Date:	16-Aug-23	UGL RL Envi	ronmental	Representative		
Start tir	me: 10:00 AM	completing i	nspection ¹ :			
Finish ti	me: 11:00 AM					
Weather:	8°C max temp, -0.9°C min temp, 0mr 13km/h max gust, NNE 9km/h wind s			BoN		
Date and	Date and volume of maximum rainfall in a 24hr period since last inspection?					
Date:		29-Jun-23				
Max volu	me (mm) in 24hr period:	7.2mm				
General	Site Observations					
Is airborn	e dust from site evident?					
No airbor	ne dust was visible (Photos 1 - 2)					
<u>Is sedime</u>	ent run-off evident that is not captured	by sediment	<u>controls?</u>			
	nal evidence of sediment was observed	•		÷		
	llvert (Photo 4), however there was no					
	inspections (Photos 5 - 6). No evidence		t run-off pa	ist northern, middle		
and southernmost rail culverts (Photos 3, 7, 8, 13, 16).						
	e water discharging from site?	e from the sc	uthern culy	ert through a thick		
Is surface	Yes. Surface water was discharging at a slow rate from the southern culvert through a thick					
<u>Is surface</u> Yes. Surfa			on site and	l immediately		
<u>Is surface</u> Yes. Surfa layer of g	rass (Photo 3). A large pool of water v	vas observed				
<u>Is surface</u> Yes. Surfa layer of g downstre	rass (Photo 3). A large pool of water v am of middle culvert but not dischargin	vas observed Ig off site (Ph	otos 4 - 6			
<u>Is surface</u> Yes. Surfa layer of g downstre surface w	rass (Photo 3). A large pool of water v am of middle culvert but not dischargin ater discharging at the northern culver	vas observed 1g off site (Ph t (Photos 7 -	otos 4 - 6 - 8).). There was no		
<u>Is surface</u> Yes. Surfa layer of g downstrea surface w	rass (Photo 3). A large pool of water v am of middle culvert but not dischargin	vas observed 1g off site (Ph t (Photos 7 -	otos 4 - 6 - 8).). There was no		

Regarding the stockpile, the previous site inspection (19/07/23) idenitified four new locations where the marker layer was exposed, with Ramboll providing the recommendation for them to be repaired. On this inspection the four exposure locations appear to have been rectified as they have now been covered with concrete (**Photos 19 - 21**). These repairs satisfy the recommended actions previously provided and no further action is needed.

Evidence of erosion has been noted upgradient of the southern most culvert in multiple previous site inspections and remains on site but in a stable condition (**Photo 9**). No evidence of sediment was found in water flowing offsite at southern culvert. Ramboll recommends replacing ground cover material (ballast) if further erosion occurs or sediment is visible in the downstream surface water of the southern culvert.

Pooled water was observed more freugently onsite during this inspection comapred to the previous inspection (19/07/23). The majoirty of pooled water was located in the northern half of the site, and mostly parallel to the rail tracks (**Photo 22-24**). The pooled water appeared clear and there was no evidence of run-off from site. No action is required.

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sedimentions including stockpile management.

Section	Control	Inspectio	on	Corrective Action	
Section	Control	Yes	No	Corrective Action	
	Is Exclusion Zone signage present as recommended on Figures 2a - 2e Appendix 1 to demarcate contamination in the rail formation and adjacent soils?	Yes (Photo	os 17 - 18)		
	Is Exclusion Zone signage undamaged?	one damag This has sir other action condition (I	ed sign locate nce been repai n is needed. A Photo 17).	ion (19/27/23) idenitified d near the main stockpile. ired (Photo 18) and no Il other signs were in good	
	Are sediment controls present in/adjacent each rail culvert?	Yes, rock checks and rock armour observed upgradient of each culvert. Coir sediment control logs west of former Woodlawn siding and along cess drain feeding the south and middle culverts (Photos 10 - 16). Silt fencing between middle and northern culvert.			
	If sediment is present what is the estimated depth of sediment?	downgradie	ent of middle o	t in pooled water on site culvert (Photo 4). fencing and rock checks.	
	Are sediment controls still functional?	Yes.			
5.1	Is the existing stockpile covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration?	Yes			
	Are cracks present in the capping of the existing stockpile? If so record the width and length of cracks in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	stockpile (0 15/03/23),	bserved durin these remain adversely aff	ing is present on the og an inspection stable and are not fect cap competency in	
	Are there signs of erosion or sediment run-off on or relating to the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	No. No eros was observ	•	iment from the stockpile	
	Are there signs of vegetation on the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.			wing out of stockpile had getation maintenance.	
	Is geofabric marker layer visible beneath capping of the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. If marker layer is visible rectification work is required.	No. Refer to 'Other Observations' section for comments on recent repairs.			
	Have any additional stockpiles of contaminated material been created?	No			
7.3	Are additional stockpiles placed away from drainage lines, gutters, stormwater pits or inlets?	n/a no add	itional stockpil	es	
	Are stockpiles covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration? Are stockpiles positioned on level curfaces with construction of bunds to		itional stockpil		
	surfaces with construction of bunds to control water ingress / earess.	nya no auu	itional stockpil	C3	

