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## Executive summary

The purpose of the Annual NSW Speed Camera Performance Review is to provide a systematic process for monitoring the effectiveness of speed cameras in NSW to ensure they are having a positive road safety effect. This report summarises the analysis undertaken by the NSW Centre for Road Safety (CRS) for the annual speed camera review against criteria outlined in the NSW Speed Camera Strategy 2012.

This report addresses the recommendation from the 2011 NSW Auditor-General's audit of speed cameras, ${ }^{1}$ to provide the community with information about the road safety impact of speed cameras. Where it is determined a camera has not been effective, alternative road safety countermeasures will be considered. The findings from this annual review will guide the planning of future speed enforcement priorities and operations.

## Evaluation

The evaluation criteria for each camera type outlined in the Strategy has been determined by CRS based on the road safety benefit that is expected to be achieved from the program. Broadly speaking camera effectiveness is measured by two key criteria:

- The impact the speed camera has on slowing drivers down and therefore reducing crashes and casualties, and
- The impact the speed cameras have on achieving greater speed compliance through a reduction in infringement rates.

Typically at least five years of crash and casualty data are required to make a statistically significant assessment of a camera's effectiveness. The red-light speed camera and point-to-point enforcement programs are in their early stages, with locations only operational for three years or less. Given the infancy of these programs, the data analysed in this annual review will not be sufficient to reliably assess the effectiveness of individual camera locations. Due to the mobility of mobile speed cameras and their purpose of creating a general deterrence effect across the road network, the analysis examined crash data for the entire state, not individual mobile speed camera locations.

## Key findings

Table 1: Key findings for NSW speed camera programs

| Camera type | Size of NSW program as <br> at 31 December 2012 | Program effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

[^0]| Camera type | Size of NSW program as at 31 December 2012 | Program effectiveness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red-light speed | 106 cameras at 91 intersections | Overall, when comparing the five years before the red-light speed cameras were installed to the post installation period there has been: <br> - a 23 per cent reduction in crashes; and <br> - a 30 per cent reduction in casualties at these locations |
| Fixed | 133 cameras at 108 | Overall, when comparing the five years before the fixed speed cameras were installed to the most recent five years there has been: <br> - a 42 per cent reduction in the number of crashes; <br> - a 90 per cent reduction in fatalities; and <br> - a 41 per cent reduction in injuries at camera locations |
| Point-to-point | 19 lengths** | Preliminary analysis of point-to-point enforcement lengths shows that there has been a low number of heavy vehicle crashes since camera operation. Infringement data for average speed offences in point-topoint enforcement lengths show a high level of compliance and a low number of infringements. |

[^1]
## Red-light speed cameras

The Centre for Road Safety has identified four locations where there has been a fatality since red-light speed camera operation. While the cameras at these locations will not be considered for removal due to the infancy of the program, CRS will investigate the nature of the fatal crash that occurred and, where appropriate, conduct field inspections to determine whether additional treatments are required to further improve safety at these intersections. The locations are:

- Griffiths Road and Turton Road, Lambton
- O'Riordan Street and Gardeners Road, Mascot
- Anzac Parade and Lang Road, Moore Park
- Corrimal Street and Burelli Street, Wollongong


## Fixed speed cameras

In this analysis a total of 96 fixed speed camera locations have been reviewed, excluding the seven locations in warning mode and six 'high risk' camera locations. One location, F6, Gwynneville, has two cameras operating approximately 1,000 metres apart and infringing in different directions, therefore these cameras were analysed as two separate locations. The evaluation found that a total of 91 out of 96 locations were effective based on the crash analysis outlined in the report.

There are five locations that were identified for comprehensive safety reviews to be undertaken by CRS due to an increase in crashes and/or casualties at the locations, including:

- Hume Highway, Ashfield (school zone)
- Hume Highway, Bankstown (school zone)
- Fairfield Street, Fairfield East
- McCaffrey Drive, Rankin Park
- Pacific Highway, Sandgate

If during the field reviews it is determined that any camera is not delivering the expected road safety benefits at the location, it will be recommended for removal and possible relocation.

The NSW Centre for Road Safety will continue to annually review all individual speed cameras as well as the overall performance of speed camera programs as set out in the NSW Speed Camera Strategy 2012. These reviews will be annually published to ensure that the programs remain transparent to the community.

In response to the Auditor-General's recommendation the NSW Government announced the NSW Speed Camera Strategy on 1 June 2012. The strategy provides an integrated framework for speed enforcement in NSW and aims to improve the transparency and understanding of the use of speed cameras in NSW through increased community engagement and education. The strategy was developed in consultation with the NSW Police Force and NRMA Motoring and Services and reinforces the Government's commitment to reducing fatalities and serious injuries on NSW roads.

One of the key actions outlined in the NSW Speed Camera Strategy is the annual publication of camera performance against criteria outlined in the Strategy. This action also meets the NSW Auditor-General's recommendation to provide the community with information about the road safety impact of speed cameras.

The purpose of the Annual NSW Speed Camera Performance Review is to present the results of performance evaluations carried out on each of the speed camera programs in NSW. The AuditorGeneral found that the right speed camera in the right place can save lives. Cameras not delivering the expected road safety benefits will be monitored and evaluated and if considered not effective will be removed or relocated. The findings from this report will guide the planning of future speed enforcement operations.

## The speeding problem

Speeding, which encompasses excessive speed (driving above the speed limit) or inappropriate speed (driving too fast for the prevailing conditions), is unquestionably recognised as a major contributing factor in both the number and severity of traffic crashes.

Speeding increases the risk of having a crash, and increases the risk of serious injury or death in the event of a crash. In addition to car occupants, our roads are used by vulnerable road users such as pedestrians. Studies of survival and impact speed show that small increases in travel speed can result in large increases in braking distances and impact speed resulting in both an increased risk of a crash and a more severe outcome. This is especially the case for crashes with less protected road users such as pedestrians and cyclists.

## Effectiveness of camera enforcement

Speed camera enforcement is an important road safety initiative that has proven road safety benefits. Speed enforcement helps to reduce the proportion of drivers who exceed the speed limit on our roads, which in turn reduces the risk of being involved in a fatal or injury crash and the severity of outcomes in the event of a crash.

Speed enforcement activities aim to increase the perceived threat of being caught speeding and in doing so help to reduce the mean travel speed on our roads, and therefore reducing the risk of being involved in a fatal or injury crash for all road users. Automated camera enforcement supplements enforcement conducted by police and the NSW Police Force routinely requests locations to be considered for automated speed enforcement. Speed cameras are commonly employed methods of speed enforcement in many best practice road safety jurisdictions throughout the world and have proven safety benefits.

The various types of speed cameras have different roles. In NSW, fixed speed cameras are located at specified road lengths or intersections where there is a demonstrated crash history or where speed is considered to be a problem. Mobile speed cameras can be moved around the network at various times and locations and, like police enforcement, this mobility increases the deterrence effect due to the unpredictability of the exact location of speed enforcement.

## Changing driver behaviour

Speed cameras are used to change driver behaviour, which can be measured by changes in infringements over time. An example of this trend is illustrated in Figure 1 which depicts the number of infringements per month since the commencement of enforcement at three high profile fixed speed camera locations. This pattern shows an initial high number of infringements followed by a rapid and sustained decrease in infringements as drivers modify their behaviour which is reflected in a reduction in crashes over time. Appendix C contains this type of infringement graph for every fixed speed camera location.

Figure 1: Example of trend in speed camera infringements over time.
Number of Fixed Speed Camera Infringement Notices per Month for Sample of Cameras


## Speed camera programs in NSW

Speed cameras are speed enforcement tools that supplement enforcement conducted by the NSW Police Force. They have been proven to make roads safer by reducing speeding and in turn the number and severity of crashes. Table 1 shows the four types of speed cameras used in NSW.

Table 2: Types of speed camera enforcement in NSW

| Speed cameras used in NSW |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Main purpose | Introduced | Size of NSW program as at <br> 31 December 2012 |
| Mobile | General network deterrence | First introduced in 1991. <br> Ceased operation in <br> December 2008 and re- <br> introduced in 2010 | 642 locations <br> Approx 930 hours of <br> enforcement per month |
| Red-light <br> Speed | Location specific <br> (To address high risk intersections) | 2009 | 106 cameras at 91 <br> intersections |
| Fixed | Location specific <br> (To address black spot/high risk) | 1997 | 133 cameras at 108 locations* |
| Point-to-Point | Route enforcement <br> (For heavy vehicles only) | 2010 | 19 lengths** |

* Of the 108 fixed speed camera locations, seven locations operate in warning mode and six locations are 'high risk' locations (typically located in tunnels). These locations were not included in the fixed speed camera analysis.
** The total proposed point-to-point program is 25 enforcement lengths to be installed by the end of 2013.


## Mobile speed cameras

Mobile speed cameras produce a sustained change in driver behaviour by creating a perception that speeding can be enforced anywhere at any time. Therefore they reduce speeding not only at identified enforcement locations but also spread the deterrence effect of cameras across more of the road network. This is because drivers are less able to predict where enforcement will occur; the less predictable the enforcement, the more broadly speed limit compliance can be achieved and the greater the crash problem that is addressed. Mobile speed cameras can be moved around the road network at various times and locations.

## Red-light speed cameras

Red-light speed cameras are also location-specific as they address speeding and red-light running at signalised intersections where drivers are vulnerable to right angle crashes and there is an elevated risk of a pedestrian crash. Both of these crash types can result in severe injuries even in lower speed accidents.

The NSW Police Force previously managed red-light cameras at 183 intersections across the Sydney, Newcastle and Wollongong metropolitan areas. These cameras were becoming outdated and used obsolete wet-film technology and the program was handed over to the former Roads and Transport Authority in December 2008. The newer red-light speed cameras, which use digital technology, were introduced in late 2009 to replace some of these wet-film locations as well as enforce new intersections.

## Fixed speed cameras

Fixed speed cameras are located at specified road lengths where there is a high crash risk or a demonstrated crash history.

In early 2011 there were 172 cameras operating at 141 locations. When the audit of speed cameras was released in July 2011, the Minister for Roads and Ports directed the deactivation of fixed speed cameras that were found to not be delivering the expected road safety benefit at 38 locations. Safety reviews have now been conducted at these locations and implementation of a program of alternative safety works has commenced. Cameras at these locations will be removed upon completion of works. Cameras at seven of these locations remain in warning mode following safety concerns expressed by the community.

Following the results of the 2012 annual speed camera review, another nine locations were identified for further review based on the crash analysis criteria. After desktop reviews were undertaken for these locations, four were deemed effective and five were recommended for comprehensive field reviews to be lead by an independent road safety auditor. These locations were: New South Head Road, Edgecliff; Northern Distributor (Memorial Drive), Corrimal; Pacific Highway, Hungry Head (Valla); New England Highway, Kootingal; and New England Highway, Lochinvar. A further location, Cowpasture Road, Green Valley, was also recommended for comprehensive field review to assess whether camera enforcement was still required following a significant upgrade of the road in December 2010.

Reviews of these six fixed speed camera locations were completed in December 2012. The review team, lead by an independent road safety auditor included CRS, councils, nearby schools, NRMA Motoring and Services, the NSW Police Force and local communities. The reviews recommended that the speed cameras at Cowpasture Road, Green Valley and New England Highway, Kootingal be decommissioned while cameras at the remaining four locations be retained as they continue to provide road safety benefits.

In December 2012, cameras at the two locations were decommissioned. Cowpasture Road was upgraded in December 2010 from a two lane road to a four lane divided carriageway with traffic signals and key intersections. Since the upgrade, the crash rate at this location has reduced, as has the severity of crashes. The cameras at this location were removed on 16 April 2013. At New England Highway, Kootingal the safety review found a very low number of crashes and minimal ongoing road safety concerns. The review recommended that the speed camera would be better utilised at another high risk location on the road network. This camera will be removed once minor road safety works have been implemented.

## Point-to-point enforcement

Point-to-point enforcement addresses speeding along travel routes with a demonstrated history of crashes. Point-to-point enforcement in NSW targets heavy vehicles as they are over-represented in crashes on known heavy vehicle routes. Point-to-point enforcement works by measuring the amount of time it takes a heavy vehicle to travel between two points and then calculating the average speed of the vehicle. If the vehicle's average speed is faster than the speed limit for the length of road, the driver will be infringed for speeding.

The ultimate indicator of performance for all speed cameras in NSW is a reduction in people killed and injured in crashes. The following tables outline the criteria that have guided the evaluation of speed cameras in NSW as well as the overall effectiveness of the NSW Speed Camera Strategy.

Table 3: Criteria for measuring camera effectiveness

| Enforcement type | Evaluation data | Measure of effectiveness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobile speed cameras | Annual speed surveys | Reduction in vehicles exceeding speed limit across the road network/ random sample of locations |
|  | Compliance data | Increase in compliance rates/Reduction in infringement rates |
|  | Crash data | Reduction in crashes and casualties across NSW |
| Red-light speed cameras | Speeds | Reduction in vehicles exceeding speed limit at intersection |
|  | Compliance data | Increase in compliance at intersection/Reduction in infringement rates |
|  | Crash data | Reduction in casualties and crashes at intersection |
| Fixed speed cameras | Speeds | Reduction in vehicles speeding within 500 metres of the camera |
|  | Compliance data | Increase in compliance at camera location/Reduction in infringement rates |
|  | Crash data | Reduction in casualties and crashes within 500 metres of the camera |
|  | Risk | Level of risk continues to be reduced at the location (for example low level of speeding and/or crashes in tunnels) |
| Point-to-Point enforcement | Speeds | Reduction in heavy vehicle speeding within enforcement length |
|  | Compliance data | Increase in compliance within the enforcement length/Reduction in infringement rates |
|  | Crash data | Reduction in crashes within enforcement length |

Table 4: Criteria for measuring overall effectiveness of enforcement programs

| Program | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- |
| Mobile speed camera | Reduction in road trauma, speed-related crashes and speeding across the <br> entire road network |
| Red-light speed camera | Reduction in frequency and severity of crashes at enforced intersections <br> (and at all signalised intersections due to deterrent effect across the network) |
| Fixed speed | Reduction in vehicles speeding and the frequency or severity of crashes at <br> fixed speed camera locations |
| Point-to-Point | Reduction in speeding and the frequency and severity of crashes on point-to- <br> point enforcement lengths |

## 2012 Road toll data

The crash data used in this annual review include crashes which occurred between 1 January 2012 and 31 December 2012. It is important to note that this is still preliminary data. Annual road toll statistics are not finalised until approximately nine months after the end of the calendar year. This is because of the time lag involved with the receipt of late reports and the processing of exclusions arising from Coronial inquiry determinations. Based on the experience from previous years, the final road toll for 2012 may be around three per cent lower than the provisional road toll figure.

The crash statistics recorded by Transport for NSW and included in this annual review are confined to those crashes which conform to the national guidelines for reporting and classifying road vehicle crashes ${ }^{2}$ and are based on the following criteria:

1. The crash was reported to the police
2. The crash occurred on a road open to the public
3. The crash involved at least one moving road vehicle
4. The crash involved at least one person being killed or injured or at least one motor vehicle being towed away.

## Speed camera crash data

Crash data have been examined at individual speed camera locations for fixed, red-light speed and point-to-point cameras to ascertain performance at camera locations. For mobile speed cameras, the annual review examined crash data for the entire state rather than individual locations due to the mobility of mobile speed cameras and their purpose of creating a general deterrence effect across the road network.

Typically at least five years of crash and casualty data are required to make a statistically significant assessment of a camera's effectiveness. ${ }^{3}$ Since the red-light speed camera and point-to-point enforcement programs have been operational for less than three years, the data provided in the annual review were not sufficient to reliably assess the effectiveness of individual camera locations and only preliminary observations are made.

## Red-light speed cameras

Preliminary analysis of the red-light speed camera program has been conducted by intersection, rather than by camera. There are currently a total of 106 red-light and red-light speed cameras operating at 91 intersections around Sydney, Newcastle and Wollongong with 15 intersections having two cameras.

Crash data were examined at each intersection with a red-light speed camera for all crashes that occurred within 10 metres of the intersection. The analysis provides crash data for the five year pre installation period, ending 91 days before the commencement date (as this is the period in which the camera was under construction). The post installation period is from the commencement date to the end of 2012. Red-light speed cameras operate in warning mode for a period prior to issuing infringements.

In addition to total casualties at each location, pedestrian casualties have also been specifically examined given the greater exposure of pedestrians at signalised intersections and the higher likelihood of severe casualty outcomes for this group due to their lack of protection in a crash. Data is also provided for adjacent, right through and rear-end crashes before and after camera installation as these are the crash types that typically occur at intersections. Adjacent and right-through crashes are often more severe as drivers and passengers are not as protected from side impact crashes, with low-speed side impact crashes potentially resulting in severe injuries. The frequency and severity of these crash types are expected to reduce at intersections enforced by red-light speed cameras. Rear-end crashes are included in the preliminary analysis as it has been reported that red-light cameras can lead to an increase in rear-end crashes due to drivers suddenly stopping on an amber light. ${ }^{4}$

[^2]1. Crashes are assigned to the traffic signal controlled intersections enforced. An intersection crash is one which occurs within, or up to 10 metres from an intersection. Initially crashes geo-coded as within 90 metres of the Traffic Control System (TCS) feature and that occurred at the intersection were selected.
2. These were viewed and attributed to the intersection under analysis taking into account the geo-coding as well as the values in the street name, the ID feature, and the intersection-type fields
3. Where unclear, the correct location of the crash was confirmed or inferred from the original police report.
4. The commencement of the warning letter period is listed for each camera. For the analysis of intersections with two cameras, the post installation period began with the earlier commencement date.
5. Data for each crash type (adjacent, right-through and rear-end) was reported based on Road User Movement (RUM) codes. RUM codes describe the first impact that occurred during the crash. Adjacent crashes are indicated by RUM code 10; Right-through crashes are coded 21 and rear-end crashes are coded 30. More information on RUM codes can be found in the Annual Statistical Statement at http://roadsafety.transport.nsw.gov.au/downloads/accident statistics dl4.html
6. The improvement rates for crashes and casualties are based on the annual averages in crashes and casualties at each location before and after the cameras were installed. This allows an approximate comparison to be made between the five year pre installation period and the available data for the post installation period until December 2012 (currently less than five years for all red-light speed camera locations).
7. Data for the crash analysis have not been ranked and are presented alphabetically by suburb of the camera location.

## Fixed speed cameras

Analysis of the fixed speed camera program has been conducted by fixed speed camera location, rather than by camera. While there are currently 108 fixed speed camera locations across NSW, 96 fixed speed camera locations were analysed in this report. Of the 108 locations, seven locations operate in warning mode following the 2011 audit of speed camera programs. These cameras are not evaluated as part of this report however they will continue to be monitored and information on crashes and infringements at the locations is available at Appendix D. A further six locations were not assessed for their individual effectiveness because they are located in tunnels and no pre-installation data are available, however crash and infringement data for these locations is included in Appendix C. One location, F6, Gwynneville, has two cameras operating approximately 1,000 metres apart and infringing in different directions, therefore these cameras were analysed as separate locations in the directions they enforce.

For each of the 96 locations included in the analysis, typically crash data within 500 metres either side of the fixed speed camera was examined. For cameras located within a school zone, crash data was examined from patch-to-patch (i.e. the length of road designated as a school zone, as identified by the start and end patches marked on the road). For locations with more than one camera in operation (where cameras are less than 100 metres apart), the crash analysis length was for 500 metres either side of the mid-point of the two cameras.

Fixed speed camera performance was measured through an evaluation of pre and post installation crash data. In most cases, the analysis provides crash data for the five year pre installation period, ending three months directly before the commencement date (as this is the period in which warning letters are issued). The post installation period is the most recent five calendar year period (2008-2012) to assess the current performance of the speed camera.

For the seven locations operating in warning mode, crash and infringement results are provided from July 2012 until the end of the review period. These cameras began operating in warning mode at different times, starting from August 2011. By July 2012, cameras at all seven locations commenced operating under a 'three strike' scheme where vehicle owners receive an infringement notice on the third speeding offence at any of the seven locations. Vehicles detected speeding more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over the speed limit receive a court attendance notice and face significant penalties.

For each of the six 'high risk' camera locations, crash data has been reported for the 2012 calendar year only as there is no pre-installation data for analysis (typically tunnel locations).

