Tarago Action Plan Routine Inspection Checklist

Date:	28-Mar-23	UGL RL Envi	ronmental	Representative		
Start time:	8:32 AM	completing i	nspection ¹	:		
Finish time:	9:28 AM					
Weather:	16.4°C, wind 9 km/hr NW, 92% hum	idity, 0.0mm	rain			
Weather.	since 9am yesterday, partly cloudy,			BoM		
Date and volu	ime of maximum rainfall in a 24hr pe			n?		
Date:		26-Mar-23	•			
Max volume (mm) in 24hr period:	33.6				
	Observations					
	ust from site evident?					
	ust was visible (Photo 1)					
	un-off evident that is not captured by					
	dence of sediment was observed in po					
(Photo 2), ho	owever no evidence of run-off of sedir	ment past rai	l culverts ((Photos 3 - 7)		
Is surface wat	ter discharging from site?					
Yes. Surface v	water discharging at a very slow rate	from souther	n culvert.	Vegeteation		
established do	ownstream of the southern culvert pro	ovides a natu	iral filter fo	or sediment. Some		
pooled water	observed on site and immediately down	wstream of m	niddle culv	ert but not discharging		
	Irface water at northern culvert (Phot					
Is there evidence of excavation or other works non-compliant with the Action Plan?						
No						
Other observations?						
As per reccomendation from previous Inspection Checklist (dated 15-Mar-23), UGL staff were on						
•	site to remove the redundant fencing on the eastern site boundary next to the Station Masters					

site to remove the redundant fencing on the eastern site boundary next to the Station Masters Cottage (**Photo 19**) and to place a geofabric filter around the drain inlet between the main and loop lines (**Photo 20**). It is understood that wet weather has prohibited maintenance of vegetation on the stockpile.

No sediment loading was observable in rock checks and armour. All locations with rock checks and armour remained in good condition.

Silt fences were all in good condition with the exception of major damage to the silt fencing uphill of the rail line (western side) and to the south of the middle culvert (**Photo 11**). This damage was not present at ime of last inseption on 15/03/2023. Ramboll reccomends this silt fencing be replaced as soon as possible.

Minor marker layer exposure was noted in two places on the eastern side of the stockpile (**Photos 17-18**). Ramboll reccomends repairing these by patching with sand and cement mixture.

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sediment controls including stockpile management.

s	Control	Inspection	- Corrective Action			
		Yes No				
	Is Exclusion Zone signage present as recommended on Figures 2a - 2e Appendix 1 to demarcate contamination in the rail formation and adjacent soils?	Yes (Photos 8-9)				
	Is Exclusion Zone signage undamaged?	Yes, signage was in goo	d condition (Photos 8-9)			
5.1	Are sediment controls present in/adjacent each rail culvert?	Yes, rock checks and rock armour observed upgradient of each culvert, silt fencing west of former woodlawn siding and along cess drain feeding the south and middle culverts (Photos 10 12).				
	If sediment is present what is the estimated depth of sediment?	Minor sediment present (Photo 2) (<1mm), sed fencing (~1cm) (Photo	liment present on silt			
	Are sediment controls still functional?	Yes, with the exception south west of middle cu 'Other Observations' for	lvert (Photo 11). Refer to			
	Is the existing stockpile covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration?	Yes, the existing stockp				
	Are cracks present in the capping of the existing stockpile? If so record the width and length of cracks in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	15/03/23), these remain stable and are not expected to adversely affect cap competency current condition. Further details and size provided in Photo 14-15.				
	Are there signs of erosion or sediment run-off on or relating to the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.					
	Are there signs of vegetation on the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	Yes, minor weeds growi stockpile in multiple plac These are not expected infiltration.	. ,			
	Is geofabric marker layer visible benath capping of the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. If marker layer is visible rectification work is required.	locations on east of stockpile. Location and detailed in Photos 17-18 , refer to 'Other				
	Have any additional stockpiles of contaminated material been created?	No				
7.3	Are additional stockpiles placed away from drainage lines, gutters, stormwater pits or inlets?	n/a no additional stockp	viles			
	Are stockpiles covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration?	n/a no additional stockp	biles			
	Are stockpiles positioned on level surfaces with construction of bunds to control water ingress / egress.	n/a no additional stockp	viles			

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sediment controls including stockpile management.



Photo 1: Picture of railway station facing north. No airborne dust visible in air or on surfaces at station.



Photo 2: Minor sediment in pooled water between rail tracks. Not flowing off site and located prior to sediment controls such as rock checks and armoury. Not considered to be of concern.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	 Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 3: Downgradient of southern culvert showing very slow surface water run off from site. Water was clear with no turbidity and no visible sediment. Algal blooms seen in photo.



Photo 4: Culvert outlet under Golburn street, downgradient of southernmost culvert on site, showing surface water run off on site. Water clear with no visible evidence of sediment.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 5: Downgradient of middle culvert. Pooled water but no evidence of current surface water run off on site. No sediment in water, slightly opaque from algae but low turbidity.



Photo 6: Causeway downgradient of middle culvert showing no evidence of current surface water run off on site. No visible sediment on road or vegetation nearby.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	 Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 7: Downgradient of northernmost culvert facing private property, showing no evidence of surface water run off on site and no evidence of sediment from previous surface water on site.



Photo 8: Exclusion zone signing placed periodically along contamination areas.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved: SM	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 9: Additional exclusion zone signage placed periodically along contamination areas.



Photo 10: Upgradient of southernmost culvert showing rock armour within drainage line and silt fencing used as control measures for sediment control.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 11: Rock checks and silt fences running towards the middle culvert. Major damage to silt fencing on southern side. No evidence of sediment build-up in rock armoury.



Photo 12: Pooled water with no sediment and sediment control measures of rock armoury within drainage trench towards northernmost culvert.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	 Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 13: Sediment build up captured by silt fencing upgradient of middle culvert prior to drainage trench. Approximately 1cm build up.



Photo 14: Minor hairline cracking on stabilised sand applied to the stockpile for capping running left to right on photo. Approximately 1-2mm x 3m.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	 Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 15: Minor hairline cracking in stabilised sand applied to the stockpile for capping. Approximately 1-2mm x 2m in size. Stable and not expected to allow for water infiltration.



Photo 16: Minor vegetation (weeds) growing out of stockpile capping. No marker layer visible.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	 Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 17: Stockpile marker layer exposure nearby minor vegetation growing out of capping. Approximately 10cm x 5cm. Ramboll recommends patching using sand and cement mixture.



Photo 18: Stockpile marker layer exposure in capping (middle right in photo). Approximately 2cm x 3cm. Ramboll recommends patching using sand and cement mixture.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 19: UGL on site. Removed damaged redundant silt fencing adjacent to the boundary of the Station Masters Cottage as per recommendations from Inspection Checklist dated 15-Mar-



Photo 20: UGL on site. Placed geofabric filter over drain inlet between the main and loop lines as per recommendations from Inspection Checklist dated 15-Mar-23.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: 30 March 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		