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## Definitions

| Term | Interpretation / Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Design Element | A specific asset class type forms a part of the project and requires design <br> work to complete. |
| Concept Design | The current design stage of the project will build upon the strategic design <br> (by others). |
| The Project | The project refers to the Concept Design, REF, Detailed Design, Final <br> Business Case and Economic Appraisal for the Harrington Road <br> Interchange Project (Transport Contract No.19.0000302650.0560-0042, <br> AECOM project number: 60684355) |
| Project Specification | The Professional Services for Concept (\& provisional Detailed) Design <br> Scope and Requirements documents. |
| Project Team | Representatives from AECOM and all sub-consultants involved in the <br> delivery of the project. <br> From time to time, the Project Team may include Transport personnel as <br> deemed appropriate to be involved by the Transport Representative. |
| The Plan | This document incorporates the design development plan and the project <br> quality plan. |
| Hold Point | A point in which no work can proceed beyond without the written approval <br> from the Transport Representative. |
| Witness Point | A point in which works are selected to be reviewed or visually inspected <br> by the Transport representative. |
| Non-Conformance | An error or omission in approved or released deliverables or packages of <br> works to the Client that are non-conforming to client expectation and/or <br> contracts specification, AECOM quality management system <br> requirements. |

### 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Context and background

The Pacific Highway (A1/M1) is a 960-kilometre-long route along the central east coast of New South Wales between the Warringah Freeway in North Sydney and the Queensland state border. It is the primary north-south transport corridor that connects two major Australian cities Sydney and Brisbane. The Pacific Highway forms the East Coast National Land Transport Network (NLTN) road transport link and is a nationally significant infrastructure link. Along the corridor, the Pacific Highway provides a connection to several major regional cities including Newcastle, Port Macquarie, Coffs Harbour, Tweed Heads and many rapidly growing coastal communities.

Over a period of 30 years, the Australian and New South Wales governments have progressively completed duplication of the Pacific Highway between Hexham and the Queensland border. Following the completion of the highway's duplication in 2020, for various reasons and particularly in the southern end of the corridor, some intersections remain at grade.
In 1997, the Coopernook Bypass project planned for approximately 4.5 km of dual carriageway highway bypassing the village of Coopernook with a new bridge over the Lansdowne River. The project considered the option for grade separation of the then-proposed Pacific Highway intersection with Harrington Road. However, the assessment concluded that although grade separation would provide increased safety benefits, an at-grade solution was sufficient for the 2026 design year.

In 2002, the Pacific Highway Coopernook Bypass project commenced construction of the highway Deviation. The scope of works included the implementation of the current at-grade "staggered-T" intersection arrangement, as shown in Figure 1. In 2004, the project was delayed for the treatment and settlement of soft soils. Later, in 2005 the project scope was amended to address the need for a future grade separate interchange. As such, a strategic design was prepared, and initial pre-loading was undertaken to accommodate the approaches to a grade-separated overpass. The second stage of preloading was placed in 2012.


Figure 1: Pacific Highway (A1) intersection with Harrington and Coopernook Road - Current at-grade 'Staggered-T' intersection arrangement (Nearmap, 2012)

In 2016, Transport for NSW (Transport) Regional Planning prepared the draft Pacific Highway Post Duplication Strategy. The strategy includes a thorough investigation of the highway's current performance and future challenges to meet the agreed corridor vision. A key issue identified within this document was for safety at the remaining at-grade intersections along the length of the highway.
Development has since continued along the coast, with the Pacific Highway remaining the primary access for inter-state and inter-regional traffic for Harrington and Coopernook. Therefore, with
increased traffic volumes it's proving more difficult for traffic to enter and exit the highway at this particular location.

The intersections of Harrington and Coopernook Road with the Pacific Highway provide a local connection between the communities of Harrington and Coopernook. Harrington is a coastal centre and popular tourist destination located 15 km north-east of Taree at the northern entrance of the Manning River. Coopernook is a small village township located 17 km north of Taree and 9 km west of Harrington. The two intersections currently operate as staggered at-grade intersections, separated by the highway. Consequently, a contributing factor for the site's high-severity crash history has been attributed to the need for local traffic to complete a weaving manoeuvre across the high-speed high-volume Pacific Highway. There have been ten crashes at this intersection between October 2016 and August 2022, including one fatality recorded in 2021.