Based on the pre and post installation crash analysis, and along with other relevant site specific information, the report lists a recommendation for each fixed speed camera location. The camera location is listed as either:
a) Delivering the expected road safety benefits; or
b) Recommended for review.

Fixed speed camera locations have been classified as being effective and delivering the expected road safety benefits if the current crash analysis satisfies any one of the following criteria:

1. There is a lower number of total casualties and the same or lower number of crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
2. There is the same number of total casualties but a lower number of total crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
3. If there was at least one fatality in the before or after period, the combined cost to the community of fatalities and injuries in the after period is less than the combined cost in the before period. This acknowledges the greater cost to the community of fatalities compared to injuries. The estimated cost of road crash casualties is calculated using the willingness to pay methodology, which reflects the accumulated value the NSW community is willing to pay or forgo in exchange for a reduction in the probability of crash related injuries and road crash deaths on NSW roads. According to willingness to pay, casualty costs are $\$ 6.337$ million per fatality, and $\$ 0.136$ million per injury. ${ }^{5}$
4. Fixed speed cameras have been installed in tunnels and other areas under the 'high risk' site selection criteria. For these locations, there are no available data in the before period due to there being no crash history prior to camera implementation. However, any crash that occurs in these areas would have potentially catastrophic consequences due to difficulties of access by ambulance and emergency vehicles to the crash site.

Fixed speed camera locations have been identified for review if the current crash analysis satisfies any one of the following criteria:

1. There is a higher number of total casualties and total crashes in the after period compared to the before period.
2. There is a higher number of total casualties in the after period compared to the before period, and the same number of total crashes in both before and after periods.
3. There is a higher number of total casualties but a lower number of total crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
4. There is a slightly lower number of total casualties but a higher number of total crashes in the after period compared to the before period, and no fatalities in the after period.
5. There is the same number of total casualties, and the same number of total crashes, in both before and after periods (and no fatalities in the after period).
6. If there was at least one fatality in the after period, the combined cost to the community of fatalities and injuries in the after period is greater than the combined cost in the before period. This acknowledges the greater cost to the community of fatalities compared to injuries (with calculations based on the willingness to pay methodology, as already outlined).
7. Major road works such as curve re-alignment or highway duplication have significantly improved safety at the existing location.

Where a fixed speed camera location satisfied any of these criteria, a further desktop review of the location was conducted, to determine the appropriateness of the recommendation. This analysis considered the trend in casualty crashes, the circumstances of fatal crashes at the location and the

[^3]specific types of crashes that occurred at the location. Where there was additional information which indicated the camera was effective, this is indicated in the report.

## Additional technical notes for the analysis of fixed speed cameras:

1. The commencement date listed for each location refers to the month and year that the fixed speed camera commenced infringement at that location. For locations where more than one fixed speed camera is in operation, the date listed refers to the month and year that the first camera started infringing at that location unless specified otherwise.
2. For each location, the pre and post installation periods vary depending on the date the camera commenced infringement, and excluded the three month period directly before the commencement date. For each location, the pre installation period was defined as the five year period up to three months prior to the commencement date of camera infringements. The post installation period was defined as the most recent five year period.
3. In instances where there was less than five years post installation data, the five year pre installation data was adjusted so that it reflected an average number of crashes and casualties over an equivalent time period (e.g. four years). The post installation period was calculated as the time from when the camera began infringing to the end of 2012 (i.e. 3 years and 338 days). Analysis of some recently installed school zone locations was necessarily based on shorter post installation time periods.
4. In instances when there was less than five years of pre installation data, the pre installation period was adjusted so that it represented an equivalent five year period.
5. The percentage reduction for crashes and casualties are based on the annual averages in crashes and casualties at each location before and after the cameras were installed. This allows an approximate comparison to be made between the five year pre installation period and the most recent five calendar year post installation period (2008-2012).
6. Data for the crash analysis have not been ranked and are presented alphabetically on the location description of the camera location.

## Point-to-point enforcement

There are 25 lengths that are part of the point-to-point enforcement program with 19 of these lengths rolled out by the end of 2012: two lengths were installed in 2010; 13 lengths were installed in 2011; and four lengths were installed in 2012. Of the remaining six point-to-point lengths, two were installed in early 2013 and four are being rolled out later in 2013. There are eight lengths that have enforced for the entire 2012 review period; however this has been for a period of two years or less and is too early to assess the effectiveness of individual enforcement lengths.

The current report provides heavy vehicle crash data for the five year period prior to the length commencing enforcement and available data for the period after the length was activated in warning period.

## Speed survey data

Vehicle speeds are assessed state-wide through annual speed surveys undertaken by CRS at the same locations every year. These speed surveys are conducted across NSW on a range of roads with a range of speed limits to gather current information about speeding behaviour of both light vehicles and heavy vehicles. In 2012 annual speed surveys were conducted at 164 locations across NSW.

The annual speed surveys measure free travel speeds, with a headway of four seconds. That is, only the speeds of vehicles that are unimpeded by other traffic are measured. Therefore the survey provides a measure of the speed that drivers choose to travel rather than a measure of traffic congestion.

Speed surveys in 2012 were not undertaken at specific speed camera enforcement locations, therefore at camera locations infringement data were used as a proxy for speed data in this review. Speed surveys will continue to be undertaken at a sample of speed camera enforcement locations in the future so that speeding behaviour can be assessed for these programs.

## Infringement data

Infringement data were used as a proxy measure of speeding behaviour at camera locations. Infringement data analysed in this report includes penalty notices detected by Roads and Maritime Services speed cameras from July 2002 onwards (no infringement data is available prior to this date).

Infringement data for red-light speed cameras, fixed speed cameras and mobile speed cameras are publically available through the NSW Office of State Revenue (http://www.osr.nsw.gov.au/about/corporate/statistics/). It should be noted that data for prior July 2004 is not currently published on the Office of State Revenue website.

This review has found that across the four programs, speed cameras are continuing to improve road safety in NSW. Early results in new programs show that drivers are changing their behaviour, which overall is resulting in a reduction in crashes and casualties at camera locations and across the road network. However three of the four programs are still in their infancy and a better understanding of the longer term effectiveness of these programs will require ongoing monitoring of their performance by CRS into the future.

## Key findings

## Mobile speed cameras

The analysis of the mobile speed camera program is available at Appendix A.
In August 2011, a review of the NSW mobile speed camera program found that in the first year of operation (19 July 2010 to 18 July 2011) the program contributed to a 19 per cent statistically significant reduction in fatalities throughout NSW. This represents a saving of 84 lives and an estimated community saving of around $\$ 490$ million.

The immediate impact of the small-scale interim mobile speed cameras is evident. The significant reduction in fatalities (and speed-related crashes) in the first year of the program can be attributed to the effect of enforcement as well as the deterrence effect, which produced broader speed limit compliance due to the less predictable enforcement of mobile speed cameras. The rollout of the mobile speed camera program was also supported by an extensive communication campaign and media coverage which further reinforced the message for drivers to reduce their speed.

The ongoing impact of the mobile speed camera program is reflected in the provisional 2012 road toll. The provisional 2012 road toll is 370 persons killed on NSW roads. This result is the second lowest annual figure since 1932 (with 366 fatalities). The lowest recorded road toll since 1932 occurred in 2011 with 364 fatalities.

In 2010 there was an immediate and significant reduction in vehicle speeds following the reintroduction of the mobile speed camera program. The results in 2011 showed a continued suppression of speeding, when compared to results from 2008 to 2009 however there were some increases in speeding when compared to 2010.

The results from the 2012 speed surveys were mixed. When looking at the percentage of light vehicles exceeding the speed limit by up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, there has been a decrease in speeding in all speed zones compared to the previous year with the exception of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones. However when looking at the percentage of light vehicles exceeding the speed limit by more than $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, there has been a increase in speeding in a number of speed zones. While there is continued suppression of speeding in the $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones there was a slight increase in speeding in the remaining speed zones for light vehicles compared to 2010 and 2011. Of particular concern is the larger increase in speeding in the $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ as well as the $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones.

The results for heavy vehicles follow a similar trend to that of light vehicles, in that there has been an overall decrease in the percentage of heavy vehicles exceeding the speed limit by up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in most speed zones compared to the previous year. When looking at the percentage of heavy vehicles exceeding the speed limit by more than $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, there has been an increase in speeding in the $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Generally however, a lower proportion of heavy vehicles exceed the speed limit than light vehicles.

The increase in speeding at higher speed zones across both light and heavy vehicles support that $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ urban roads and higher speed zones should be the target of future mobile speed camera enforcement.

Overall, the trend in road fatalities and annual speed surveys demonstrates that the small-scale interim mobile speed camera program continues to deliver positive road safety benefits, compared with results
prior to the re-introduction of the mobile speed camera program. However the increase in speeding observed in the 2012 annual speed surveys, compared with 2010 and 2011 results provides evidence that the general deterrence provided by mobile speed cameras can be enhanced by a larger program. A gradual return to pre-2010 results may occur in future years without an increased enforcement presence.

With a larger program, there will be greater coverage of the road network at various times and locations and, like police enforcement, this mobility increases the deterrence effect due to the unpredictability of the exact location of speed enforcement.

The mobile speed camera program will be expanded later this year and once completed, will result in about 45 marked vehicles operating for 7,000 enforcement hours per month at around 2,500 locations. This way forward aims to increase the general deterrence of speeding, which is expected to deliver continued reductions in crashes and casualties and reductions in vehicles exceeding the speed limit.

In 2012 there were a total of 17,371 infringements resulting in total fine revenue of $\$ 2.87$ million from mobile speed camera enforcement. The infringement data for mobile speed cameras show a downward trend in the number of infringements issued during 2012. The significant decrease in infringements from June 2012 can be attributed to the enhanced visibility of mobile speed camera vehicles as well as additional signage being placed before a mobile speed camera to ensure drivers see and recognised the enforcement activity. At the same time, mobile speed cameras also switched from enforcing in both directions to single direction enforcement, further contributing to the lower volume of infringements.

An increase in the volume of infringements is anticipated as the program is expanded, coinciding with the increase in the number of sites used for enforcement. Following the program increase and the use of new sites, the number of infringements is expected to stabilise and return to a downward trend as driver behaviour changes.

## Red-light speed cameras

The analysis of red-light speed camera locations is available at Appendix B.
Overall, based on an annualised average at the 91 intersections, there has been a 23 per cent reduction in crashes and a 30 per cent reduction in casualties at these locations since the cameras were installed compared with a five year period prior to installation. Of the total casualties, there has been a 44 per cent reduction in pedestrian casualties at red light speed camera locations. There has also been a reduction in the three main intersection crash types with a 30 per cent reduction in adjacent crashes; a 30 per cent reduction in right-through crashes; and a 13 per cent reduction in rear-end crashes. The reduction in rear-end crashes is a positive result for the combination of red-light and speed enforcement because previous research has found that while red-light speed cameras reduce more severe right-angle crashes, rear-end crashes can increase.

In 2012 there were a total of 210,103 infringements resulting in total fine revenue of $\$ 60.19$ million at red-light speed camera intersections. At this stage cameras have not been in operation for long enough for there to be a meaningful trend in infringements. However, it is expected that red-light running and speeding at red-light speed camera intersections will decrease over time, thereby reducing the number of infringements and fine revenue at these locations. This was recently shown in an evaluation undertaken by the Centre for Automotive Safety Research in South Australia ${ }^{6}$, which found that in the first year of operation, red-light speed cameras resulted in decrease in red-light running and speeding over time. While red-light running decreased slowly over time, speeding decreased more rapidly. This demonstrates an improvement in driver behaviour as a result of red-light speed cameras.

Early results for the NSW program indicate that the expected road safety benefits are being achieved when compared with the evaluation of a similar program in Victoria. While these early results are encouraging, it is too early to conclusively determine the effectiveness of individual locations and therefore no recommendations for review are proposed in this report. Typically at least five years of

[^4]crash and casualty data are required to make a statistically significant assessment of a camera's effectiveness. The Centre for Road Safety has however identified four locations where there has been a fatality since camera operation, including:

- Griffiths Road and Turton Road, Lambton
- O'Riordan Street and Gardeners Road, Mascot
- Anzac Parade and Lang Road, Moore Park
- Corrimal Street and Burelli Street, Wollongong

While the cameras at these locations will not be considered for removal, the Centre for Road Safety will investigate the nature of the fatal crash that occurred and where appropriate, conduct field inspections to determine whether additional treatments are required to further improve safety at these intersections.

## Fixed speed cameras

The analysis of fixed speed camera locations is available at Appendix C.
Overall, when comparing the five years before the fixed speed cameras were installed to the current five year analysis period, the fixed speed camera program has delivered a 42 per cent reduction in the number of crashes, a 90 per cent reduction in fatalities and a 41 per cent reduction in injuries at camera locations. In the five years before the cameras were installed there were 3,959 crashes, resulting in 61 fatalities and 2,124 injuries. In the current five year analysis period there were 2,460 crashes resulting in 6 fatalities and 1,340 injuries. In 2012 a total of 326,173 infringements were issued resulting in total fine revenue of $\$ 59.89$ million at fixed speed camera locations.

Based on the analysis of 96 fixed speed camera locations, 91 locations were deemed effective, with five locations recommended for comprehensive field reviews to be undertaken by CRS due to an increase in crashes and/or casualties at the location. These include:

- Hume Highway, Ashfield (school zone)
- Hume Highway, Bankstown (school zone)
- Fairfield Street, Fairfield East
- McCaffrey Drive, Rankin Park
- Pacific Highway, Sandgate

Safety reviews involve examining crash history, traffic volumes, road conditions, land use and high risk user behaviour near the fixed speed camera location. Safety reviews also involve the consideration of road safety issues raised by the community in regard to the locations. If during the review it is determined that the camera is not delivering the expected safety benefits at the location, it will be recommended for removal and possible relocation.

If a camera is recommended for removal, it will be determined what alternative road safety treatments are suitable to address any road safety issues. Alternative treatments may involve improved signage, road works, traffic facilities, speed zoning reviews and targeted communications.

Following the results of the 2011 audit of speed cameras, the Minister for Roads and Ports directed the deactivation of fixed speed cameras that were found to not be delivering the expected road safety benefit at 38 locations. Cameras at seven of these locations remain in warning mode following reviews by CRS and safety concerns expressed by the community. These seven locations are not included in the fixed speed camera analysis, however a report on crash and infringement results since the camera locations began operating under the ' 3 strikes' warning letter program is available at Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

## Point-to-point speed cameras

The analysis of the point-to-point speed camera program is available at Appendix $\mathbf{E}$.
It is too early to assess the effectiveness of individual point-to-point enforcement lengths as 13 lengths were installed in 2011, with another four installed in 2012. Only one point-to-point length, Great Western Highway between Meadow Flat and Raglan, has enforced for a full two year period (2011 and 2012). At this length, in the five year period before installation (2005-2009) there were 11 heavy vehicle crashes resulting in one fatality and eight injuries. In the two year post installation period (2011-2012) there were
five heavy vehicle crashes resulting in one fatality and two injuries. There were 45 speeding infringements issued at this length in 2012.

A total of 1,617 speeding infringements were issued resulting in total fine revenue of $\$ 1.02$ million at point-to-point lengths in 2012. Infringement data for average speed offences in point-to-point enforcement lengths shows a high level of compliance within the enforcement lengths and a low number of infringements. This is consistent with results in other point-to-point programs. Numerous studies have shown that point-to-point enforcement is typically associated with very high rates of compliance with posted speed limits even when traffic volume is high ${ }^{7}$. For example, rates of infringement associated with point-to-point enforcement (light and heavy vehicles) on the Hume Highway, Victoria have been reported at 1-2 per cent. ${ }^{8}$

## Future of NSW speed camera programs

The NSW Centre for Road Safety will continue to annually review all individual speed cameras as well as the overall performance of speed camera programs as set out in the NSW Speed Camera Strategy. These reviews will be annually published to ensure that the programs remain transparent to the community.

The fixed speed camera program continues to provide positive road safety benefits to the locations where they are installed, and will be annually assessed to ensure they continue delivering a positive road safety benefit. The NSW Centre for Road Safety will review locations that do not show crash and/or casualty reductions, and will remove cameras at locations that are found to not deliver clear road safety benefits.

While the red-light speed, mobile speed and point-to-point speed camera programs are still in their infancy, early results are encouraging, with some evidence of changes in driver behaviour. This is also reflected in an improvement in the provisional road toll for 2012. It is expected that the expansion of the red-light speed and mobile speed camera programs will deliver even greater results than the small-scale programs that operated in 2012. While statistically significant analyses of these programs will not be possible for a few more years, the CRS will continue to annually monitor their performance.

[^5]
## Appendices

Appendix A: Analysis of NSW mobile speed camera program
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## Appendix A: Analysis of the NSW mobile speed camera program

## NSW Road toll data

In August 2011, the review of the NSW mobile speed camera program ${ }^{1}$ found that in the first year of operation there was a 19 per cent statistically significant reduction ( $p<0.001$ ) in fatalities throughout NSW since the re-introduction of mobile speed cameras (chi-square test of independence at 0.05 probability level).

In the year before mobile speed cameras (19 July 2009 - 18 July 2010) there were 449 fatalities in NSW, whereas in the year after mobile speed cameras (19 July 2010 - 18 July 2011) there were 357 fatalities. This represents a saving of 92 lives and an estimated community saving of around $\$ 537$ million (based on willingness-to-pay methodology).

The ongoing impact of the mobile speed camera program is reflected in the provisional 2012 road toll.
There were 370 persons killed on NSW roads in 2012 (provisional figure). The 2012 provisional road toll is the number of fatalities recorded for 2012 as at 1 January 2013. The provisional 2012 road toll result for NSW is a continuation of the significant improvements in the road toll over several decades. From a peak of 1,384 fatalities in 1978 the NSW road toll has reduced by more than 70 per cent to the 2012 provisional figure.

The 2012 result represents the second lowest annual figure since 1932 (with 366 fatalities) - the lowest fatality total since 1932 occurred in 2011 (with 364 fatalities). Current NSW road toll levels are impressive given the tripling of the population in NSW, the eighteen fold increase in licence holders and the twenty-two fold increase in registered motor vehicles since 1932. However more can be done to further drive reductions in the NSW road toll, and mobile speed cameras will contine to play an important role.

## 2012 Annual speed surveys

The results represent a summary of annual speed survey results from 2008-2012. Results are presented separately for light and heavy vehicles and show:

- mean speed;
- 85th percentile speed (i.e. speed that 85 per cent of vehicles are below and 15 per cent of vehicles are exceeding);
- percentage exceeding the speed limit by up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$; and
- percentage exceeding the speed limit by more than $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Vehicle speeds are assessed state-wide through annual speed surveys undertaken by CRS at the same locations every year. These speed surveys are conducted across NSW on a range of roads with a range of speed limits to gather current information about speeding behaviour of both light vehicles and heavy vehicles.

In 2012 annual speed surveys were conducted at 164 locations across NSW. They were not undertaken at specific speed camera enforcement locations The annual speed surveys measure free travel speeds, with a headway of four seconds. That is, only the speeds of vehicles that are unimpeded by other traffic are measured. Therefore the survey provides a measure of the speed that drivers choose to travel rather than a measure of traffic congestion.

