34 | 38 | 0 | 24 |  | School Zone | 660 | 73 | 0* | 34* | EFFECTIVE |
| Hume Highway, Ashfield (August, 2007) | School Zone | 410 m | 24 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 4 |  | School Zone | 410 | 14 | 0* | 8* | EFFECTIVE |
| Bigge Street, Liverpool (November, 2007)** | School Zone | 360 m | 13 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 10 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 361 | 33 | 0* | 15* | More Data Needed |
| Pacific Highway, Lindfield (July, 2007) | School Zone | 480m | 22 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 |  | School Zone | 489 | 22 | 0* | 5* | EFFECTIVE |
| Pittwater Road, <br> Narrabeen (October, <br> 2007)** | School Zone | 300m | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 9 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 300 | 13 | 2* | 4* | More Data Needed |
| Edgar Street, Condell Park (October, 2007)** | School Zone | 240 m | 11 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 246 | 21 | ।* | 8* | More Data Needed |
| Forest Road, Penshurst (October, 2007)** | School Zone | 620 m | 20 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 8 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 629 | 35 | 0* | 13* | More Data Needed |
| Forest Road, Hurstville/Bexley (October, 2007)** | School Zone | 720 m | 19 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 9 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 744 | 32 | 0* | 11* | More Data Needed |
| Harbord Road, North Curl Curl (October, 2007)** | School Zone | 1,060m | 32 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 3 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 1,044 | 40 | 0* | 13* | EFFECTIVE - More <br> Data Needed |
| Cabramatta Road, Bonnyrigg (October, 2007)** | School Zone | 1,000m | 26 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 8 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 1,030 | 61 | 0* | 28* | EFFECTIVE - More <br> Data Needed |
| Kingsway, Miranda (November, 2007)** | School Zone | 400 m | 16 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 400 | 19 | 0* | 9* | EFFECTIVE - More Data Needed |
| Victoria Road, Ryde (November, 2007)** | School Zone | 570 m | 13 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 5 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 557 | 27 | 0* | 10* | EFFECTIVE - More Data Needed |
| Cleveland Street, Moore Park (November, 2007)** | School Zone | 380 m | 10 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ** Based on 2-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 385 | 13 | 0* | 6* | EFFECTIVE - More <br> Data Needed |


| Woodville Road, Old Guildford/Chester Hill (January, 2009)*** | School Zone | 370 m | 7 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 5 | *** Based on I-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 362 | 25 | 0* | 13* | More Data Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parker Street, Kingswood (January, 2009)*** | School Zone | 340 m | 5 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | *** Based on I-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 346 | 24 | 0* | 7* | EFFECTIVE - More <br> Data Needed |
| Pittwater Road, North Narrabeen January, 2009)*** | School Zone | 590m | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | *** Based on I-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 591 | 19 | 0* | 6* | EFFECTIVE - More <br> Data Needed |
| Victoria Road, Ryda/mere (January, 2009)*** | School Zone | 440 m | 1 | 0 | 0 | / | 0 | 1 | *** Based on I-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 452 | 16 | 0* | 5* | More Data Needed |
| Pacific Highway, Ourimbah (July, 2003) | School Zone | 360m | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Road works undertaken from June 2006 to January 2010 | School Zone | 360 | 2 | 0 | । | EFFECTIVE |
| Pacific Highway, Wahroonga January, 2009)*** | School Zone | 1,960m | 22 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 7 | *** Based on I-year pre and post periods Several schools covered by patch to patch - Knox Grammar, Warrawee Public School, Abbotsleigh Senior Campus | School Zone | 1,965 | 90 | 0* | 38* | EFFECTIVE - More <br> Data Needed |
| Princes Highway, <br> Kogarah (July, 2003) | School Zone | 990m | 72 | 0 | 41 | 68 | 0 | 39 |  | School <br> Zone | 990 | 72 | 0 | 41 | EFFECTIVE |
| The Boulevarde, Strathfield (February, 2009)*** | School Zone | 1,010m | 10 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 3 | *** Based on I-year pre and post periods | School Zone | 1,100 | 48 | 0* | 21* | More Data Needed |
| COMBINED LOCATIONS (TOTAL FOR ALLLOCATIONS) |  |  | BEFORE |  |  | AFTER |  |  | * Count is for "fatal crashes" or "injury crashes", rather than "fatalities" or "injuries" |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | All Crashes | Fatalities | Injuries | All Crashes | Fatalities | Injuries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3,053 | 36 | 1,625 | 2,257 | 12 | 1,213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    I "Patch-to-patch" refers to the length of road designated as a school zone, as identified by the start and end patches marked on the road.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The speed which $15 \%$ of vehicles exceed in the absence of congestion

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The latest release of the Australian Bureau of Statistics "Survey of Motor Vehicle Use" is for the period up to 31 October 2007. Data for 2008 are provided in the Australian Bureau of Statistics publication "Experimental Estimates of Motor Vehicle Use"; however, these data are estimated directly from 2007 figures. Nonetheless, an increasing trend in vehicle travel in NSW is estimated.

