| Ta | rago Action Plan Routine | e Inspec | tion C | hecklist | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|-------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | UGL RL Envir | onmental I | Representative | | | | |
| Date: | 17/01/2023 | completing ir | | · | | | | |
| Start tin | ne: 07:00 | | | | | | | |
| inish ti | me: 08:07 | | | | | | | |
| Weathe | Cloudy / sunny | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Во | | | | |
| Date an | d volume of maximum rainfall in a 24hr per | iod since last | inspection? | | | | | |
| Date: | 15/01/2023 | | | | | | | |
| Max vol | ume (mm) in 24hr period: 17mm | | | | | | | |
| Genera | Site Observations | | | | | | | |
| | ne dust from site evident? | | | No | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Is sedim | ent run-off evident that is not captured by | sediment cont | rols? | No | | | | |
| Is surfa | ce water discharging from site? | | | No | | | | |
| Is there evidence of excavation or other works non-compliant with the Actior No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Oble 5 12 5 1 | oservations? | | | | | | | |
| Cracks observed in the stockpile during an inspection $13/1/2023$ remain stable and do not appear to have developed further in length or width. | | | | | | | | |
| Sedimer | nt movement and depth appears stable with | no significant | increases | across the site. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sediment controls including stockpile management.

| Plan Ref | Control | Inspection | | Corrective |
|-------------|--|--|---|------------|
| | | Yes | No | Action |
| 5.1 | Is Exclusion Zone signage present as recommended on Figures 2a - 2e Appendix 1 to demarcate contamination in the rail formation and adjacent soils? | Yes | | |
| | Is Exclusion Zone signage undamaged? | Yes | | |
| | Are sediment controls present in/adjacent each rail culvert? | Yes | | |
| | If sediment is present what is the estimated depth of sediment? | 5-10mm | | |
| | Are sediment controls still functional? | Yes | | |
| | Is the existing stockpile covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration? | Yes | | |
| | Are cracks present in the capping of the existing stockpile? If so record the width and length of cracks in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. | during an ir stable and developed f | the stockpile /01/2023 remain or to have gth or width, h of the stockpile | |
| | Are there signs of erosion or sediment run- off on or relating to the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. | No | | |
| | Are there signs of vegetation on the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. | No | | |
| | Is geofabric marker layer visible benath capping of the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. If marker layer is visible rectification work is required. | No | | |
| 7.3 | Have any additional stockpiles of contaminated material been created? | No | | |
| | Are additional stockpiles placed away from drainage lines, gutters, stormwater pits or inlets? | N/A | | |
| | Are stockpiles covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration? | N/A | | |
| | Are stockpiles positioned on level surfaces with construction of bunds to control water ingress / egress. | N/A | | |

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sediment controls including stockpile management.