[^6]Mean speed and 85th percentile speed survey results, 2008-2012

| Posted Speed Limit | Light Vehicles Mean Speeds (km/h) |  |  |  |  | Light Vehicles 85th Percentile Speeds (km/h) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ School Zone | $45.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $45.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 43.6 km/h | $44.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $43.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $53.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $52.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $50.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $51.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $50.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $38.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $38.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $38.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $38.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $39.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $44.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $44.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $44.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $43.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $44.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $53.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $53.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $52.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $52.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $51.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $60.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $61.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $59.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $59.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $58.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 58.6 km/h | $58.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $57.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $57.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $58.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $65.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $65.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $64.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $64.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $65.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $69.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $69.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $67.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $67.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $67.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $77.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $77.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $75.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $75.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $75.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $77.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $77.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $76.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $76.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $77.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $85.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $85.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $84.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $86.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $85.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ - small sample | $89.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 88.1 km/h | $91.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $90.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $86.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $97.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 96.7 km/h | $99.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $99.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $95.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $97.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 98.8 km/h | 98.6 km/h | 99.1 km/h | $98.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $105.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $106.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $106.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $106.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $106.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}^{*}$ | 109.6 km/h | $109.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $108.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $109.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 109.8 km/h | $117.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $118.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 116.6 km/h | 116.7 km/h | $117.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |


| Posted Speed Limit | Heavy Vehicles Mean Speeds (km/h) |  |  |  |  | Heavy Vehicles 85th Percentile Speeds (km/h) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ School Zone | $42.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $41.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $41.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $42.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $40.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $48.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $48.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $47.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $49.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $46.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $37.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 38.6 km/h | $37.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $37.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 39.1 km/h | $42.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $44.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $42.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $42.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $44.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $51.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $53.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $51.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $51.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $50.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $59.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $60.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 58.6 km/h | $58.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $57.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $56.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $56.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $56.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $55.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $57.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $64.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $63.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $63.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $63.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $65.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $66.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 66.1 km/h | $65.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $64.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $64.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $75.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $75.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $74.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $73.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $72.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $72.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $76.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $72.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $72.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $75.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $82.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $89.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $81.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $81.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $83.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ - small sample | $85.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $85.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $91.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $90.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $85.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $95.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $94.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $99.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $98.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $95.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $96.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $97.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $98.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $98.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $97.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $102.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $103.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 104.4 km/h | $104.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $104.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}^{*}$ | 101.4 km/h | $100.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 101.8 km/h | $100.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 101.8 km/h | $106.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 106.4 km/h | $109.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 106.8 km/h | $107.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |

* Note HV Speed Limit is $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and results presented indicate the percentage of HV's exceeding 100km/h in this section

Percentage of vehicles exceeding the speed limit, 2008-2012

| Posted Speed Limit | Light Vehicles Exceeding the Speed Limit - by up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |  | Light Vehicles Exceeding the Speed Limit +10km/h |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ School Zone | 46.2 \% | 46.4 \% | 44.0 \% | 45.1 \% | 42.0 \% | 25.7 \% | 23.0 \% | 17.5 \% | 19.7 \% | 18.4 \% |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 29.4 \% | 30.2 \% | 27.8 \% | 27.2 \% | 32.0 \% | 4.0 \% | 4.5 \% | 3.4 \% | 3.7 \% | 6.0 \% |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 49.5 \% | 48.8 \% | 46.4 \% | 46.6 \% | 42.8 \% | 17.0 \% | 16.8 \% | 12.6 \% | 13.2 \% | 13.0 \% |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 31.8 \% | 32.3 \% | 28.8 \% | 28.2 \% | 29.9 \% | 7.2 \% | 7.3 \% | 5.4 \% | 5.8 \% | 7.8 \% |
| $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 36.4 \% | 35.9 \% | 31.3 \% | 30.2 \% | 28.2 \% | 10.1 \% | 10.4 \% | 6.6 \% | 6.3 \% | 7.3 \% |
| $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 28.4 \% | 29.8 \% | 26.3 \% | 26.1 \% | 24.8 \% | 7.8 \% | 8.8 \% | 6.3 \% | 6.6 \% | 7.1 \% |
| $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ - small sample | 32.5 \% | 29.6 \% | 38.0 \% | 38.5 \% | 22.9 \% | 10.3 \% | 9.0 \% | 11.8 \% | 13.4 \% | 10.0 \% |
| $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 33.6 \% | 34.5 \% | 32.2 \% | 34.4 \% | 31.0 \% | 7.6 \% | 8.2 \% | 9.0 \% | 8.9 \% | 9.4 \% |
| $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}^{*}$ | 38.7 \% | 40.8 \% | 37.5 \% | 38.9 \% | 41.2 \% | 9.9 \% | 9.3 \% | 6.4 \% | 7.0 \% | 11.4 \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Posted Speed Limit | Heavy | icles | eeding to 10km | Speed | mit - by | Hea | ehicl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exceeding } \\ & +10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | he Sp | Limit |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ School Zone | 41.1 \% | 42.2 \% | 36.2 \% | 43.3 \% | 39.5 \% | 13.1 \% | 10.1 \% | 10.2 \% | 12.6 \% | 8.1 \% |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 21.4 \% | 26.6 \% | 22.8 \% | 21.0 \% | 29.9 \% | 2.9 \% | 5.4 \% | 3.6 \% | 3.0 \% | 6.2 \% |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 43.0 \% | 45.6 \% | 44.7 \% | 42.5 \% | 40.4 \% | 14.0 \% | 16.7 \% | 12.5 \% | 10.7 \% | 10.0 \% |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 27.0 \% | 26.7 \% | 25.8 \% | 25.4 \% | 25.4 \% | 6.4 \% | 5.0 \% | 3.9 \% | 4.5 \% | 9.6 \% |
| $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 28.7 \% | 28.6 \% | 27.3 \% | 23.7 \% | 21.4 \% | 5.4 \% | 5.7 \% | 4.2 \% | 3.5 \% | 3.6 \% |
| $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 22.4 \% | 23.6 \% | 21.2 \% | 23.2 \% | 19.4 \% | 5.5 \% | 9.8 \% | 5.1 \% | 5.9 \% | 6.2 \% |
| $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ - small sample | 28.3 \% | 27.2 \% | 41.3 \% | 34.8 \% | 22.6 \% | 6.6 \% | 6.3 \% | 14.0 \% | 13.6 \% | 11.2 \% |
| $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 34.3 \% | 34.5 \% | 34.1 \% | 34.0 \% | 33.4 \% | 3.0 \% | 3.2 \% | 4.8 \% | 4.8 \% | 5.8 \% |
| $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}^{*}$ | 45.8 \% | 48.1 \% | 39.8 \% | 44.9 \% | 8.8 \% | 8.7 \% | 8.1 \% | 12.9 \% | 8.8 \% | 3.2 \% |

[^7]
## Light vehicles

In 2012, light vehicle speed survey results indicate:

- $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones
- 43 per cent of drivers were speeding up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit, and a further 13 per cent were exceeding the speed limit by $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or more.
- The 85 th percentile speed was $59 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the mean speed was $51 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
- $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones
- 31 per cent of drivers were speeding up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit, and a further nine per cent were exceeding the speed limit by $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or more.
- The 85 th percentile speed was $106 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the mean speed was $98 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.


## Heavy vehicles

In 2012, heavy vehicle speed survey results indicate:

- $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones
- 40 per cent of drivers were speeding up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit, and a further 10 per cent were exceeding the speed limit by $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or more.
- The 85 th percentile speed was $57 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the mean speed was $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
- $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones
- 33 per cent of drivers were speeding up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit, and a further six per cent were exceeding the speed limit by $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or more.
- The 85 th percentile speed was $104 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the mean speed was $98 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.


## Trends in speed survey results

Over the last five years the general trend has been a decrease in the proportion of light vehicles exceeding the speed limit across most speed zones. This includes a significant decrease in the proportion of vehicles exceeding the speed limit in 2010, coinciding with the introduction of mobile speed cameras and installation of red-light speed cameras. The results in 2011 showed a continued suppression of speeding, when compared to results from 2008 to 2009 however there were some increases in speeding when compared to 2010.

The results from the 2012 speed surveys were mixed. When looking at the percentage of light vehicles exceeding the speed limit by up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, there has been a decrease in speeding in all speed zones compared to the previous year with the exception of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones. However when looking at the percentage of light vehicles exceeding the speed limit by more than $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, there has been a increase in speeding in a number of speed zones. While there is continued suppression of speeding in the $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones there was a slight increase in speeding in the remaining speed zones for light vehicles compared to 2010 and 2011. Of particular concern is the larger increase in speeding in the $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ as well as the $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones.

The results for heavy vehicles follow a similar trend to that of light vehicles, in that there has been an overall decrease in the percentage of heavy vehicles exceeding the speed limit by up to $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in most speed zones compared to the previous year. When looking at the percentage of heavy vehicles exceeding the speed limit by more than $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, there has been an increase in speeding in the $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Generally however, a lower proportion of heavy vehicles exceed the speed limit than light vehicles.

The increase in speeding at higher speed zones across both light and heavy vehicles support that $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ urban roads and higher speed zones should be the target of future mobile speed camera enforcement.

## Mobile speed camera infringements



In 2012 there were a total of 17,371 infringements resulting in total fine revenue of $\$ 2.87$ million from mobile speed camera enforcement. The infringement data for mobile speed cameras show a downward trend in the number of infringements issued during 2012.

Since the program commenced, the number of sites available for use and the number of sites used each month has increased over time as ongoing assessments to identify suitable enforcement sites are completed. However, all selected sites are published on the Centre for Road Safety website. In 2011, there are two months where there are peaks in infringement volumes. An increase in infringements usually comes when mobile speed cameras are enforcing in less frequently used locations or locations that have not been used before. In both May 2011 and November 2011 there were increases in the number of sites used for enforcement in those months. In the months following the use of new sites, the number of infringements decreased or stabilised. This trend is to be anticipated; for example fixed speed enforcement follows the same type of pattern, with the difference being that fixed speed cameras are in the same place $24 / 7$ meaning that reductions in infringements are more consistent.

The significant decrease in infringements from June 2012 can be attributed to the enhanced visibility of mobile speed camera vehicles as well as additional signage being placed before a mobile speed camera to ensure drivers see and recognised the enforcement activity. At the same time, mobile speed cameras also switched from enforcing in both directions to single direction enforcement, further contributing to the lower volume of infringements.

The figure below shows the complice rate of vehicles at mobile speed camera locations. Compliance data compares the number of vehicles that pass a camera with the number of fines detected by the camera. As can be seen below, over 99 per cent of vehicles passing mobile cameras are not infringed for speeding.


## Summary

Overall, the trend in road fatalities and annual speed surveys demonstrates that the small-scale interim mobile speed camera program continues to deliver positive road safety benefits, compared with results prior to the re-introduction of the mobile speed camera program. However the increase in speeding observed in the 2012 annual speed surveys, compared with 2010 and 2011 results provides evidence that the general deterrence provided by mobile speed cameras can be enhanced by a larger program. A gradual return to pre-2010 results may occur in future years without an increased enforcement presence.

With a larger program, there can be greater coverage of the road network at various times and locations and, like police enforcement, this mobility increases the deterrence effect due to the unpredictability of the exact location of speed enforcement.

The mobile speed camera program will be expanded later this year and once completed, will result in about 45 marked vehicles operating for 7,000 enforcement hours per month at around 2,500 locations. This way forward aims to increase the general deterrence of speeding, which is expected to deliver continued reductions in crashes and casualties and reductions in vehicles exceeding the speed limit.

An increase in the volume of infringements is anticipated as the program is expanded, coinciding with the increase in the number of sites used for enforcement. In the months following the use of new sites however, the rate of infringement per hour of enfrocement is expected to stabilise and return to a downward trend as driver behaviour changes.

## Appendix B: Analysis of the NSW red-light speed camera program

## Overview of red-light speed camera locations (intersections)

|  | Main Street | Cross Street | Suburb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Park Avenue | Northcott Drive | Adamstown |
| 2 | McEvoy Street | Botany Road | Alexandria / Waterloo |
| 3 | Frederick Street | Hume Highway | Ashfield |
| 4 | M4 Wb Off Ramp / Silverwater Road | M4 W/B on Ramp / Silverwater Road | Auburn |
| 5 | Meredith Street | Hume Highway | Bankstown |
| 6 | Windsor Road | Old Northern Road / Seven Hills Road | Baulkham Hills |
| 7 | Forest Road | Harrow Road | Bexley |
| 8 | Bungarribee Road | Reservoir Road | Blacktown |
| 9 | Great Western Highway | Reservoir Road | Blacktown |
| 10 | Lancaster Street | Kildare Road | Blacktown |
| 11 | Newton Road | Flushcombe Road | Blacktown |
| 12 | Sunnyholt Road | Main Street | Blacktown |
| 13 | Old South Head Road | Bondi Road | Bondi Junction |
| 14 | Parramatta Road | Burwood Road | Burwood / Concord |
| 15 | Cumberland Highway | Cabramatta Road West | Cabramatta West |
| 16 | Kellicar Road | Narellan Road | Campbelltown |
| 17 | Moore-Oxley Bypass | Broughton Street | Campbelltown |
| 18 | Canterbury Road | Bexley Road | Campsie |
| 19 | Cumberland Highway | St Johns Road | Canley Heights |
| 20 | Sackville Street | Canley Vale Road | Canley Vale |
| 21 | Kingsway | Gannons Road | Caringbah |
| 22 | Showground Road | Victoria Avenue | Castle Hill |
| 23 | Waldron Road | Hector Street | Chester Hill / Sefton |
| 24 | Pacific Highway | Hume Street | Crows Nest |
| 25 | Craigend Street | McLachlan Avenue | Darlinghurst |
| 26 | Pittwater Road | Harbord Road | Dee Why |
| 27 | Blaxland Road | May Street | Eastwood |
| 28 | Hamilton Road | The Boulevarde | Fairfield |
| 29 | Princes Highway | O'Briens Road | Figtree |
| 30 | Fairlight Street | Ramsay Road | Five Dock |
| 31 | Woodville Road | M4 on Ramp | Granville |
| 32 | Woodville Road | Guildford Road | Guildford |
| 33 | Dobroyd Parade | Mortley Drive | Haberfield |
| 34 | Parramatta Road | Sloane Street | Haberfield |
| 35 | Tudor Street | Beaumont Street | Hamilton |
| 36 | Pacific Highway | Parry Street | Hamilton East |
| 37 | Arthur Street | Centenary Drive | Homebush West |
| 38 | Ryde Road | Pittwater Road | Hunters Hill |
| 39 | Anzac Parade | Todman Avenue | Kensington |
| 40 | Bexley Road | William Street | Kingsgrove |
| 41 | Acacia Road | President Avenue | Kirrawee |
| 42 | Park Road | Princes Highway | Kogarah Bay |
| 43 | Griffiths Road | Turton Road | Lambton |
| 44 | Henry Lawsons Drive / Woodville Road | Hume Highway | Lansdowne / Villawood |
| 45 | Parramatta Road | West Street | Leichhardt |
| 46 | Olympic Drive | Vaughan Street | Lidcombe |
| 47 | Copeland Street | Elizabeth Drive | Liverpool |
| 48 | Sydenham Road | Victoria Road | Marrickville |
| 49 | O'Riordan Street | Gardeners Road | Mascot |
| 50 | O'Riordan Street | Coward Street | Mascot |
| 51 | Maitland Road | Maud Street | Mayfield West |
| 52 | Great Western Highway | Coleman Street / Hawkesbury Road | Mays Hill / Westmead |
| 53 | Newbridge Road | Henry Lawson Drive | Milperra |
| 54 | Kingsway | Port Hacking Road | Miranda |
| 55 | Anzac Parade | Lang Road | Moore Park |
| 56 | Anzac Parade / Flinders Street | Moore Park Road | Moore Park |
| 57 | Newbridge Road | Stockton Avenue | Moorebank |
| 58 | Military Road | Cowles Road | Mosman |
| 59 | Wicks Road | Epping Road | North Ryde |
| 60 | Old Windsor Road | Cumberland Highway (Hart Drive) | Northmead |
| 61 | Moore Park Road | Oxford Street | Paddington |
| 62 | South Dowling Street | Fitzroy Street / Moore Park Road | Paddington / Surry Hills |
| 63 | Victoria Road | Church Street | Parramatta |
| 64 | Pennant Hills Road | Beecroft Road (W) | Pennant Hills |
| 65 | Gordon Street | New Canterbury Road | Petersham |


| 66 | Avoca Street | Darley Road | Randwick |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 67 | Chalmers Street | Cleveland Street | Redfern |
| 68 | Bestic Street | West Botany Street | Rockdale |
| 69 | Princes Highway | Bay Street / the Sevenways | Rockdale |
| 70 | King Georges Road | Moorefields Road | Roselands |
| 71 | Canterbury Road | King Georges Road | Roselands / Wiley Park |
| 72 | Victoria Road | Evans Street | Rozelle |
| 73 | Wellington Street | Victoria Road | Rozelle |
| 74 | Victoria Road | Devlin Street | Ryde |
| 75 | Silverwater Road | M4 E/B on Ramp | Silverwater |
| 76 | Victoria Street | Hassall Street | Smithfield |
| 77 | Parker Street | Jamison Road | South Penrith |
| 78 | Cumberland Highway | Old Prospect Road | South Wentworthville |
| 79 | Great Western Highway | Charles Hackett Drive / Pages Road | St Marys |
| 80 | Cleveland Street | South Dowling Street | Surry Hills |
| 81 | Pennant Hills Road | Parkes Street | Thornleigh |
| 82 | Wattle Street | William Henry Street | Ultimo |
| 83 | Princes Highway | Five Islands Road | Unanderra |
| 84 | Hume Highway | Bigge Street | Warwick Farm |
| 85 | Bourke Street | Botany Road | Waterloo |
| 86 | Great Western Highway | Station Street | Wentworthville |
| 87 | Pennant Hills Road | Eaton Road | West Pennant Hills |
| 88 | Ryde Road | Lady Game Drive | West Pymble |
| 89 | Windang Road | Boronia Avenue | Windang |
| 90 | Corrimal Street | Burelli Street | Wollongong |
| 91 | Gladstone Avenue | Princes Highway | Wollongong |

## Park Avenue and Northcott Drive, Adamstown

- There is one camera at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Park Avenue and Northcott Drive commenced issuing warning letters in May 2011.
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 224 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 25 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 1 | $86 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 12 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## McEvoy St and Botany Rd, Alexandria / Waterloo

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- Both cameras at the intersection of McEvoy St and Botany Rd commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 186 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 6 | 13 | $+30 \%$ |
| Crashes | 40 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 14 | 21 | $+5 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 8 | 15 | $+114 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 8 | 2 | $50 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Frederick Street and Hume Highway, Ashfield

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Frederick Street and Hume Highway commenced issuing warning letters in March 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 292 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 14 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 8 | $+59 \%$ |
| Crashes | 19 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 10 | $+46 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 1 | $44 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 8 | 2 | $+85 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## M4 West bound off ramp / Silverwater Rd and M4 West bound on ramp / Silverwater Rd, Auburn

- There are two cameras at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of M4 West bound off ramp and Silverwater Rd commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- The camera at the intersection of Silverwater Rd and M4 West bound on ramp commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 170 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 33 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 9 | $45 \%$ |
| Crashes | 44 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 3 | 14 | $35 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 8 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 15 | 8 | $+103 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Meredith St and Hume Hwy, Bankstown (school zone)

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Meredith St and Hume Hwy commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued. There were no speeding infringements at this intersection up to the end of 2012.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 22 | 18 | $+100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 3 | $+266 \%$ |
| Crashes | 27 | 18 | $+63 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 3 | $+83 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 10 | 10 | $+144 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 9 | 2 | $46 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


## Windsor Rd and Old Northern Rd / Seven Hills Rd, Baulkham Hills

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Windsor Rd and Old Northern Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- The camera at the intersection of Windsor Rd and Seven Hills Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 122 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 24 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $82 \%$ |
| Crashes | 40 | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 6 | 1 | $84 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 1 | $64 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 13 | 0 | $76 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Forest Road and Harrow Road, Bexley

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Forest Road and Harrow Road commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 187 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 18 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 3 | $45 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 7 | $32 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 10 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 15 | 2 | $34 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Bungarribee Rd and Reservoir Rd, Blacktown

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Bungarribee Rd and Reservoir Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 111 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 03 | 20 | $+89 \%$ |
| Crashes | 37 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 7 | 18 | $+6 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 12 | 2 | $38 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 7 | $+27 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location


## Great Western Hwy and Reservoir Rd, Blacktown

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Great Western Hwy and Reservoir Rd commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 25 | 8 | $22 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crashes | 35 | 6 | $58 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 6 | 1 | $59 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 7 | 1 | $65 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 8 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Lancaster St and Kildare Rd, Blacktown (school zone)

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Lancaster St and Kildare Rd commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 14 | 1 | $83 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 4 | $58 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 7 | 1 | $67 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 6 | 2 | $22 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Newton Rd and Flushcombe Rd, Blacktown (school zone)

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Newton Rd and Flushcombe Rd commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 87 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 13 | 3 | $48 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 25 | 4 | $64 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 6 | 3 | $+12 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 1 | $55 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Sunnyholt Rd and Main St, Blacktown

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Sunnyholt Rd and Main St commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 15 | 5 | $22 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 8 | 1 | $71 \%$ |
| Crashes | 25 | 9 | $16 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location