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sedimentions including stockpile management.



Photo 1: Picture at north-east end of railway station facing south-west. No airborne dust visible.



Photo 2: Picture at south-western end of railway station facing north-east. No airborne dust visible.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 3: Southern most culvert downgradient of rail line, with slow moving surface water travelling off-site. Water is clear, low turbidity, minimal observable sediment, long grass and some algae present.



Photo 4: Downgradient of middle culvert showing mostly clear water on site. Majority of sediment has settled to the bottom of the water body. No surface water running off site at middle culvert.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 5: Downgradient of middle culvert. Dry beyond the pooled water and no evidence of current surface water run off on site.



Photo 6: Causeway downgradient of middle culvert showing no evidence of current surface water moving off site. No visible sediment on road or vegetation nearby from sediment water was running off site in between inspections.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 7: Downgradient of northernmost culvert showing evidence of no surface water runoff from site to neighbouring property.



Photo 8: Upgradient of northernmost culvert showing evidence of no surface water surrounding.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 9: Visible evidence of erosion due to loss of ground cover (ballast material) upgradient of southernmost culvert. Remaining stable and no major further erosion since last inspection. Facing north-east.



Photo 10: Upgradient of southernmost culvert showing previous silt fencing replaced by Coir sediment control logs, used as control measures for sediment control. Facing north-east.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 11: Rock checks running along former Woodlawn siding and between southern and middle culverts. Silt fencing replaced by Coir sediment control logs.



Photo 12: Rock checks running alongside former Woodlawn siding towards the middle culvert. Previous silt fencing with major damage replaced by Coir sediment control logs as recommended in previous inspections. No evidence of sediment build-up in rock armoury.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 13: Middle culvert showing no flowing or pooled water. No evidence of sediment buildup in culvert.



Photo 14: Upgradient of middle trainline culvert facing east. Silt fencing is clear with no sediment visible.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 15: Rock armour upgradient of trainline running from the middle to the north culvert. Some sediment visible on rock armour. Pooled water in rock armoury visible. Water is clear and not running off-site. Facing north-east.



Photo 16: Upgradient of northernmost culvert. No evidence of surface water run off on site. No evidence of sediment buildup on rocks. Rock armor and natural vegetation allow for sediment control in surface water.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 17: Exclusion zone signing placed periodically along contamination areas. Undamaged and in good condition. Facing North-East



Photo 18: Repaired exclusion zone signage in good condition. This signage was reported as damaged on the last inspection visit (19/07/2023).

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 19: Exposed geofabric layer marker on main stockpile which was identified during the previous inspection (19/07/23), that has since been filled in with concrete.



Photo 20. Exposed geofabric layer marker on main stockpile which was identified during the previous inspection (19/07/23), that has since been filled in with concrete.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 21. Two exposed geofabric layer markers on the main stockpile which were identified during the previous inspection (19/07/23), that have since been filled in with concrete.



Photo 22. Pooled water along the north-western most railway tracks on the northern half of the site. Water is clear and not flowing. Facing North-East.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 23. Pooled water between the railway tracks on the northern half of the site. Water is clear and not flowing. Facing North-East.



Photo 24. Pooled water between the railway tracks on the northern half of the site. Water is clear and not flowing. Facing South.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	Date: August 2023
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			