## Old South Head Road and Bondi Road, Bondi Junction

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Old South Head Road and Bondi Road commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 189 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 14 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 7 | $+65 \%$ |
| Crashes | 19 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 7 | 7 | $+21 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 2 | $6 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 0 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Parramatta Rd and Burwood Rd, Burwood / Concord

- There are two cameras at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Parramatta Rd and Burwood Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010
- The camera at the intersection of Parramatta Rd and Burwood Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 118 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 19 | 1 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 0 | $89 \%$ |
| Crashes | 35 | 9 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 9 | 0 | $45 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 15 | 5 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location




## Cumberland Hwy and Cabramatta Rd West, Cabramatta West

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- Both cameras at the intersection of Cumberland Hwy and Cabramatta Rd West commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 192 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 33 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 22 | $+32 \%$ |
| Crashes | 46 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 3 | 24 | $+3 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 19 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 15 | 9 | $6 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Kellicar Rd and Narellan Rd, Campbelltown

- There are two cameras at this intersection
- Both cameras at the intersection of Kellicar Rd and Narellan Rd commenced issuing warning letters in May 2011.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 238 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 45 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 6 | 3 | $80 \%$ |
| Crashes | 57 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 3 | 6 | $68 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 19 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 12 | 2 | $68 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location


## Moore-Oxley Bypass and Broughton St, Campbelltown

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- Both cameras at the intersection of Moore-Oxley Bypass and Broughton St commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 192 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 38 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 3 | $84 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 8 | 6 | $65 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 16 | 1 | $75 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 9 | 2 | $63 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Canterbury Rd and Bexley Rd, Campsie

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Canterbury Rd and Bexley Rd commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 79 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 21 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 5 | $46 \%$ |
| Crashes | 30 | 2 | $+351 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 8 | $40 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 1 | $44 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 6 | 4 | $0 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Cumberland Hwy and St Johns Rd, Canley Heights

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Cumberland Hwy and St Johns Rd commenced issuing warning letters in December 2009.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 3 years and 15 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 29 | 14 | $21 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crashes | 52 | 31 | $2 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 2 | $18 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 19 | 6 | $48 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 20 | 18 | $+48 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location


## Sackville Street and Canley Vale Road, Canley Vale

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Sackville Street and Canley Vale Road commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 269 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 9 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 6 | $+92 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 7 | 8 | $+5 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 8 | 2 | $18 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 3 | $+8 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Kingsway and Gannons Rd, Caringbah

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Kingsway and Gannons Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 95 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 31 | 2 | $86 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 41 | 8 | $57 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 10 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 18 | 4 | $51 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 2 | $37 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Showground Rd and Victoria Av, Castle Hill

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Showground Rd and Victoria Av commenced issuing warning letters in February 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 335 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 19 | 3 | $73 \%$ |
| Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 5 | $69 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 10 | 1 | $+71 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 1 | $83 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Waldron Rd and Hector St, Chester Hill / Sefton

- There are two cameras at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Waldron Rd and Hector St commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010
- The camera at the intersection of Waldron Rd and Hector St commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 163 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 21 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 9 | $12 \%$ |
| Crashes | 37 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 7 | 20 | $+11 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 23 | 4 | $+17 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 13 | $+16 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Number of years before and after camera installation

Infringements at safety camera location


## Pacific Highway and Hume Street, Crows Nest

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Pacific Highway and Hume Street commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1 year and 259 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 6 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 2 | $2 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 3 | $+10 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 2 | $+193 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 2 | 0 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Craigend St and McLachlan Av, Darlinghurst

- There is one camera at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Craigend St and McLachlan Av commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued. This location was impacted by major civil works between June and November 2011.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 128 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 56 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 16 | $39 \%$ |
| Crashes | 95 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 74 | 20 | $55 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 11 | $68 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 0 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Pittwater Road and Harbord Road, Dee Why

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Pittwater Road and Harbord Road commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 1 year and 189 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 13 | 3 | $24 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crashes | 25 | 5 | $34 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 1 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 15 | 3 | $34 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Blaxland Road and May Street, Eastwood

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Blaxland Road and May Street commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 269 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 12 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 4 | $4 \%$ |
| Crashes | 26 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 5 | $45 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 8 | 1 | $74 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Hamilton Rd and The Boulevarde, Fairfield

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Hamilton Rd and The Boulevarde commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 83 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 20 | 5 | $44 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 1 | $+125 \%$ |
| Crashes | 32 | 7 | $51 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 21 | 3 | $68 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 1 | 2 | $+349 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Princes Highway and O'Briens Road, Figtree

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Princes Highway and O'Briens Road commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 259 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 6 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 4 | $+95 \%$ |
| Crashes | 10 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 4 | $+17 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 2 | 4 | $+193 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Fairlight St and Ramsay Rd, Five Dock

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Fairlight St and Ramsay Rd commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 19 | 4 | $51 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 13 | $11 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 16 | 5 | $27 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 2 | 1 | $+17 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 1 | 1 | $+134 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Woodville Rd and M4 on ramp, Granville

- There is one camera at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Woodville Rd and M4 on ramp commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 115 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 31 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 10 | $30 \%$ |
| Crashes | 49 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 11 | 20 | $12 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 12 | 3 | $41 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 9 | 4 | $28 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Woodville Rd and Guildford Rd, Guildford

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Woodville Rd and Guildford Rd commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 23 | 7 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 1 | $29 \%$ |
| Crashes | 40 | 16 | $+17 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 9 | 5 | $7 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 5 | 5 | $+30 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 19 | 4 | $+134 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Dobroyd Pde and Mortley Drive, Haberfield

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Dobroyd Pde and Mortley Drive commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 1 year and 201 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 13 | 5 | $+24 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 23 | 11 | $+54 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 2 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 5 | 2 | $+29 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 16 | 6 | $+21 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Parramatta Road and Sloane Street, Haberfield

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Parramatta Road and Sloane Street commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 1 year and 189 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 7 | 2 | $6 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 9 | 2 | $27 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 2 | $+32 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Tudor Street and Beaumont Street, Hamilton

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Tudor Street and Beaumont Street commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 189 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 7 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 2 | $6 \%$ |
| Crashes | 10 | 2 | $+559 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 2 | $34 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Pacific Highway and Parry Street, Hamilton East

- There is one camera at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Pacific Highway and Parry Street commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011
- While early results indicate a slight increase in casualties, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 187 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 13 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 5 | $+27 \%$ |
| Crashes | 20 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 6 | 4 | $34 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 2 | 3 | $+65 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 1 | $+65 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Arthur St and Centenary Dr, Homebush West

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Arthur St and Centenary Dr commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 2 years and 67 days <br> after installation |  |
| Injuries | 35 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 10 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 57 | 0 | $35 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 18 | 22 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 1 | $12 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 20 | 3 | $87 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Ryde Road and Pittwater Road, Hunters Hill

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Ryde Road and Pittwater Road commenced issuing warning letters in March 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in casualties, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 290 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 12 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 5 | $+16 \%$ |
| Crashes | 20 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 6 | $16 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 14 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 5 | $0 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Anzac Parade and Todman Avenue, Kensington

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Anzac Parade and Todman Avenue commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in casualties, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 187 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 14 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 4 | 7 | $+65 \%$ |
| Crashes | 32 | 1 | $17 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 10 | 7 | $28 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 7 | 2 | $34 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 6 | 0 | $+42 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Bexley Road and William Street, Kingsgrove

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Bexley Road and William Street commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 266 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 12 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 8 | $+93 \%$ |
| Crashes | 23 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 9 | $+13 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 14 | 1 | $+189 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 7 | $+45 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Acacia Road and President Avenue, Kirrawee

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Acacia Road and President Avenue commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 189 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 13 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 1 | $75 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 5 | $25 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 7 | 2 | $+65 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 2 | $6 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Park Road and Princes Highway, Kogarah Bay

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Park Road and Princes Highway commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in casualties, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 201 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 15 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 7 | $+51 \%$ |
| Crashes | 29 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 6 | 6 | $33 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 12 | 3 | $+61 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 0 | $19 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Griffiths Road and Turton Road, Lambton

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Griffiths Road and Turton Road commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in casualties, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 214 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 19 | 1 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 6 | $0 \%$ |
| Crashes | 28 | 1 | $+215 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 7 | 6 | $32 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 1 | $55 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 1 | $21 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Henry Lawsons Drive / Woodville Rd and Hume Hwy, Lansdowne / Villawood

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Henry Lawsons Drive and Hume Hwy commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- The camera at the intersection of Woodville Rd and Hume Hwy commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 142 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 39 | 14 | $25 \%$ |
| Crashes | 2 | 1 | $+5 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 54 | 19 | $26 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 2 | 1 | $+5 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 18 | 8 | $+29 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Parramatta Rd and West St, Leichhardt

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Parramatta Rd and West St commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 101 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 18 | 8 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $2 \%$ |
| Crashes | 27 | 9 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 1 | $27 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 5 | $45 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 10 | 1 | $+22 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Olympic Dr and Vaughan St, Lidcombe

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Olympic Dr and Vaughan St commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 111 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 23 | 10 | $6 \%$ |
| Crashes | 2 | 1 | $+9 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 47 | 15 | $12 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 2 | $+9 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 16 | 6 | $41 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

## Copeland St and Elizabeth Dr, Liverpool

- There is one camera at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Copeland St and Elizabeth Dr commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 80 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 25 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 7 | $37 \%$ |
| Crashes | 35 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 15 | $3 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 5 | 2 | $+125 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 13 | 6 | $+35 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Sydenham Road and Victoria Road, Marrickville

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Sydenham Road and Victoria Road commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 201 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 13 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 4 | $1 \%$ |
| Crashes | 26 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 5 | $38 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 2 | $41 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## O'Riordan St and Gardeners Rd, Mascot

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of O'Riordan St and Gardeners Rd commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 66 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 30 | 1 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 14 | $+7 \%$ |
| Crashes | 41 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 21 | $+17 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 23 | 1 | $54 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 12 | $+20 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## O'Riordan Street and Coward Street, Mascot

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of O'Riordan Street and Coward Street commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011.
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 266 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 16 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 26 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 6 | 3 | $67 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 1 | $52 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 1 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Maitland Road and Maud Street, Mayfield West

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Maitland Road and Maud Street commenced issuing warning letters in May 2011.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 234 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 7 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 7 | $+205 \%$ |
| Crashes | 21 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 14 | $+103 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 1 | $24 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 8 | $+171 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


## Infringements at safety camera location




## Great Western Hwy and Coleman St / Hawkesbury Rd, Mays Hill / Westmead

- There are two cameras at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Great Western Hwy and Coleman St commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010
- The camera at the intersection of Great Western Hwy and Hawkesbury Rd commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 185 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 25 | 12 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | $4 \%$ |
| Crashes | 41 | 16 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 1 | $22 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 17 | 7 | $60 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 15 | 6 | $18 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location




## Newbridge Rd and Henry Lawson Dr, Milperra

- There is one camera at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Newbridge Rd and Henry Lawson Dr commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | years and 115 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 14 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 13 | $+101 \%$ |
| Crashes | 26 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 16 | $+33 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 6 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 0 | 14 |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Kingsway and Port Hacking Rd, Miranda

- There is one camera at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Kingsway and Port Hacking Rd commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 179 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 32 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 41 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 6 | $71 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 20 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Anzac Parade and Lang Road, Moore Park

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Anzac Parade and Lang Road commenced issuing warning letters in March 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 292 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 14 | 1 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 4 | $21 \%$ |
| Crashes | 23 | 1 | $+178 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 8 | $3 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 10 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Anzac Pde / Flinders St and Moore Park Rd, Moore Park

- There are two cameras at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Anzac Pde and Moore Park Rd commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- The camera at the intersection of Flinders St and Moore Park Rd commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 137 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 23 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 2 | $82 \%$ |
| Crashes | 32 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 4 | $74 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 13 | 1 | $47 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 2 | $68 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Newbridge Rd and Stockton Av, Moorebank

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Newbridge Rd and Stockton Av commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 20 | 5 | $39 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crashes | 22 | 7 | $22 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 10 | 4 | $2 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 8 | 3 | $8 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Military Rd and Cowles Rd, Mosman

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Military Rd and Cowles Rd commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 10 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 4 | $2 \%$ |
| Crashes | 18 | 2 | $+388 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 10 | $+36 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 3 | $+46 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Wicks Rd and Epping Rd, North Ryde

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Wicks Rd and Epping Rd commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation |  |
| Injuries | 15 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 2 | - |
| Crashes | 27 | 0 | $69 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 7 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 0 | $39 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 17 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Old Windsor Rd and Cumberland Hwy (Hart Dr), Northmead

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Old Windsor Rd and Cumberland Hwy (Hart Dr) commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in casualties, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 16 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 11 | $+68 \%$ |
| Crashes | 38 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 15 | $4 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 18 | 3 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Moore Park Rd and Oxford St, Paddington

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Moore Park Rd and Oxford St commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 74 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 24 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 6 | $43 \%$ |
| Crashes | 38 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 11 | 13 | $22 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 8 | 5 | $+3 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 1 | $72 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## South Dowling St and Fitzroy St / Moore Park Rd, Paddington / Surry Hills

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of South Dowling St and Fitzroy St commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- The camera at the intersection of South Dowling St and Moore Park Rd commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 186 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 52 | 12 | $54 \%$ |
| Crashes | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 79 | 18 | $55 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 34 | 12 | $56 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 13 | 2 | $+99 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location




## Victoria Rd and Church St, Parramatta

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Victoria Rd and Church St commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 192 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 33 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 11 | $34 \%$ |
| Crashes | 51 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 13 | $50 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 29 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 8 | $45 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location


## Pennant Hills Rd and Beecroft Rd (W), Pennant Hills (school zone)

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Pennant Hills Rd and Beecroft Rd (W) commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 123 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 7 | $32 \%$ |
| Crashes | 46 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 18 | $16 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 43 | 0 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Gordon St and New Canterbury Rd, Petersham

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Gordon St and New Canterbury Rd commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010. This camera enforces red-light running only.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 54 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 8 | 5 | $+46 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 2 | $+366 \%$ |
| Crashes | 17 | 10 | $+37 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 1 | $53 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 2 | $+16 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 3 | 3 | $+133 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Avoca Street and Darley Road, Randwick

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Avoca Street and Darley Road commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 195 days <br> after installation |  |
| Injuries | 17 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 1 | - |
| Crashes | 24 | 0 | $81 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 3 | 4 | $100 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 10 | 1 | $46 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 2 | $+9 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Chalmers Street and Cleveland Street, Redfern (school zone)

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Chalmers Street and Cleveland Street commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 1 year and 206 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 14 | 2 | $54 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 18 | 5 | $11 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 1 | $+220 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 9 | 2 | $29 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Bestic St and West Botany Street, Rockdale

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Bestic St and West Botany Street commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 24 | 4 | $61 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crashes | 33 | 10 | $29 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 13 | 7 | $+26 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 13 | 1 | $82 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 2 | $+17 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Princes Hwy and Bay St / the Sevenways, Rockdale

- There are two cameras at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Princes Hwy and Bay St commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- The camera at the intersection of Princes Hwy and The Sevenways commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 156 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 27 | 9 | $31 \%$ |
| Crashes | 3 | 1 | $31 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 48 | 17 | $27 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 1 | $31 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 13 | 4 | $61 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## King Georges Rd and Moorefields Rd, Roselands

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of King Georges Rd and Moorefields Rd commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 93 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 20 | 4 | $56 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crashes | 27 | 12 | $1 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 12 | 8 | $+48 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Canterbury Rd and King Georges Rd, Roselands / Wiley Park

- There are two cameras at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Canterbury Rd and King Georges Rd commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- The camera at the intersection of Canterbury Rd and King Georges Rd commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 187 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 25 | 6 | $52 \%$ |
| Crashes | 0 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 39 | 11 | $44 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 6 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 22 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Victoria Rd and Evans St, Rozelle

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Victoria Rd and Evans St commenced issuing warning letters in October 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 79 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 18 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 5 | $37 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 16 | 10 | $34 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 7 | $1 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 1 | $25 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Wellington St and Victoria Rd, Rozelle

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Wellington St and Victoria Rd commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010. This camera enforces red-light running only.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 2 years and 96 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 34 | 11 | $28 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 48 | 22 | $+1 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 9 | 4 | $2 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 1 | $26 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 2 | $37 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

## Victoria Road and Devlin Street, Ryde

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Victoria Road and Devlin Street commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 1 year and 189 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 12 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 29 | 4 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 0 | $55 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 14 | 2 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 6 | 2 | $53 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


## Infringements at safety camera location




## Silverwater Rd and M4 East bound on ramp, Silverwater

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Silverwater Rd and M4 East bound on ramp commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 187 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 03 | 11 | $34 \%$ |
| Crashes | 52 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 18 | $31 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 34 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 7 | 10 | $41 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Victoria St and Hassall St, Smithfield

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Victoria St and Hassall St commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation |  |
| Injuries | 12 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 4 | - |
| Crashes | 21 | 0 | $22 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 7 | - |
| Right Through Crashes | 9 | 2 | $22 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 1 | 3 | $7 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Parker St and Jamison Rd, South Penrith

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Parker St and Jamison Rd commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010.
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 52 days <br> after installation |  |
| Injuries | 19 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 2 | - |
| Crashes | 29 | 0 | $75 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 8 | $100 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 12 | 0 | $36 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 10 | 4 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Cumberland Highway and Old Prospect Road, South Wentworthville

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Cumberland Highway and Old Prospect Road commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 270 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 22 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 5 | $35 \%$ |
| Crashes | 29 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 12 | 1 | $+44 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 5 | $28 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


## Great Western Hwy and Charles Hackett Dr / Pages Rd, St Marys

- There are two cameras at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Great Western Hwy and Charles Hackett Dr commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010.
- The camera at the intersection of Great Western Hwy and Pages Rd commenced issuing warning letters in July 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the cameras.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 178 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 33 | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 1 | $21 \%$ |
| Crashes | 44 | 19 | $+101 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 0 | $13 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 29 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 6 | 12 | $65 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location




## Cleveland St and South Dowling St, Surry Hills

- There is one camera at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Cleveland St and South Dowling St commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 186 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 15 | $19 \%$ |
| Crashes | 59 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 9 | 22 | $26 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 4 | $11 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 18 | 6 | $+9 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Pennant Hills Rd and Parkes St, Thornleigh

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Pennant Hills Rd and Parkes St commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 13 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 6 | $+13 \%$ |
| Crashes | 28 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 13 | $+13 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 16 | 1 | $39 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Wattle Street and William Henry Street, Ultimo

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Wattle Street and William Henry Street commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011.
- While the results available show a decrease in casualty crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 206 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 21 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 1 | $85 \%$ |
| Crashes | 30 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 14 | 3 | $68 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 8 | 2 | $54 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 5 | 1 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Princes Highway and Five Islands Road, Unanderra

- There is one camera at this intersection
- The camera at the intersection of Princes Highway and Five Islands Road commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011.
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 265 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 22 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 1 | $87 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 1 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 3 | $60 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 15 | 0 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 4 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Hume Hwy and Bigge St, Warwick Farm

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Hume Hwy and Bigge St commenced issuing warning letters in November 2010
- While the results available show a decrease in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 48 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 31 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 1 | 4 | $70 \%$ |
| Crashes | 49 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 18 | $14 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 15 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 21 | 5 | $22 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location


## Bourke St and Botany Rd, Waterloo

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Bourke St and Botany Rd commenced issuing warning letters in June 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation $^{1}$ | 2 years and 186 days <br> after installation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | Percentage <br> reduction $^{3}$ |
| Injuries | 45 | 11 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 0 | $51 \%$ |
| Crashes | 77 | 28 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 12 | 6 | $28 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 28 | 8 | $0 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 14 | 5 | $43 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Great Western Hwy and Station St, Wentworthville

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Great Western Hwy and Station St commenced issuing warning letters in September 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 97 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 14 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 3 | $53 \%$ |
| Crashes | 24 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 5 | 13 | $+20 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 5 | 1 | $56 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 9 | 2 | $12 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Pennant Hills Rd and Eaton Rd, West Pennant Hills

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Pennant Hills Rd and Eaton Rd commenced issuing warning letters in August 2010.
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 years and 129 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |  |
| Injuries | 8 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 7 | $+86 \%$ |
| Crashes | 23 | 0 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 2 | 17 | $+57 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 1 | $+6 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 11 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Ryde Rd and Lady Game Dr, West Pymble

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Ryde Rd and Lady Game Dr commenced issuing warning letters in December 2010.
- While the results available so far are encouraging, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 2 years and 18 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 20 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 0 | 4 | $51 \%$ |
| Crashes | 32 | 1 | - |
| Adjacent Crashes | 1 | 11 | $16 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 20 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Windang Road and Boronia Avenue, Windang

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Windang Road and Boronia Avenue commenced issuing warning letters in May 2011
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 229 days <br> after installation $^{2}$ | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 7 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 2 | $12 \%$ |
| Crashes | 10 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 0 | 7 | $+115 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 4 | 1 | - |
| Rear End Crashes | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Corrimal Street and Burelli Street, Wollongong

- There is one camera at this intersection. This was previously a wet-film red-light camera location.
- The camera at the intersection of Corrimal Street and Burelli Street commenced issuing warning letters in April 2011
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation | 1 year and 258 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction ${ }^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | - |
| Injuries | 20 | 1 | $85 \%$ |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 2 | 1 | $+47 \%$ |
| Crashes | 19 | 7 | $+8 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 4 | $+193 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 11 | 1 | $73 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.

Infringements at safety camera location



## Gladstone Avenue and Princes Highway, Wollongong

- There is one camera at this intersection.
- The camera at the intersection of Gladstone Avenue and Princes Highway commenced issuing warning letters in June 2011
- While early results indicate a slight increase in crashes, a more comprehensive analysis over a longer time period is required before making any conclusions about the effectiveness of the camera.
- The infringement graphs show the number of warning letters and infringements issued at this intersection as well as the trend in infringements since the camera began operation.

Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

## Crashes at enforced intersection

|  | 5 years before <br> installation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 year and 202 days <br> after installation | Percentage <br> reduction |
| Injuries | 18 | 0 | - |
| Pedestrian Casualties | 3 | 6 | $+7 \%$ |
| Crashes | 35 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Adjacent Crashes | 4 | 13 | $+20 \%$ |
| Right Through Crashes | 23 | 3 | $+142 \%$ |
| Rear End Crashes | 2 | 8 | $+12 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ending 91 days before the start of the warning letter period.
${ }^{2}$ Ending end of December 2012
${ }^{3}$ The percentage redcution is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comaprison between the pre and post installation time periods. Red values indicate an increase.


Infringements at safety camera location



## Appendix C: Analysis of the NSW fixed speed camera program

## Overview of fixed speed camera locations

|  | Location | Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ashfield | Hume Highway, between Murrell Street and Queen Street |
| 2 | Auburn | Parramatta Road, between Harbord Street and Duck Street |
| 3 | Bankstown | Hume Highway, between Rookwood Road and Stacey Street |
| 4 | Bar Point | F3 Freeway, between Jolls Bridge and Mt White Exit Ramp |
| 5 | Bardwell Park / Arncliffe | M5 Tunnel, between Bexley Road and Marsh Street |
| 6 | Ben Lomond | New England Highway, between Ross Road and Ben Lomond Road |
| 7 | Berkshire Park | Richmond Road, between Llandilo Road and Sanctuary Drive |
| 8 | Berry | Princes Highway, between Kangaroo Valley Road and Victoria Street |
| 9 | Bexley North | Bexley Road, between Kingsland Road North and Miller Avenue |
| 10 | Beverly Hills | King Georges Road, between Stoney Creek Road and Edgbaston Road |
| 11 | Blandford | New England Highway, between Hayles Street and Mills Street |
| 12 | Bomaderry | Bolong Road, between Beinda Street and Coomea Street |
| 13 | Bonnyrigg | Elizabeth Drive, between Brown Road and Humphries Road |
| 14 | Bonnyrigg | Cabramatta Road, between Katinka Street and Tarlington Parade |
| 15 | Bonville | Pine Creek Way, between Bonville Creek and Bonville Station Road |
| 16 | Brogo | Princes Highway, between Pioneer Close and Brogo River |
| 17 | Bulli | Princes Highway, between Grevillea Park Road and Black Diamond Place |
| 18 | Burringbar | Tweed Valley Way, between Blakeneys Road and Cooradilla Road |
| 19 | Burwood Heights | Hume Highway, between Kelso Street and Appian Way |
| 20 | Camellia | James Ruse Drive, between Victoria Road and Grand Avenue North |
| 21 | Canterbury | Canterbury Road, between Gould Street and Jeffrey Street |
| 22 | Caringbah | Captain Cook Drive, between Cawarra Road and Gannons Road |
| 23 | Carlingford | Pennant Hills Road, between Evans Road and Coleman Avenue |
| 24 | Castle Hill | Old Northern Road, between Telfer Road and Brisbane Road |
| 25 | Charmhaven | Pacific Highway, between Wallarah Creek and Lowana Avenue |
| 26 | Concord West | Concord Road, between Nirranda Street and Mepunga Street |
| 27 | Condell Park | Edgar Street, between Augusta Street and Upper Railway Parade |
| 28 | Corrimal | Northern Distributor, between Towradgi Road and Railway Street |
| 29 | Darlinghurst | Eastern Distributor, between Oxford Street and William Street |
| 30 | Eastgardens/Maroubra | Bunnerong Road, between Fitzgerald Avenue and Smith Street |
| 31 | Edgecliff | New South Head Road, between Waratah Street and New Beach Road |
| 32 | Ewingsdale | Pacific Highway, between St Helena Road and Ewingsdale Road. |
| 33 | Fairfield East | Fairfield Street, between Scott Street and Mandarin Street |
| 34 | Foxground | Princes Highway, between Foxground Road and Broughton Creek |
| 35 | Gateshead | Pacific Highway, between Sydney Street and Macquarie Avenue |
| 36 | Greystanes | Greystanes Road, between Merrylands Road and Old Prospect Road |
| 37 | Guildford | Woodville Road, between Kenelda Avenue and Osgood Street |
| 38 | Gwynneville* | Southern Freeway, between Northern Distributor Overpass and Gipps Road Overpass (NB) |
| 39 | Gwynneville* | Southern Freeway, between University Avenue Overpass and Mount Ousley Road (SB) |
| 40 | Hartley | Great Western Highway, between Mid Hartley Road and Blackmans Creek Road |
| 41 | Hungry Head | Pacific Highway, between Boundary Road and Ballards Road |
| 42 | Hurstville | Forest Road, between Lily Street and Cronulla Street |
| 43 | Kingswood | Parker Street, between Copeland Street and Gascoigne Street |
| 44 | Kogarah | Princes Highway, between Gray Street and President Avenue |
| 45 | Korora | Pacific Highway, between Bruxner Park Road and Korora Basin Road |
| 46 | Kurrajong | Bells Line of Road, between Queen Street and Bellbird Avenue |
| 47 | Lane Cove | Centennial Avenue, between Gentle Street and Figtree Street |
| 48 | Lane Cove Tunnel | Lane Cove Tunnel, between the Mowbray Road and the Pacific Highway |
| 49 | Lansvale | Hume Highway, between Henry Lawson Drive and Knight Street |
| 50 | Lindfield | Pacific Highway, between Eton Road and Gladstone Parade |
| 51 | Liverpool | Bigge Street, between Elizabeth Drive and Campbell Street |
| 52 | Lochinvar | New England Highway, between Robert Road and Station Lane |
| 53 | M2 Tunnel | M2 Motorway, between Terry Creek and Norfolk Road |
| 54 | Macksville | Pacific Highway, between Florence Wilmont Drive and Watt Creek |
| 55 | Maroubra | Malabar Road, between Mons Avenue and Duncan Street |
| 56 | Mayfield West | Pacific Highway, between Werribie Street and Tourle Street |
| 57 | Merrylands | Merrylands Road, between Chetwynd Road and Davies Street |
| 58 | Miranda | Kingsway, between Sylva Avenue and University Road |
| 59 | Moore Park | Cleveland Street, between Anzac Parade and South Dowling Street |
| 60 | Mosman | Macpherson Street, between Ourimbah Road and Montague Road |
| 61 | Narrabeen | Pittwater Road, between Ocean Street and Devitt Street |
| 62 | New Italy | Pacific Highway, between New Italy Road and Turners Road |
| 63 | Nords Wharf | Pacific Highway, between Nords Wharf Road and Flowers Drive |
| 64 | North Curl Curl | Harbord Road, between Abbott Road and Brighton Street |
| 65 | North Narrabeen | Pittwater Road, between Garden Street and Namona Street |
| 66 | North Parramatta | Pennant Hills Road, between Castle Street and Bellevue Street |
| 67 | North Parramatta / Oatlands | Pennant Hills Road, between Masons Drive and Suttor Avenue |


| 68 | North Wollongong | Princes Highway, between Ajax Avenue and Exeter Avenue |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 69 | Old Guilford | Woodville Road, between Orchardleigh Street and Middleton Road |
| 70 | Ourimbah | F3 Freeway, between Dogtrap Road Overpass and Ourimbah Creek Road |
| 71 | Ourimbah | Pacific Highway, between Yates Road and Dog Trap Road |
| 72 | Padstow | Gibson Avenue, between Turvey Street and Bryant Street |
| 73 | Peakhurst | Henry Lawson Drive, between Belmore Road and Ogilvy Street |
| 74 | Penshurst | Forest Road, between Penshurst Street and St Georges Street |
| 75 | Picnic Point | Henry Lawson Drive, between Carinya Road and The River Road |
| 76 | Queanbeyan | Lanyon Drive, between Tompsitt Drive and Hoover Road |
| 77 | Randwick | Avoca Street, between Howard Street and Barker Road |
| 78 | Rankin Park | McCaffrey Drive, between Duval Street and Orara Street |
| 79 | Rosebery/Alexandria | Botany Road, between Gardeners Road and Gillespie Street |
| 80 | Rydalmere | Victoria Road, between Park Road and John Street |
| 81 | Ryde | Blaxland Road, between Reservoir Lane and North Road |
| 82 | Ryde | Victoria Road, between Margaret Street and Cressy Road |
| 83 | Sandgate | Pacific Highway, between Wallsend Road and Ironbark Creek |
| 84 | South Windsor | George Street, between Rickaby Street and Yarrawonga Street |
| 85 | Strathfield | The Boulevarde, between Torrington Parade and Russell Street |
| 86 | Sydney | Sydney Harbour Tunnel, between Cahill Expressway and Warringah Freeway |
| 87 | Tenterfield | New England Highway, between Duncan Street and George Street |
| 88 | Terrigal | Terrigal Drive, between Brunswick Road and Bellbird Avenue |
| 89 | Toongabbie | Fitzwilliam Road, between Reynolds Street and Binalong Road |
| 90 | Valla Beach | Pacific Highway, between Valla Beach Road and Oyster Creek |
| 91 | Valley Heights | Great Western Highway, between The Valley Road and Sun Valley Road |
| 92 | Wahroonga | Pacific Highway, between Gilda Avenue and Woodville Avenue |
| 93 | Wardell | Pacific Highway, between Riverside Drive and Carlisle Street |
| 94 | Warrawong | Northcliffe Drive, between Griffin Street and Kully Street |
| 95 | West Pennant Hills | Castle Hill Road, between Pennant Hills Road and Coonara Avenue |
| 96 | Wollongbar | Bruxner Highway, between Convernys Lane and McLeans Ridges Road |
| 97 | Wollongong | Princes Highway, between Mount Keira Road and Highway Avenue |
| 98 | Woodburn | Pacific Highway, between Wagner Street and Norman Street |
| 99 | Woolloomooloo / East Sydney | Cross City Tunnel, between McLachlan Avenue and Harbour Street |
| 100 | Wyoming | Henry Parry Drive, between Glennie Street and Dwyer Street |
| 101 | Yagoona | Hume Highway, between Smith Street and Brennan Avenue |
|  |  |  |

*Southern Freeway, Gwynneville has two cameras operating approximately 1,000 metres apart and infringing in different directions, therefore these cameras were directionally analysed as separate locations in the direction they enforce.

## Hume Highway, Ashfield (school zone)

Location; Hume Highway, between Murrell Street and Queen Street School zone: Ashfield Public School
Length description: 260 m east to 150 m west of camera
Total length: 410m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 07/08/2007
Status: Review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 17 | 20 | $+18 \%$ |
| Casualties | 17 | 20 | $+18 \%$ |
| Crashes | 32 | 22 | $31 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.31 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $+18 \%$ |

* May 2002 to May 2007
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have reduced at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 31 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes, however there has been an 18 per cent increase in the annual average number of casualties.
- This location is recommended for comprehensive safety review as there has been an increase in casualties at this location in the most recent five year period.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
HUME HIGHWAY ASHFIELD


## Parramatta Road, Auburn

Location: Parramatta Road, between Harbord Street and Duck Street Length description: 500m west to 500m east of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 15/05/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 79 | 43 | $56 \%$ |
| Casualties | 79 | 43 | $56 \%$ |
| Crashes | 141 | 91 | $45 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 10.74 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 4.76 \mathrm{M}$ | $56 \%$ |

*February 1997 to February 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have reduced at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 45 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 56 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 5.98$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
parramatta road auburn


## Hume Highway, Bankstown (school zone)

Location: Hume Highway, between Rookwood Road and Stacey Street School zone: Bankstown North Public School, La Salle Catholic School Length description: 120 m east to 550 m west of cameras
Total length: 670m patch to patch
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 04/07/2007
Status: Review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 65 | 67 | $+3 \%$ |
| Casualties | 65 | 67 | $+3 \%$ |
| Crashes | 128 | 74 | $42 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 8.84 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 9.11 \mathrm{M}$ | $+3 \%$ |

* April 2002 to April 2007
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have reduced at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 42 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes however there has been a slight increase in the annual average number of casualties.
- This location is recommended for comprehensive safety review as there has been a gradual increase in casualties at this location since the cameras were installed.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
hume highway bankstown


## F3 Freeway, Bar Point

Location: F3 Freeway, between Jolls Bridge and Mt White Exit Ramp Length description: 500m south to 500m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 10/04/2006
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 16 | 19 | $+19 \%$ |
| Casualties | 17 | 19 | $+12 \%$ |
| Crashes | 43 | 31 | $28 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 8.51 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.58 \mathrm{M}$ | $70 \%$ |

January 2001 to January 2006

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have reduced at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 28 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes.
- There has been a 12 per cent increase in the annual average number of total casualties however given the reduction in fatalities from one to zero and also the reduction in crashes, this camera is delivering the expected road safety benefits.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 5.93$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since June 2006. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a consistent level of speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Trend in road safety performance at camera location


Infringements


## M5 Tunnel, Bardwell Park / Arncliffe (high risk location)

Location: M5 Tunnel, between Bexley Road and Marsh Street Length description:

- Camera 1 (eastbound) 2190 m east to 1785 m west of camera
- Camera 2 (westbound) 960 m east to 1775 m west of camera Total length:
- Camera 1 (eastbound) 3975m
- Camera 2 (westbound) 3965m

Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 01/08/2002

## Crash and casualty data - January 2012 to December 2012

|  | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 |
| Injuries | 9 | 10 |
| Casualties | 9 | 10 |
| Crashes | 10 | 9 |

- This location is appropriate for fixed speed camera enforcement as it is a high risk location that is difficult for the NSW Police Force to enforce using traditional methods
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued


## Infringements at fixed speed camera location

M5 MOTORWAY BARDWELL PARK / ARNCLIFFE


## New England Highway, Ben Lomond

Location: New England Highway, between Ross Road and Ben Lomond Road Length description: 500 m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 04/09/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 8 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 10 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 1 | $88 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 13.76 M$ | $\$ 0 M$ | $100 \%$ |

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have reduced at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an 88 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 13.76$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a consistent level of speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
new england highway ben lomond


## Richmond Road, Berkshire Park

Location: Richmond Road, between Llandilo Road and Sanctuary Drive Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 30/06/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1.25 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 18 | 22.5 | 20 | $11 \%$ |
| Casualties | 19 | 23.75 | 20 | $16 \%$ |
| Crashes | 25 | 31.25 | 38 | $+22 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 8.79 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10.98 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $75 \%$ |

*March 1996 to March 2000. No data available for 1995.

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have increased at this location, however casualties have decreased.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 22 per cent increase in the annual average number of crashes however there has been a 16 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties. This includes a reduction in fatalities from one to zero therefore the camera at this location continues to deliver the expected road safety benefits.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 8.26$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since August 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
RICHMOND ROAD BERKSHIRE PARK


## Princes Highway, Berry

Location: Princes Highway, between Kangaroo Valley Road and Victoria Street Length description: 500m south to 500m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 28/04/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 8 | 2 | $75 \%$ |
| Casualties | 8 | 2 | $75 \%$ |
| Crashes | 12 | 4 | $67 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $75 \%$ |

* January 1998 to January 2003
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 67 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 75 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 820,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since September 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements from May 2007 can be attributed to the speed limit change on this road from $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Since then, there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PRINCES HIGHWAY BERRY


## Bexley Road, Bexley North

Location: Bexley Road, between Kingsland Road North and Miller Avenue Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 29/05/2006
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 40 | 17 | $58 \%$ |
| Casualties | 41 | 17 | $59 \%$ |
| Crashes | 62 | 30 | $52 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 11.78 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.31 \mathrm{M}$ | $80 \%$ |

March 2001 to March 2006

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 52 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 59 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 9.47$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph show there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued. These data


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
bEXLEY ROAD BEXLEY NORTH


## King Georges Road, Beverly Hills (school zone)

Location: King Georges Road, between Stoney Creek Road and Edgbaston Road School zone: Beverly Hills Girls High School
Length description: 480 m south to 560 m north of cameras
Total length: 1040m patch to patch
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing:

- Camera 1 (northbound) 09/07/2007
- Camera 2 (southbound) 20/07/2007

Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 113 | 67 | $41 \%$ |
| Casualties | 114 | 67 | $41 \%$ |
| Crashes | 183 | 131 | $28 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 21.71 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 9.11 \mathrm{M}$ | $58 \%$ |

* April 2002 to April 2007
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 28 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 41 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 12.60$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
KING GEORGES ROAD BEVERLY HILLS


## New England Highway, Blandford

Location: New England Highway, between Hayles Street and Mills Street Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 10/04/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 3 | 1 | $67 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}$ | $100 \%$ |

January 1997 to January 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 67 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 410,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since April 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
NEW ENGLAND HIGHWAY BLANDFORD


## Bolong Road, Bomaderry

Location: Bolong Road, between Beinda Street and Coomea Street Length description: 500m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 31/03/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 9 | 9 | $0 \%$ |
| Casualties | 10 | 9 | $10 \%$ |
| Crashes | 19 | 14 | $26 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.22 \mathrm{M}$ | $84 \%$ |

* December 1997 to December 2002
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 26 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 10 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 6.34$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
BOLONG ROAD BOMADERRY


## Elizabeth Drive, Bonnyrigg

Location: Elizabeth Drive, between Brown Road and Humphries Road Length description: 500m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 07/07/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 2.50 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 18 | 3.50 | 19 | $16 \%$ |
| Casualties | 20 | 6 | 19 | $24 \%$ |
| Crashes | 48 | 21 | 39 | $35 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 15.12 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 16.32$ | $\$ 2.58 \mathrm{M}$ | $86 \%$ |

April 1996 to April 2000. No data available for 1995

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 35 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 24 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 13.74$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements from July 2007 can be attributed to the camera commencing speed enforcement of both directions of traffic where it previously enforced one direction. Since then, there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
ELIZABETH DRIVE BONNYRIGG


## Cabramatta Road, Bonnyrigg (school zone)

Location: Cabramatta Road, between Katinka Street and Tarlington Parade School zone: Bonnyrigg High School and Our Lady of Mt Carmel Primary School Length description: 645 m east to 335 m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 17/10/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 49 | 13 | $73 \%$ |
| Casualties | 49 | 13 | $73 \%$ |
| Crashes | 60 | 35 | $42 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 6.66 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.79 \mathrm{M}$ | $73 \%$ |

* August 2002 to August 2007
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 42 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 73 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 4.87$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
CAbramatta road bonnyrigg


## Pine Creek Way, Bonville

Location: Pine Creek Way, between Bonville Creek and Bonville Station Road Length description: 500m north to 500m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 16/12/2005
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 11 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 12 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.83 M$ | $\$ 0 M$ | $100 \%$ |

*September 2000 to September 2005

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 7.83$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PINE CREEK WAY BONVILLE


## Princes Highway, Brogo

Location: Princes Highway, between Pioneer Close and Brogo River Length description: 500m north to 500m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 02/05/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 4 | 1 | $75 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}$ | $100 \%$ |

*February 1998 to February 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 75 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 410,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
princes highway brogo


## Princes Highway, Bulli

Location: Princes Highway, between Grevillea Park Road and Black Diamond Place
Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 04/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 29 | 15 | $48 \%$ |
| Casualties | 30 | 15 | $50 \%$ |
| Crashes | 52 | 30 | $42 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 10.28 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.04 \mathrm{M}$ | $50 \%$ |

* September 1996 to September 2001
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 42 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 50 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 8.24$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements from July 2006 may be attributed to the camera commencing speed enforcement of both directions of traffic where it previously enforced one direction. Since then, there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
pRINCES HIGHWAY BULLI


## Tweed Valley Way, Burringbar

Location: Tweed Valley Way, between Blakeneys Road and Cooradilla Road Length description: 500m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 02/04/1999
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Three years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 3 | 5 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 4 | 6.67 | 2 | $70 \%$ |
| Casualties | 7 | 11.67 | 2 | $83 \%$ |
| Crashes | 11 | 18.33 | 2 | $89 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 19.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 32.59 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $99 \%$ |

January 1996 to January 1999. No data available prior to 1995

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and an 89 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 32.32$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



> Infringements

TWEED VALLEY WAY BURRINGBAR


## Hume Highway, Burwood Heights

Location: Hume Highway, between Kelso Street and Appian Way Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 05/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 50 | 27 | $46 \%$ |
| Casualties | 50 | 27 | $46 \%$ |
| Crashes | 76 | 39 | $49 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 6.80 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.67 \mathrm{M}$ | $46 \%$ |

*September 1996 to September 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 49 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 46 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 3.13$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
HUME HIGHWAY BURWOOD HEIGHTS


## James Ruse Drive, Camellia

Location: James Ruse Drive, between Victoria Road and Grand Avenue North Length description: 500m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 06/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 56 | 23 | $59 \%$ |
| Casualties | 57 | 23 | $60 \%$ |
| Crashes | 120 | 62 | $48 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 13.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.13 \mathrm{M}$ | $78 \%$ |

* September 1996 to September 2001
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 48 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 60 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 10.82$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. These graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
James ruse drive cameluia


## Canterbury Road, Canterbury

Location: Canterbury Road, between Gould Street and Jeffrey Street Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 19/04/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 91 | 46 | $49 \%$ |
| Casualties | 92 | 46 | $50 \%$ |
| Crashes | 178 | 88 | $51 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 18.71 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 6.26 \mathrm{M}$ | $67 \%$ |

* January 1996 to January 2001
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 51 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 50 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 12.45$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties



## Captain Cook Drive, Caringbah

Location: Captain Cook Drive, between Cawarra Road and Gannons Road Length description: 500m west to 500m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 12/04/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 22 | 7 | $68 \%$ |
| Casualties | 23 | 7 | $70 \%$ |
| Crashes | 39 | 24 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 9.33 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $90 \%$ |

* January 1996 to January 2001
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 38 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 70 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 8.38$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since August 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. No infringements were recorded at this location from November 2010 to May 2011 as a replacement camera was installed.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
CAPTAIN COok drive caringbah


## Pennant Hills Road, Carlingford

Location: Pennant Hills Road, between Evans Road and Coleman Avenue Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 16/08/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 55 | 29 | $47 \%$ |
| Casualties | 55 | 29 | $47 \%$ |
| Crashes | 102 | 63 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.48 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.94 \mathrm{M}$ | $47 \%$ |

* May 1997 to May 2002
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 38 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 47 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 3.54$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since August 2002. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PENNANT HILLS ROAD CARLINGFORD


## Old Northern Road, Castle Hill (school zone)

Location: Old Northern Road, between Telfer Road and Brisbane Road School zone: St Bernadette's Primary School
Length description: 150 m east to 280 m west of camera
Total length: 430 m patch to patch
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 18/05/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 8 | 5 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualties | 8 | 5 | $38 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 11 | $68 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.68 \mathrm{M}$ | $38 \%$ |

*February 2002 to February 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 68 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 38 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 410,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
OLD NORTHERN ROAD CASTLE HILL


## Pacific Highway, Charmhaven

Location: Pacific Highway, between Wallarah Creek and Lowana Avenue Length description: 500m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 10/04/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 21 | 13 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualties | 21 | 13 | $38 \%$ |
| Crashes | 28 | 24 | $14 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.86 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.77 \mathrm{M}$ | $38 \%$ |

* January 2002 to January 2007
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 14 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 38 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.09$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY CHARMHAVEN


## Concord Road, Concord West

Location: Concord Road, between Nirranda Street and Mepunga Street Length description: 500 m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Starting infringing: 24/07/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 26 | 32.5 | 26 | $20 \%$ |
| Casualties | 26 | 32.5 | 26 | $20 \%$ |
| Crashes | 43 | 53.75 | 36 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 3.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 4.42 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $20 \%$ |

*April 1995 to April 2000. No data available prior to 1995.

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 33 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 20 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 880,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The period of camera downtime at this location from October 2009 to July 2010 was due to extensive road resurfacing works.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
CONCORD ROAD CONCORD WEST


## Edgar Street, Condell Park (school zone)

Location: Edgar Street, between Augusta Street and Upper Railway Parade School zone: Condell Park Primary School
Length description: 150 m south to 90 m north of camera
Total length: 240 m patch to patch
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 24/10/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 14 | 7 | $50 \%$ |
| Casualties | 15 | 7 | $53 \%$ |
| Crashes | 30 | 7 | $77 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 8.24 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $88 \%$ |

*uly 2002 to July 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 77 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 53 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 7.29$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
EDGAR STREET CONDELL PARK


## Northern Distributor (Memorial Drive), Corrimal

Location: Northern Distributor, between Towradgi Road and Railway Street Length description: 500m south to 500 m north of cameras
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing:

- Camera 1 (northbound) 11/07/2002
- Camera 2 (southbound) 25/07/2002

Status: Retained based on 2012 comprehensive safety review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Injuries | 29 | 22 | $24 \%$ |
| Casualties | 30 | 23 | $23 \%$ |
| Crashes | 39 | 35 | $10 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 10.28 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 9.33 \mathrm{M}$ | $9 \%$ |

July 2002 to July 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location. This location was reviewed in 2012 due to concerns about the increase in fatalities in recent years. The review found no evidence that the recent fatalities, which occurred at an adjacent intersection, were speed-related and it is unlikely that the speed camera would have reduced these crashes. Further, the 2012 annual review found significant casualty reductions at this location therefore the camera was found to be effective.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 10 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 23 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties
- This has been a saving of $\$ 950,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph indicates a reduction in speeding at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
NORTHERN DISTRIBUTOR (MEMORIAL DRIVE) CORRIMAL


## Eastern Distributor, Darlinghurst

Location: Eastern Distributor, between Oxford Street and William Street Length description: 450m north to 1195 m south of camera
Total length: 1645 m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing:

- Camera 1 (southbound) 06/06/2000
- Camera 2 (northbound) 30/11/2000


## Crash and casualty data - January 2012 to December 2012

|  | Southbound | Northbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 |
| Injuries | 4 | 2 |
| Casualties | 4 | 2 |
| Crashes | 4 | 3 |

- This location is appropriate for fixed speed camera enforcement as it is a high risk location that is difficult for the NSW Police Force to enforce using traditional methods.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Infringements at fixed speed camera location

EASTERN DISTRIBUTOR DARLINGHURST


## Bunnerong Road, East Gardens/ Maroubra (school zone)

Location: Bunnerong Road, between Fitzgerald Avenue and Smith Street School zone: Marist College Pagewood
Length description: 220 m south to 150 m north of cameras
Total length: 370 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 20/06/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 16 | 11 | $31 \%$ |
| Casualties | 16 | 11 | $31 \%$ |
| Crashes | 35 | 21 | $40 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.176 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.496 \mathrm{M}$ | $31 \%$ |

* March 2002 to March 2007
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 40 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 31 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 680,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
bunnerong road eastgardensimaroubra


## New South Head Road, Edgecliff

Location: New South Head Road, between Waratah Street and New Beach Road Length description: 500 m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 07/12/2001
Status: Retained based on 2012 comprehensive safety review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 43 | 27 | $37 \%$ |
| Casualties | 43 | 27 | $37 \%$ |
| Crashes | 93 | 49 | $47 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 5.85 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.67 \mathrm{M}$ | $37 \%$ |

*September 1996 to September 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location. This location was reviewed in 2012 due to concerns that crashes and casualties at this location had increased. The comprehensive review found that the camera only has an impact on the eastbound direction of traffic because the camera only enforces in this direction and there is a very wide median at the camera location. Therefore the camera was found to be effective and this crash analysis looks only at the eastbound carriageway of New South Head Road.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 47 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 37 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 2.18$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are unavailable. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
NEW SOUTH HEAD ROAD EDGECLIFF


## Pacific Highway, Ewingsdale

Location: Pacific Highway, between St Helena Road and Ewingsdale Road. Length description: 500m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Starting infringing: 22/09/2006
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 23 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 24 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 31 | 2 | $94 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 9.47 M$ | $\$ 0 M$ | $100 \%$ |

*June 2001 to June 2006

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 94 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 9.47$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph indicates a consistent level of speeding has been recorded at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



> Infringements

PACIFIC HIGHWAY EWINGSDALE


## Fairfield Street, Fairfield East

Location: Fairfield Street, between Scott Street and Mandarin Street Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 04/07/2002
Status: Review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 22 | 21 | $5 \%$ |
| Casualties | 23 | 21 | $9 \%$ |
| Crashes | 28 | 25 | $11 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 9.33 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.86 \mathrm{M}$ | $69 \%$ |

*April 1997 to April 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an 11 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 9 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 6.47$ million in costs to the community.
- This location is recommended for comprehensive safety review as there has been an increase in casualties at this location in the most recent five year period.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
FAIRFIELD STREET FAIRFIELD EAST


## Princes Highway, Foxground

Location: Princes Highway, between Foxground Road and Broughton Creek Length description: 500m south to 500m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras:
Started infringing: 9/05/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 11 | 3 | $73 \%$ |
| Casualties | 12 | 3 | $75 \%$ |
| Crashes | 16 | 4 | $75 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.83 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $95 \%$ |

*February 1998 to February 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 75 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 75 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 7.42$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since November 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PRINCES HIGHWAY FOXGROUND


## Pacific Highway, Gateshead (school zone)

Location: Pacific Highway, between Sydney Street and Macquarie Avenue School zone: Hunter Sports High School, Gateshead Public School, St Mary's High School
Length description: 460 m north to 360 m south of camera
Total length: 820m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 30/05/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 4 | 5 | 2 | $60 \%$ |
| Casualties | 4 | 5 | 2 | $60 \%$ |
| Crashes | 23 | 28.75 | 5 | $83 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 0.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.68 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $60 \%$ |

*March 1996 to March 2000. No data available for 1995.

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five years, there has been an 83 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 60 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 410,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since August 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph indicates a consistent level of speeding has been recorded at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY GATESHEAD


## Greystanes Road, Greystanes

Location: Greystanes Road, between Merrylands Road and Old Prospect Road Length description: 500m south to 500m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 30/11/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 15 | 10 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualties | 15 | 10 | $33 \%$ |
| Crashes | 29 | 15 | $48 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.04 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.36 \mathrm{M}$ | $33 \%$ |

*August 1996 to August 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 48 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 33 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 680,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since August 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
GREYSTANES ROAD GREYSTANES


## Woodville Road, Guildford (school zone)

Location: Woodville Road, between Kenelda Avenue and Osgood Street School zone: Granville South Public School
Length description: 220 m north to 280 m south of cameras
Total length: 500 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 16/05/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 41 | 33 | $20 \%$ |
| Casualties | 42 | 33 | $21 \%$ |
| Crashes | 66 | 52 | $21 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 11.91 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 4.49 \mathrm{M}$ | $62 \%$ |

*February 2002 to February 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 21 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 21 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 7.42$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
woodville road guliford


## Southern Freeway, Gwynneville (northbound)

Location: Southern Freeway, between Northern Distributor Overpass and Gipps Road Overpass (northbound)
Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 07/08/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 32 | 7 | $78 \%$ |
| Casualties | 32 | 7 | $78 \%$ |
| Crashes | 37 | 15 | $59 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 4.35 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $78 \%$ |

*May 1998 to May 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 59 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 78 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 3.4$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
F6 SOUTHERN FREEWAY GWYNNEVILLE NORTHBOUND


## Southern Freeway, Gwynneville (southbound)

Location: Southern Freeway, University Avenue Overpass and Mount Ousley Road (southbound)
Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 07/08/2003
Status Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 7 | 1 | $86 \%$ |
| Casualties | 8 | 1 | $88 \%$ |
| Crashes | 7 | 4 | $43 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.29 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.14 \mathrm{M}$ | $98 \%$ |

*May 1998 to May 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 43 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and an 88 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 7.15$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
F6 SOUTHERN FREEWAY GWYNNEVILLE SOUTHBOUND


## Great Western Highway, Hartley

Location: Great Western Highway, between Mid Hartley Road and Blackmans Creek Road
Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 06/12/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 1 | Increase from 0 to 1 |
| Injuries | 4 | 5 | 7 | $+40 \%$ |
| Casualties | 4 | 5 | 8 | $+60 \%$ |
| Crashes | 9 | 11.25 | 4 | $64 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 0.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.68 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 7.29 \mathrm{M}$ | $+972 \%$ |

* September 1996 to September 2000. No data available for 1995.
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 64 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes however there has been a 60 per cent increase in the annual average number of casualties.
- There was one fatality at this location which occurred in February 2012. Since then, there have been a number of treatments at this location to further improve road safety including a speed limit reduction from $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ from July 2012. There is also planned implementation of a point-to-point enforcement length along this section of the Great Western Highway therefore it is recommended that the camera is retained until the length is installed.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements from July 2012 can be attributed to the speed limit change on this road. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
GREAT WESTERN HIGHWAY HARTLEY


## Pacific Highway, Hungry Head (Valla)

Location: Pacific Highway, between Boundary Road and Ballards Road Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 25/11/2002
Status: Retained based on 2012 comprehensive safety review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 2 | 7 | $+250 \%$ |
| Casualties | 3 | 7 | $+133 \%$ |
| Crashes | 6 | 9 | $+50 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 6.61 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $86 \%$ |

*August 1997 to August 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes and causalities have increased at this location. This location was reviewed in 2012 due to concerns that crashes and casualties at this location had increased. This speed camera is also located on a section of the Pacific highway which is yet to be upgraded. The comprehensive review indicated that a number of safety improvements have been made along this section of the highway (following several crashes in 2010) including the recent installation of wire rope barrier. The wire rope barrier has been hit a number of times and should the speed camera be removed, it is likely that traffic speeds would increase which would be likely to result in any impacts with the wire rope barrier being more extensive than at present.
- As there are difficulties conducting speed enforcement using other means at this location, and to maintain the safety benefits of the wire rope barrier, it was recommended that the camera be retained until the road is bypassed as part of the Pacific Highway upgrade.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there is a very low infringement rate at this location over time. Roadworks have prevented the operation of the camera during 2011.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY HUNGRY HEAD (VALLA)


## Forest Road, Hurstville (school zone)

Location: Forest Road, between Lily Street and Cronulla Street
School zone: Bethany College, Sydney Technical High School, Hurstville Boys High School and Hurstville Primary School
Length description: 190 m south to 520 m north of camera
Total length: 710 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 17/10/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 27 | 20 | $26 \%$ |
| Casualties | 27 | 20 | $26 \%$ |
| Crashes | 50 | 33 | $34 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.67 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $26 \%$ |

*July 2002 to July 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 34 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 26 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 950,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
FOREST ROAD HURSTVILLE


## Parker Street, Kingswood (school zone)

Location: Parker Street, between Copeland Street and Gascoigne Street School zone: St Dominics College
Length description: 220 m south to 120 m north of cameras
Total length: 340m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 28/01/2009
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent three <br> years and 338 day <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 28 | 17 | $23 \%$ |
| Casualties | 28 | 17 | $23 \%$ |
| Crashes | 28 | 20 | $9 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.81 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.31 \mathrm{M}$ | $23 \%$ |

**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between **The percentage reduction is based on

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent three years and 338 day period, there has been a 9 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 23 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.50$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at the location since the camera began operation. The graph indicates a consistent level of speeding has been recorded at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PARKER STREET KINGSWOOD


## Princes Highway, Kogarah (school zone)

Location: Princes Highway, between Gray Street and President Avenue School zone: St Patricks Primary School, Bethany College, James Cook Boys High School, Moorefield Girls High School, Kogarah Public School
Length description: 190m south to 800m north of cameras
Total length: 990m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 15/07/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 69 | 53 | $23 \%$ |
| Casualties | 70 | 53 | $24 \%$ |
| Crashes | 128 | 78 | $39 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 15.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 7.21 \mathrm{M}$ | $54 \%$ |

*April 1998 to April 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 39 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 24 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 8.51$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



> Infringements
princes highway kogarah


## Pacific Highway, Korora

Location: Pacific Highway, between Bruxner Park Road and Korora Basin Road Length description: 500m south to 500m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 20/02/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 6 | 3 | $50 \%$ |
| Casualties | 7 | 3 | $57 \%$ |
| Crashes | 20 | 9 | $55 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.15 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $94 \%$ |

*November 1997 to November 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 55 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 57 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 6.74$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The spike in infringements in July 2012 can be attributed to a speed limit change from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY KORORA


## Bells Line of Road, Kurrajong

Location: Bells Line of Road, between Queen Street and Bellbird Avenue Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 22/05/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 6 | 7.5 | 4 | $47 \%$ |
| Casualties | 6 | 7.5 | 4 | $47 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 10 | 2 | $80 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 0.82 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.02 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $47 \%$ |

*February 1996 to February 2000. No data available for 1995.

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an 80 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 47 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 480,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph indicates a consistent level of speeding has been recorded at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
bells line of road kurrajong


## Centennial Avenue, Lane Cove

Location: Centennial Avenue, between Gentle Street and Figtree Street Length description: 500 m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 10/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 21 | 14 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualties | 22 | 14 | $36 \%$ |
| Crashes | 65 | 22 | $66 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 9.19 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.90 \mathrm{M}$ | $79 \%$ |

*September 1996 to September 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an 66 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 36 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 7.29$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since August 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. These data show there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
centennial avenue lane cove


## Lane Cove Tunnel, Lane Cove Tunnel (high risk location)

Length description:

- Camera 1 (eastbound) 2650m east to 960 m west of camera
- Camera 2 (westbound) 2665 m east to 950 m west of camera Total length:
- Camera 1 (eastbound) 3610m
- Camera 2 (westbound) 3615m

Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 25/03/2007

## Crash and casualty data - January 2012 to December 2012

|  | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 |
| Casualties | 0 | 0 |
| Crashes | 0 | 2 |

- This location is appropriate for fixed speed camera enforcement as it is a high risk location that is difficult for the NSW Police Force to enforce using traditional methods.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Infringements at fixed speed camera location



## Hume Highway, Lansvale

Location: Hume Highway, between Henry Lawson Drive and Knight Street Length description: 500 m east to 500 m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 05/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| Injuries | 69 | 42 | $39 \%$ |
| Casualties | 71 | 43 | $39 \%$ |
| Crashes | 133 | 60 | $55 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 22.06 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 12.05 \mathrm{M}$ | $45 \%$ |

*September 1996 to September 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 55 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 39 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 10.01$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements


## Pacific Highway, Lindfield (school zone)

Location: Pacific Highway, between Eton Road and Gladstone Parade School zone: Lindfield Primary School
Length description: 190m south to 290 m north of camera
Total length: 480 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 9/07/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 9 | 8 | $11 \%$ |
| Casualties | 9 | 8 | $11 \%$ |
| Crashes | 33 | 18 | $45 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.22 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $11 \%$ |

*April 2002 to April 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 45 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and an 11 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 130,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY LINDFIELD


## Bigge Street, Liverpool (school zone)

Location: Bigge Street, between Elizabeth Drive and Campbell Street School zone: All Saints Catholic Primary School, All Saints Catholic Girls College, All Saints Catholic Boys College
Length description: 150 m north to 210 m south of camera
Total length: 360 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 14/11/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 29 | 16 | $45 \%$ |
| Casualties | 29 | 16 | $45 \%$ |
| Crashes | 44 | 24 | $45 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.94 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.18 \mathrm{M}$ | $45 \%$ |
| *August 2002 to August 2007 |  |  |  |

*August 2002 to August 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 45 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 45 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.76$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
BIGGE STREET LIVERPOOL


## New England Highway, Lochinvar (school zone)

Location: New England Highway, between Robert Road and Station Lane School zone: Lochinvar Public School, St Patrick's Primary School, All Saint's College - St Joseph's Campus

Length description: 150 m east to 850 m west of camera
Total length: 1000m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 09/05/2000
Status: Retained based on 2012 comprehensive safety review

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1.25 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 2 | 2.50 | 3 | $+20 \%$ |
| Casualties | 3 | 3.75 | 3 | $20 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 10 | 7 | $30 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 6.61 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 8.26 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $95 \%$ |

*February 1996 to February 2000. No data available for 1995.

- The current adjusted five year pre installation and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location. This location was reviewed in 2012 due to concerns that casualties had increased slightly. The comprehensive safety review found that since the speed camera was installed, traffic volumes had increased significantly due to mining activities in the Hunter region. Given the increase in traffic volume, the adjusted casualty rate indicated that the speed camera has been effective in reducing casualties. Further, there was strong community support for the retention of the speed camera due to its location in a school zone where safety is a high priority. Therefore the speed camera at this location was found to be effective.
- With one fatality prior to the speed camera being installed, and no fatalities since installation, there has been a saving of $\$ 6.201$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since June 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements from September 2007 was due to the camera commencing speed enforcement of both directions of traffic where it previously enforced one direction.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements


## M2 Tunnel, M2 Motorway (high risk location)

Location: M2 Tunnel, between Tery Creek and Norfolk Road Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 11/05/2007

## Crash and casualty data - January 2012 to December 2012

|  | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 |
| Injuries | 0 |
| Casualties | 0 |
| Crashes | 0 |

- The fixed speed camera in the M2 Tunnel, M2 Motorway was installed in May 2007 however the camera is not currently installed due to roadworks.
- This location is appropriate for fixed speed camera enforcement as it is a high risk location that is difficult for the NSW Police Force to enforce using traditional methods.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Infringements at fixed speed camera location



## Pacific Highway, Macksville

Location: Pacific Highway, between Florence Wilmont Drive and Watt Creek Length description: 500m north to 500m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 03/03/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 12 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 15 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 5 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 20.64 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}$ | $100 \%$ |

*December 1997 to December 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 38 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 20.64$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since September 2004. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY MACKSVILLE


## Malabar Road, Maroubra (school zone)

Location: Malabar Road, between Mons Avenue and Duncan Street School zone: St Mary and St Joseph's Primary, Maroubra Bay Length description: 170 m south to 510 m north of camera
Total length: 680m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 20/06/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 13 | 8 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualties | 13 | 8 | $38 \%$ |
| Crashes | 38 | 24 | $37 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.77 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $38 \%$ |

March 2002 to March 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 38 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 37 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 680,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
malabar road maroubra


## Pacific Highway, Mayfield West

Location: Pacific Highway, between Werribee Street and Tourle Street Length description: 500m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 23/12/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 52 | 35 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualties | 52 | 35 | $33 \%$ |
| Crashes | 89 | 59 | $34 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.07 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 4.76 \mathrm{M}$ | $33 \%$ |

*September 1997 to September 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 34 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 33 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 2.31$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY MAYFIELD WEST


## Merrylands Road, Merrylands (school zone)

Location: Merrylands Road, between Chetwynd Road and Davies Street School zone: St Margaret Mary's Primary School
Length description: 120 m east to 100 m west of camera
Total length: 220 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 16/05/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 15 | 8 | $47 \%$ |
| Casualties | 17 | 8 | $53 \%$ |
| Crashes | 32 | 16 | $50 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 14.71 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $93 \%$ |

*February 2002 to February 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 50 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 53 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 13.62$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
MERRYLANDS ROAD MERRYLANDS


## Kingsway, Miranda (school zone)

Location: Kingsway, between Sylva Avenue and University Road School zone: Port Hacking High School, Miranda Public School Length description: 180 m west to 220 m east of camera
Total length: 400 (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 07/11/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 16 | 5 | $69 \%$ |
| Casualties | 16 | 5 | $69 \%$ |
| Crashes | 33 | 12 | $64 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.18 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.68 \mathrm{M}$ | $69 \%$ |

*August 2002 to August 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 64 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 69 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.50$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
kingsway miranda


## Cleveland St, Moore Park (school zone)

Location: Cleveland Street, between Anzac Parade and South Dowling Street School zone: Sydney Boys High School, Sydney Girls High School
Length description: 130 m west to 250 m east of camera
Total length: 380 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing:

- Camera 1 (eastbound) 14/11/2007
- Camera 2 (westbound) 15/11/2007

Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 14 | 4 | $71 \%$ |
| Casualties | 14 | 4 | $71 \%$ |
| Crashes | 26 | 7 | $73 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.90 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $71 \%$ |

*August 2002 to August 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 73 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 71 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.36$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since March 2008. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
CLEVELAND STREET MOORE PARK


## Macpherson Street, Mosman (school zone)

Location: Macpherson Street, between Ourimbah Road and Montague Road School zone: Middle Harbour Primary School
Length description: 90 m north to 230 m south of camera
Total length: 320m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 15/07/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 6 | 2 | $67 \%$ |
| Casualties | 6 | 2 | $67 \%$ |
| Crashes | 13 | 10 | $23 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.82 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $67 \%$ |

April 1998 to April 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 23 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 67 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of \$550,000 in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
macpherson street mosman


## Pittwater Road, Narrabeen (school zone)

Location: Pittwater Road, between Ocean Street and Devitt Street School zone: Narrabeen Lakes Primary School
Length description: 170 m south to 130 m north of camera
Total length: 300m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 31/10/2007
Status: Effective

## Camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 12 | 14 | $+17 \%$ |
| Casualties | 14 | 14 | $0 \%$ |
| Crashes | 21 | 19 | $10 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 14.31 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.90 \mathrm{M}$ | $87 \%$ |

July 2002 to July 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 10 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes. While there has been a slight increase in the number of injuries, there has been a reduction in the number of fatalities from two in the pre installation period to zero in the post installation period.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 12.41$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
pittwater road narrabeen


## Pacific Highway, New Italy

Location: Pacific Highway, between New Italy Road and Turners Road Length description: 500m south to 500m north of midpoint between camera 1 and camera 2
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 25/07/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 5 | 3 | $40 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}$ | $100 \%$ |

April 1997 to April 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 40 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties
- This has been a saving of $\$ 410,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
pacific highway new italy


## Pacific Highway, Nords Wharf

Location: Pacific Highway, between Nords Wharf Road and Flowers Drive Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 27/02/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 2 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| Casualties | 2 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| Crashes | 9 | 11 | $+22 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.14 \mathrm{M}$ | $50 \%$ |

*November 1997 to November 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have increased at this location however causalities have decreased.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an increase in the number of crashes from 9 to 11, however there have been no crashes in 2012. There has also been a 50 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 130,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the June 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY NORDS WHARF


## Harbord Road, North Curl Curl (school zone)

Location: Harbord Road, between Abbott Road and Brighton Street School zone: Freshwater Senior High School, Manly Selective Campus Length description: 500 m north to 560 m south of camera
Total length: 1060m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 31/10/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 25 | 20 | $20 \%$ |
| Casualties | 25 | 20 | $20 \%$ |
| Crashes | 71 | 47 | $34 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.40 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $20 \%$ |

July 2002 to July 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 34 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 20 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 680,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
harbord road north curl curl


## Pittwater Road, North Narrabeen (school zone)

Location: Pittwater Road, between Garden Street and Namona Street School: Narrabeen North Public School, Narrabeen Sports High
Length description: 330 m south to 260 m north of camera
Total length: 590 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 28/01/2009
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent three <br> years and 338 days | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 11 | 6 | $31 \%$ |
| Casualties | 11 | 6 | $31 \%$ |
| Crashes | 25 | 22 | $+12 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.50 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.82 \mathrm{M}$ | $31 \%$ |

*October 2003 to October 2008
**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between the pre and post installation time periods

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have increased at this location
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent three years and 338 day period, there has been a 12 per cent increase in the annual average number of crashes however there has been a 31 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 680,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph indicates a consistent leve of speeding has been recorded at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.
- With less than five years of post installation data, it is too early to assess the effectiveness of the cameras at this location however early results indicate they are delivering the expected road safety benefits.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements


## Pennant Hills Road, North Parramatta

Location: Pennant Hills Road, between Castle Street and Bellevue Street Length description: 390m west to 610 m east of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 30/11/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 17 | 10 | $41 \%$ |
| Casualties | 17 | 10 | $41 \%$ |
| Crashes | 39 | 23 | $41 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.31 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.36 \mathrm{M}$ | $41 \%$ |

*August 1996 to August 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 41 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 41 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 950,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PENNANT HILLS RD NORTH PARRAMATTA


## Pennant Hills Road, North Parramatta / Oatlands (school zone)

Location: Pennant Hills Road, between Masons Drive and Suttor Avenue School zone: Burnside Public School, The Kings School, Redeemer Baptist School, Garfield Barwick School, American International School, Cumberland High School
Length description: 470m west to 2300m east of camera
Total length: 2770 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started Infringing: 10/04/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 59 | 23 | $61 \%$ |
| Casualties | 60 | 23 | $62 \%$ |
| Crashes | 101 | 60 | $41 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 14.36 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.13 \mathrm{M}$ | $78 \%$ |

*January 2002 to January 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 41 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 62 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 11.23$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements


## Princes Highway, North Wollongong

Location: Princes Highway, between Ajax Avenue and Exeter Avenue Length description: 500m north to 500m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 13/06/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> five year <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 50 | 62.50 | 35 | $44 \%$ |
| Casualties | 50 | 62.50 | 35 | $44 \%$ |
| Crashes | 69 | 86.25 | 54 | $37 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 6.80 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 8.50 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 4.76 \mathrm{M}$ | $44 \%$ |

*March 1996 to march 2000. No data available for 1995.

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 37 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 44 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 3.74$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PRINCES HIGHWAY NORTH WOLLONGONG


## Woodville Road, Old Guildford (school zone)

Location: Woodville Road, between Orchardleigh Street and Middleton Road School zone: Old Guildford Public School
Length description: 200 m south to 170 m north of camera
Total length: 370m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 30/01/2009
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> three years <br> and 336 day <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 23 | 18.03 | 7 | $61 \%$ |
| Casualties | 23 | 18.03 | 7 | $61 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 26.66 | 20 | $25 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 3.13 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.45 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $61 \%$ |

October 2003 to October 2008
**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between he pre and post installation time periods

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent three years and 336 day period, there has been a 25 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 61 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.50$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since April 2009. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a consistent level of speeding at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.
- With less than five years of post installation data, it is too early to assess the effectiveness of the cameras at this location however early results indicate they are delivering the expected road safety benefits.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
WOODVILLE ROAD OLD GUILFORD


## F3 Freeway, Ourimbah

Location: F3 Freeway, between Dogtrap Road Overpass and Ourimbah Creek Road
Length description: 500m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 6/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 8 | 5 | $38 \%$ |
| Casualties | 9 | 5 | $44 \%$ |
| Crashes | 27 | 18 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.43 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.68 \mathrm{M}$ | $91 \%$ |

*September 1996 to September 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 33 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 44 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 6.75$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a consistent level of speeding at this location over time. The extended period of camera downtime at this location from December 2010 to June 2011 was due to roadworks and camera maintenance.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
F3 FREEWAY OURIMBAH


## Pacific Highway, Ourimbah (school zone)

Location: Pacific Highway, between Yates Road and Dog Trap Road School zone: Ourimbah Primary School
Length description: 210 m south to 150 m north of camera
Total length: 360m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing:

- Camera 1 (northbound) 15/07/2003
- Camera 2 (southbound) 18/02/2008

Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 2 | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| Casualties | 2 | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| Crashes | 5 | 5 | $0 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ |
| *April 1998 to April 2003 |  |  |  |

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have not changed at this location.
- This school zone fixed speed camera location remains appropriate for enforcement as it is a high risk location, enforcing a school zone on a high speed and high traffic volume road.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since June 2003. The spike in infringements from February 2008 can be attributed to the installation of camera 2, enforcing southbound traffic. The extended period of camera downtime at this location was due to significant road upgrades.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



## Gibson Avenue, Padstow

Location: Gibson Avenue, between Turvey Street and Bryant Street, Padstow Length description: 500m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 03/07/2000
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Four years <br> before <br> installation* | Adjusted five <br> year before <br> installation <br> period | Most recent <br> three years <br> and 336 day <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1.25 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 14 | 17.5 | 12 | $31 \%$ |
| Casualties | 15 | 18.75 | 12 | $36 \%$ |
| Crashes | 40 | 50 | 19 | $62 \%$ |
| Casualty <br> Cost | $\$ 8.24 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10.30 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.63 \mathrm{M}$ | $84 \%$ |

*April 1996 to April 2000. No data available for 1995.
**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between the pre and post installation time periods

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the adjusted five year pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 62 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 36 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 8.67$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
gibson avenue padstow


## Henry Lawson Drive, Peakhurst

Location: Henry Lawson Drive, between Belmont Road and Ogilvy Street Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 29/01/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 24 | 13 | $46 \%$ |
| Casualties | 24 | 13 | $46 \%$ |
| Crashes | 47 | 17 | $64 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.26 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.77 \mathrm{M}$ | $46 \%$ |

October 1997 to October 2002.

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 64 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 46 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.49$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements henry Lawson drive peakhurst


## Forest Road, Penshurst (school zone)

Location: Forest Road, between Penshurst Street and St Georges Street School zone: Penshurst Girls Campus - Georges River College, Penshurst Public School, St Declan's Primary School
Length description: 340 m east to 280 m west of camera
Total length: 620 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 17/10/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 21 | 17 | $19 \%$ |
| Casualties | 21 | 17 | $19 \%$ |
| Crashes | 49 | 35 | $29 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.86 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.31 \mathrm{M}$ | $19 \%$ |

*July 2002 to July 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 29 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 19 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 550,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
FOREST ROAD PENSHURST


## Henry Lawson Drive, Picnic Point

Location: Henry Lawson Drive, between Carinya Road and The River Road Length description: 500 m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 16/05/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 6 | 4 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualties | 6 | 4 | $33 \%$ |
| Crashes | 9 | 4 | $56 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.82 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $33 \%$ |

*February 1996 to February 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 56 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 33 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 280,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
henry Lawson drive picnic point


## Lanyon Drive, Queanbeyan

Location: Lanyon Drive, between Tompsitt Drive and Hoover Road Length description: 500m south to 500m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 02/05/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 1 | 3 | $+200 \%$ |
| Casualties | 2 | 3 | $+50 \%$ |
| Crashes | 4 | 4 | $0 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 6.47 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $94 \%$ |

February 1998 to February 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have not changed at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a slight increase in injuries from one to three. The high percentage increase is due to the low number of crashes at this location. However there has been a reduction in fatalities from one to zero at this location.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 6.06$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
LANYON DRIVE QUEANBEYAN


## Avoca Street, Randwick (school zone)

Location: Avoca Street, between Howard Street and Barker Road School zone: Randwick Boys High School, Randwick Girls High School Length description; 350 m south to 210 m north of camera
Total length: 560 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 29/06/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 28 | 20 | $29 \%$ |
| Casualties | 28 | 20 | $29 \%$ |
| Crashes | 61 | 40 | $34 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.81 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $29 \%$ |

*March 2002 to March 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 34 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 29 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.09$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
AVOCA STREET RANDWICK


## McCaffrey Drive, Rankin Park

Location: McCaffrey Drive, between Duval Street and Orara Street Length description: 500m east to 500m west of camera
Total length: 1000m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 29/04/2003
Status: Review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 8 | 10 | $+25 \%$ |
| Casualties | 8 | 10 | $+25 \%$ |
| Crashes | 17 | 20 | $+18 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.36 \mathrm{M}$ | $+25 \%$ |

*February 1998 to February 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes and casualties have increased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an 18 per cent increase in the annual average number of crashes and a 25 per cent increase in the annual average number of casualties. In June 2012, there was one crash that resulted in 3 injuries.
- This location is recommended for comprehensive safety review as there has been an increase in crashes and casualties at this location in the most recent five year period
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
mCCAFFREY DRIVE RANKIN PARK


## Botany Road, RoseberylAlexandria (school zone)

Location: Botany Road, between Gardeners Road and Gillespie Street School zone: Gardeners Road Public School
Length description: 150 m south to 210 m north of camera
Total length: 360m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 13/06/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 15 | 12 | $20 \%$ |
| Casualties | 15 | 12 | $20 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 24 | $29 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.04 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.63 \mathrm{M}$ | $20 \%$ |

*March 2002 to March 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 29 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 20 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 410,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. The spike in infringements after July 2012 can be attributed to a change in speed limit from $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
BOTANY ROAD ALEXANDRIA I ROSEBERY


## Victoria Road, Rydalmere (school zone)

Location: Victoria Road, between Park Road and John Road School zone: Rydalmere Public School
Length description: 190 m west to 250 m east of camera
Total length: 440 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 28/01/2009
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent 3 years <br> and 338 day period | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 3 | 3 | $+27 \%$ |
| Casualties | 3 | 3 | $+27 \%$ |
| Crashes | 19 | 5 | $66 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $+27 \%$ |

* October 2003 to October 2008
**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between the pre and post installation time periods
- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 66 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes however there has been a 27 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a consistent level of speeding at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.
- With less than five years of post installation data, it is too early to assess the effectiveness of the cameras at this location however early results indicate they are delivering the expected road safety benefits.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
victoria road rydalmere


## Blaxland Road, Ryde

Location: Blaxland Road, between Reservoir Lane and North Road
Length description: 500m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 24/06/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 31 | 14 | $55 \%$ |
| Casualties | 32 | 14 | $56 \%$ |
| Crashes | 78 | 58 | $26 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 10.55 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.90 \mathrm{M}$ | $82 \%$ |

*March 1997 to March 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 26 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 56 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 8.65$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since October 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
blaxland road ryde


## Victoria Road, Ryde (school zone)

Location: Victoria Road, between Margaret Street and Cressy Road School zone: Holy Cross College Ryde, St Charles School Ryde Length description: 300 m west to 270 m east of camera
Total length: 570 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 14/11/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 18 | 5 | $72 \%$ |
| Casualties | 18 | 5 | $72 \%$ |
| Crashes | 40 | 11 | $73 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.45 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.68 \mathrm{M}$ | $72 \%$ |

*August 2002 to August 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 73 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 72 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.77$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
VICTORIA ROAD RYDE


## Pacific Highway, Sandgate

Location: Pacific Highway, between Wallsend Road and Ironbark Creek Length description: 500m south to 500m north from midpoint between camera sites Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing:

- Camera 1 (northbound) 14/01/2003
- Camera 2 (southbound) 23/04/2003

Status: Review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 22 | 20 | $9 \%$ |
| Casualties | 23 | 20 | $13 \%$ |
| Crashes | 36 | 47 | $+31 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 9.33 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.72 \mathrm{M}$ | $71 \%$ |

October 1998 to October 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have increased at this location however casualties have decreased.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 31 per cent increase in the annual average number of crashes however there has been a 13 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties. Further, there has been a reduction in fatalities from one fatality in the pre installation period to no fatalities in the most recent five year period.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 6.61$ million in costs to the community.
- This location is recommended for comprehensive safety review as there has been a gradual increase in casualties at this location since the cameras were installed.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since December 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY SANDGATE


## George Street, South Windsor

Location: George Street, between Rickaby Street and Yarrawonga Street Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 30/11/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 23 | 12 | $48 \%$ |
| Casualties | 23 | 12 | $48 \%$ |
| Crashes | 39 | 29 | $26 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 3.13 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.63 \mathrm{M}$ | $48 \%$ |

*August 1996 to August 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 26 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 48 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.50$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements in April 2007 may be attributed to the camera commencing speed enforcement of both directions of traffic where it previously enforced one direction only. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
GEORGE STREET SOUTH WINDSOR


## The Boulevarde, Strathfield (school zone)

Location: The Boulevarde, between Torrington Parade and Russell Street School zone: Trinity Grammar Preparatory School, Santa Sabina College, Santa Maria Del Monte, Meridan Senior and Junior
Length description: 425 m north to 585 m south of camera
Total length: 1010 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 04/02/2009
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent three <br> years and 331 days | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 38 | 29 | $2 \%$ |
| Casualties | 38 | 29 | $2 \%$ |
| Crashes | 71 | 44 | $21 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 5.17 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 3.94 \mathrm{M}$ | $2 \%$ |

*November 2003 to November 2008
**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between the pre and post installation time periods

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent three years and 331 day period, there has been a 21 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 2 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.23$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
the boulevarde strathfield


## Sydney Harbour Tunnel, Sydney (high risk location)

Location: Sydney Harbour Tunnel, between Cahill Expressway and Warringah Freeway
Length description:

- Camera 1 (northbound) 865 m south to 1430 m north of camera
- Camera 2 (southbound) 870 m south to 1425 m north of camera Total length:
- Camera 1 (northbound) 2295m
- Camera 2 (southbound) 2295m

Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 02/08/2002

## Crash and casualty data - January 2012 to December 2012

|  | Northbound | Southbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 |
| Injuries | 1 | 1 |
| Casualties | 1 | 1 |
| Crashes | 5 | 5 |

- This location is appropriate for fixed speed camera enforcement as it is a high risk location that is difficult for the NSW Police Force to enforce using traditional methods.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operation. The extended period of camera downtime from June 2011 to October 2011 was due to camera maintenance requirements and difficulties accessing the tunnel site.


## Infringements at fixed speed camera location



## New England Highway, Tenterfield

Location: New England Highway, between Duncan Street and George Street Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 3/10/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 9 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 11 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 7 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 13.90 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}$ | $100 \%$ |

*July 1997 to July 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties
- This has been a saving of $\$ 13.90$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
NEW ENGLAND HIGHWAY TENTERFIELD


## Terrigal Drive, Terrigal

Location: Terrigal Drive, between Brunswick Road and Bellbird Avenue Length description: 500m west to 500m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 27/02/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 39 | 9 | $77 \%$ |
| Casualties | 40 | 9 | $78 \%$ |
| Crashes | 93 | 26 | $72 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 11.64 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.22 \mathrm{M}$ | $89 \%$ |

*November 1997 to November 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 72 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 78 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 10.42$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.
- This location was changed to a school zone in March 2012. The current analysis is of the original non-school zone length.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
terrigal drive terrigal


## Fitzwilliam Road, Toongabbie (school zone)

Location: Fitzwilliam Road, between Reynolds Street and Binalong Road School zone: Toongabbie Public School
Length description: 590 m (patch to patch)
Total length: 350 m east to 240 m west of camera
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 16/05/2007
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 7 | 3 | $57 \%$ |
| Casualties | 7 | 3 | $57 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 8 | $64 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $57 \%$ |

*February 2002 to February 2007

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 64 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 57 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of \$540,000 in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
FITZWILLIAM ROAD OLD TOONGABBIE


## Pacific Highway, Valla Beach

Location: Pacific Highway, between Valla Beach Road and Oyster Creek Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 05/02/2002
Status: Retained based on 2012 desktop review

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 1 | Increase from 0 to 1 |
| Injuries | 6 | 9 | $+50 \%$ |
| Casualties | 6 | 10 | $+67 \%$ |
| Crashes | 12 | 5 | $58 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 0.82 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 7.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $+827 \%$ |

*November 1996 to November 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 58 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes however casualties have increased at this location including one fatality.
- Based on the assessment criteria the fixed speed cameras at this location were identified for review. A more detailed analysis of the crashes revealed that one casualty crash in 2009 resulted in one fatality and six injuries which significantly influenced the effectiveness result. These speed cameras are also located on a section of the Pacific Highway that is yet to be upgraded.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2004. Infringement data before this date are not available. The spike in infringements from May 2011 can be attributed to the speed limit changing from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The speed limit was changed due to the poor safety record of this section of the Pacific Highway. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
Pacific highway valla beach


## Great Western Highway, Valley Heights

Location: Great Western Highway, between The Valley Road and Sun Valley Road Length description: 500m west to 500m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 29/04/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 22 | 7 | $68 \%$ |
| Casualties | 24 | 7 | $71 \%$ |
| Crashes | 45 | 19 | $58 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 15.67 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ | $94 \%$ |

*January 1997 to January 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 58 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 71 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 14.72$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
GREAT WESTERN HIGHWAY VaLLEY HEIGHTS


## Pacific Highway, Wahroonga (school zone)

Location: Pacific Highway, between Gilda Avenue and Woodville Avenue School zone: Warrawee Public School, Knox Grammar, Abbotsleigh Senior Campus
Length description: 1080 m south to 880 m north of camera
Total length: 1960m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 28/01/2009
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent three <br> years and 338 day <br> period | Percentage <br> reduction** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 65 | 40 | $22 \%$ |
| Casualties | 65 | 40 | $22 \%$ |
| Crashes | 123 | 90 | $7 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 8.84 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5.44 \mathrm{M}$ | $22 \%$ |
| *October 2003 to October 2008 |  |  |  |

October 2003 to October 2008
**The percentage reduction is based on annualised crash data to allow for a direct comparison between the pre and post installation time periods

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent three years and 338 day period, there has been a 7 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 22 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 3.40$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since April 2009. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location over time. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
pacific highway wahroonga


## Pacific Highway, Wardell

Location: Pacific Highway, between Riverside Drive and Carlisle Street Length description: 500m north to 500 m south of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 24/02/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 14 | 3 | $79 \%$ |
| Casualties | 14 | 3 | $79 \%$ |
| Crashes | 12 | 3 | $75 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.90 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.41 \mathrm{M}$ | $79 \%$ |

*November 1997 to November 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 75 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 79 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.49$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The spike in infringements in September 2009 can be attributed to the speed limit changing from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location

Crashes and casualties


Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY WARDELL


## Northcliffe Drive, Warrawong

Location:Northcliffe Drive, between Griffin Street and Kully Street
Length description: 500m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 1/05/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 15 | 10 | $33 \%$ |
| Casualties | 15 | 10 | $33 \%$ |
| Crashes | 22 | 9 | $59 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.04 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.36 \mathrm{M}$ | $33 \%$ |

February 1998 to February 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 59 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 33 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 680,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
NORTHCLIFFE DRIVE WARRAWONG


## Castle Hill Road, West Pennant Hills

Location:Castle Hill Road, between Pennant Hills Road and Coonara Avenue Length description: 500 m east to 500 m west of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 18/07/2002
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 17 | 18 | $+6 \%$ |
| Casualties | 19 | 18 | $5 \%$ |
| Crashes | 58 | 30 | $48 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 14.99 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.45 \mathrm{M}$ | $2 \%$ |

*April 1997 to April 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 48 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 5 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 12.54$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
CASTLE HILL ROAD WEST PENNANT HILLS


## Bruxner Highway, Wollongbar

Location: Bruxner Highway, between Convernys Lane and McLeans Ridges Road Length description: 500 m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 24/02/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Injuries | 7 | 6 | $14 \%$ |
| Casualties | 8 | 7 | $13 \%$ |
| Crashes | 10 | 6 | $40 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 7.29 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 7.15 \mathrm{M}$ | $2 \%$ |

*November 1997 to November 2002

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 40 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 13 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 140,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since May 2003. Infringement data before this date are unavailable. The graph shows there has been a consistent level of speeding behaviour at this location. The spike in infringements in February 2006 can be attributed to the speed limit changing from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The spike in infringements in April 2009 can be attributed to when the camera switched to bi-directional enforcement where it previously enforced in one direction. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
BRUXNER HWY WOLLONGBAR


## Princes Highway, Wollongong (school zone)

Location: Princes Highway, between Mount Keira Road and Highway Avenue School Zone: Illawarra Grammar School, Wollongong Public School, St Theresa Primary School
Length description: 440m north to 180 m south of camera
Total length: 620 m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 15/07/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 19 | 18 | $5 \%$ |
| Casualties | 19 | 18 | $5 \%$ |
| Crashes | 34 | 27 | $21 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 2.58 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.45 \mathrm{M}$ | $5 \%$ |

*April 1998 to April 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 21 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 5 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 130,000$ in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2003. Infringement data before this date are not available. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PRINCES HIGHWAY WEST WOLLONGONG


## Pacific Highway, Woodburn

Location: Pacific Highway, between Wagner Street and Norman Street Length description: 500 m south to 500 m north of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 20/03/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Injuries | 8 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Casualties | 10 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Crashes | 8 | 1 | $88 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 13.76 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}$ | $100 \%$ |

*December 1995 to December 2000

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been an 88 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 100 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 13.76$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are unavailable. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
PACIFIC HIGHWAY WOODBURN


## Cross City Tunnel, Woolloomoolool East Sydney (high risk location)

Location: Cross City Tunnel, between McLachlan Avenue and Harbour Street, Woolloomooloo
Length description:

- Camera 1 (eastbound) 140m east to 2005m west of camera
- Camera 2 (westbound) 200 m east to 1890 m west of camera

Total length:

- Camera 1 (eastbound) 2145 m
- Camera 2 (westbound) 2090m

Number of cameras: 2
Started infringing: 05/09/2005

## Crash and casualty data - January 2012 to December 2012

|  | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 |
| Injuries | 0 | 0 |
| Casualties | 0 | 0 |
| Crashes | 2 | 0 |

- This location is appropriate for fixed speed camera enforcement as it is a high risk location that is difficult for the NSW Police Force to enforce using traditional methods.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the cameras began operating. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Infringements at fixed speed camera location

CROSS CITY TUNNEL WOOLLOOMOOLOO EAST SYDNEY


## Henry Parry Drive, Wyoming (school zone)

Location: Henry Parry Drive, between Glennie Street and Dwyer Street School Zone: Our Lady of the Rosary Primary School
Length description: 60 m south to 170 m north of camera
Total length: 230m (patch to patch)
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 15/07/2003
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 0 | 0 | - |
| Injuries | 12 | 4 | $67 \%$ |
| Casualties | 12 | 4 | $67 \%$ |
| Crashes | 12 | 6 | $50 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 1.63 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.54 \mathrm{M}$ | $67 \%$ |

April 1998 to April 2003

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 50 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 67 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 1.09$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since the camera began operation. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
HENRY PARRY DRIVE WYOMING


## Hume Highway, Yagoona

Location: Hume Highway, between Smith Street and Brennan Avenue Length description: 500m west to 500 m east of camera
Total length: 1000 m
Number of cameras: 1
Started infringing: 07/12/2001
Status: Effective

## Current camera performance

|  | Five years before <br> installation* | Most recent five <br> year period | Percentage <br> reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 2 | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| Injuries | 74 | 35 | $53 \%$ |
| Casualties | 76 | 36 | $53 \%$ |
| Crashes | 140 | 61 | $56 \%$ |
| Casualty Cost | $\$ 22.74 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 11.10 \mathrm{M}$ | $51 \%$ |

*September 1996 to September 2001

- The current pre and post installation analysis shows that crashes have decreased at this location.
- When comparing the pre installation period to the most recent five year period, there has been a 56 per cent reduction in the annual average number of crashes and a 53 per cent reduction in the annual average number of casualties.
- This has been a saving of $\$ 11.64$ million in costs to the community.
- The infringement graph details the trend in infringements at this location since July 2002. Infringement data before this date are unavailable. The graph shows there has been a reduction in speeding behaviour at this location. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.


## Trend in road safety performance at camera location



Infringements
hume highway yagoona


## Appendix D: NSW fixed speed cameras operating in warning mode

## NSW fixed speed camera locations operating in warning mode

Following the results of the 2011 audit of speed cameras, the Minister for Roads and Ports directed the deactivation of fixed speed cameras that were found to not be delivering the expected road safety benefit at 38 locations. Cameras at seven of these locations remain in warning mode following reviews by the Centre for Road Safety and safety concerns expressed by the community. These seven locations are not included in the fixed speed camera analysis however a report on crash and infringement results from July 2012 until December 2012 has been included below.

The cameras began operating in warning mode at different times, starting from August 2011. By July 2012, cameras at all seven locations commenced operating under a 'three strike' scheme where vehicle owners receive an infringement notice on the third speeding offence at any of the seven locations. Vehicles detected speeding more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over the speed limit receive a court attendance notice and face significant penalties.

## Performance at fixed speed camera locations operating in warning mode (July 2012 to December 2012)

|  |  | Crashes and casualties |  |  |  | Warning letters and infringements issued under '3 strikes' program |  |  |  | Court attendance notices issued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (month and year warning mode commenced) | No. of cameras |  | - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{y} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spit Road, Beauty Point (June 2012) | 2 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 19 | 3295 | 196 | 58 | 3549 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Bangalow Road. Clunes (August 2011) | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2161 | 62 | 38 | 2261 | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| Eastern Arterial Road, Gordon (June 2012) | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 208 | 8 | 1 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| President Avenue, Gymea <br> - school zone <br> (August 2011) | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 947 | 34 | 3 | 984 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carlingford Road, North Epping <br> - school zone <br> (June 2012) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1825 | 121 | 42 | 1988 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Eastern Valley Way, North Willoughby <br> (July 2012) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 438 | 18 | 2 | 458 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Highway, Urunga (January 2012) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1778 | 24 | 2 | 1804 | 20 | 3 | 23 |

## Appendix E: Analysis of the NSW point-to-point enforcement program

## Overview of point-to-point enforcement lengths

|  | Road | Section | Approximate Length (Km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Federal Highway | Between Goulburn and Collector | 20 |
| 2 | Golden Highway | Between Sandy Hollow and Merriwa | 34 |
| 3 | Great Western Highway | Between Meadow Flat and Raglan | 27 |
| 4 | Great Western Highway | Between Mount Victoria and Lithgow | TBC |
| 5 | Gwydir Highway | Between Glen Innes and Inverell | 60 |
| 6 | Hume Highway | Between Coolac and Yass | 75 |
| 7 | Hume Highway | Between Gundagai and Coolac | 20 |
| 8 | Mitchell Highway | Between Molong and Cundumbul | 28 |
| 9 | Monaro Highway | Between Bredbo and Cooma | 34 |
| 10 | Mount Ousley Road | Between Bulli and Gwynneville | 14 |
| 11 | New England Highway | Between Muswellbrook and Aberdeen | 11 |
| 12 | New England Highway | Between Muswellbrook and Singleton | 46 |
| 13 | Newell Highway | Between Eumungerie and Gilgandra | 27 |
| 14 | Newell Highway | Between Forbes and West Wyalong | 94 |
| 15 | Newell Highway | Between Peak Hill and Tomingley | 17 |
| 16 | Oxley Highway | Between Gundedah and Tamworth | 60 |
| 17 | Pacific Highway | Between Ballina and Wardell | TBC |
| 18 | Pacific Highway | Between Harwood and New Italy | 35 |
| 19 | Pacific Highway | Between Kew and Port Macquarie | 21 |
| 20 | Pacific Highway | Between Nabiac and Taree | 24 |
| 21 | Pacific Highway | Between Port Macquarie and Kempsey | 40 |
| 22 | Pacific Highway | Between Tyndale and Harwood | TBC |
| 23 | Pacific Highway | Between Urunga and Valla | 13 |
| 24 | Pacific Highway | Between Woodburn and Wardell | 20 |
| 25 | Picton Road | Between Wilton and Cataract | 16 |

## Heavy vehicle crashes and infringements at operational point-to-point enforcement locations for 2011 and 2012

| Road | Section |  |  |  | Before installation heavy vehicle crashes (2006-2010) |  |  | After installation heavy vehicle crashes 2011 |  |  | After installation heavy vehicle crashes 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fatalities | Injuries | Total | Fatalities | Injuries | Total | Fatalities | Injuries | Total |  |  |
| Federal Hwy | Between Goulburn and Collector | 20 | 30 May 2011 | Aug 2011 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 62 | 223 |
| Golden Hwy | Between Sandy Hollow and Merriwa | 34 | 1 Mar 2012 | Jun 2012 | 0 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | 13 |
| Great Western Hwy | Between Meadow Flat and Raglan | 27 | 31 May 2010 | Nov 2010 | 1* | 8* | 11* | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 24 |
| Gwydir Hwy | Between Glen Innes and Inverell | 60 | 25 Oct 2011 | Feb 2012 | 0 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 |
| Hume Hwy | Between Coolac and Yass | 75 | 26 Aug 2011 | Oct 2011 | 2 | 12 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 21 | 562 |
| Hume Hwy | Between Gundagai and Coolac | 20 | 26 Aug 2011 | Oct 2011 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 384 |
| Monaro Hwy | Between Bredbo and Cooma | 34 | 17 May 2011 | Nov 2011 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| New England Hwy | Between Muswellbrook and Aberdeen | 11 | 16 Dec 2011 | Mar 2012 | 0 | 2 | 3 | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| New England Hwy | Between Muswellbrook and Singleton | 46 | 20 Dec 2011 | Mar 2012 | 1 | 4 | 12 | - ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | - | - ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 1 | 4 | 12 | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 6 |
| Newell Hwy | Between Eumungerie and Gilgandra | 27 | 22 Dec 2011 | Mar 2012 | 2 | 2 | 7 | - | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 3 | 4 | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 140 |
| Newell Hwy | Between Peak Hill and Tomingley | 17 | 22 Dec 2011 | Mar 2012 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 40 |
| Oxley Hwy | Between Gunnedah and Tamworth | 60 | 30 Nov 2011 | Jan 2012 | 3 | 2 | 7 | - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | - ${ }^{+}$ | - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 8 |
| Pacific Hwy | Between Harwood and New Italy | 35 | 31 Mar 2010 | Mar 2011 | 4 | 16 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 113 | 19 |
| Pacific Hwy | Between Kew and Port Macquarie | 21 | 17 Aug 2012 | Oct 2012 | 1 | 20 | 30 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 0 |
| Pacific Hwy | Between Nabiac and Taree | 24 | 5 Aug 2011 | Oct 2011 | 1 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 23 | 84 |
| Pacific Hwy | Between Port Macquarie and Kempsey | 40 | 14 Dec 2011 | Feb 2012 | 0 | 9 | 34 | - ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | - ${ }^{+}$ | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | - ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 2 |
| Pacific Hwy | Between Urunga and Valla | 13 | 29 Sep 2012 | Dec 2012 | 2* | 8* | 14* | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | - ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 0 |
| Pacific Hwy | Between Woodburn and Wardell | 20 | 28 Jun 2011 | Aug 2011 | 3 | 12 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 29 | 95 |
| Picton Rd | Between Wilton and Cataract | 16 | 17 Oct 2012 | Dec 2012 | 5 | 5 | 16 | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - | - | 0 | 1 | 2 | - | 0 |

[^8]
## Summary

There are 25 lengths that are part of the point-to-point enforcement program with 19 lengths rolled out by the end of 2012:

- two lengths were installed in 2010
- 13 lengths were installed in 2011
- four lengths were installed in 2012

Of the remaining six point-to-point lengths, two were installed in early 2013 and four are being rolled out later in 2013. There are eight lengths that have enforced for the entire 2012 review period; however this has been for a period of two years or less and is too early to assess the effectiveness of individual enforcement lengths.

Only one point-to-point length, Great Western Highway between Meadow Flat and Raglan, has enforced for a full two year period (2011 and 2012). This length commenced in warning mode in May 2010 and commenced infringement in November 2010. At this length, in the five year period before installation (2005-2009) there were 11 heavy vehicle crashes resulting in one fatality and eight injuries. In the two year post installation period (2011-2012) there were five heavy vehicle crashes resulting in one fatality and two injuries. There have been 45 speeding infringements issued at this length.

A total of 1,617 speeding infringements were issued at point-to-point lengths in 2012. The low number of infringements issued within each of these lengths shows that there has been a high level of compliance with the speed limit. Roadworks and camera maintenance may influence the number of infringements issued.

Point-to-point speed infringements to December 2012
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