Transport is now progressing in planning for the upgrade of the Harrington and Coopernook Road intersections with the Pacific Highway. The introduction of the grade-separated crossing is a critical element to enable a step-change improvement in safety at the interchange and enhanced connectivity for the townships of Harrington and Coopernook. Respectively, there is strong community advocacy for the proposed grade-separated upgrade and a recently announced Federal Government funding commitment of $\$ 48 \mathrm{M}$ towards the project, with a further $\$ 12 \mathrm{M}$ commitment by the State.
AECOM has been engaged by Transport to complete the Concept Design, REF, Detailed Design, Final Business Case and Economic Appraisal for the Harrington Road Interchange Project. This project involves developing the design for the grade-separated interchange at the junction between the Pacific Highway and roads connecting Harrington and Coopernook. The solution will seek to provide a safe, constructible design that addresses the safety issues inherent in the existing layout, whilst minimising environmental impacts and improving the lives of the local community.

### 1.2 Project objectives

The future transport outcomes and strategic directions for the project were developed through an Investment Logic Mapping exercise. The outcomes from this exercise include:

- Connecting our customers' whole lives
- Our transport networks are safe
- Successful places for communities
- Transport infrastructure makes a tangible improvement to places
- Enabling economic activity
- The transport network enables strong, sustainable economies in NSW.


### 1.3 Scope of work

The scope of traffic modelling works to be undertaken by AECOM includes:

- Traffic assessment methodology, project familiarisation and data gap analysis. Technical Report 1 has been prepared to document the traffic assessment methodology to be employed for the project. This note also includes project familiarisation information and traffic data to be used for model calibration and validation purposes.
- Base model development and calibration. The base model will reflect the 2022 typical weekday morning and afternoon peak traffic conditions. The existing base model will be calibrated and validated using traffic data provided by Transport. Technical Report 2 Base Model Development Report (BDMR) was prepared in accordance with RMS Traffic Modelling Guidelines (RMS 2013) and Technical Direction Traffic Management TTD 2017/001 Operational Modelling Report Structure. Vehicle parameters were sourced from MRWA 2021 Operational Modelling Guidelines as Transport does not have detailed parameters for each vehicle type.
- Prepare Traffic and Transport Assessment Report. Technical Report 3 (This Report), suitable to inform the REF has been prepared to support the business case for the project. The report
includes crash data analysis and future demands estimation for use in the opening year (2028), the intermediate year 2038 (ten years after opening) and design year 2048 (20 years after opening) modelling. Future traffic growth was based on an analysis of historical traffic growth, strategic model outputs and future development plans within the vicinity of the project area. Future Base Case ('do nothing') and Project Case options were developed for the 2028 opening year, the intermediate year 2038 (ten years after opening) and the design year 2048 (20 years after opening) in Aimsun. The modelling outputs will feed into the business case economic analysis. Traffic volumes for noise and pavement design will also be provided to other disciplines.


### 1.4 Purpose of this report

This report should be read in conjunction with the following documents:

- Technical Report 1 - Traffic Assessment Methodology Report - HW10 Pacific Highway / Harrington Road Interchange Upgrade (Issued to Transport 27 July 2022).
- Technical Report 2 - Base Model Development Report - HW10 Pacific Highway / Harrington Road Interchange Upgrade (Issued to Transport 29 August 2022).
The purpose of this Traffic and Transport Assessment Report is to document the modelling results to inform the Pacific Highway / Harrington Road Interchange Upgrade Project Concept Design, REF, Detailed Design, Final Business Case and Economic Appraisal. This Technical Report 3 is intended to:
- Analyse crash data for the study area
- Identify sources of traffic growth inputs
- Document the proposed methodology and assumptions in estimating future traffic demand for the study area.
- Document the proposed future year scenario and assumptions.
- Provide future traffic performance for both Base Case and Project Case.
- Provide modelling outputs for input to the business case economic analysis.

The future year scenarios were assessed for the:

- Pacific Highway AM peak period (two hours) between 10:00AM and 12:00PM; and
- PM peak period (two hours) between 2:15PM and 4:15PM.

In line with the project brief, three future years models will be developed including:

- Year 2028 is assumed to be the opening year of the proposed upgrade
- Year 2038, ten years after opening; and
- Year 2048, 20 years after opening.


### 1.5 Report outline

The report outline is as follows:

- Chapter 2.0 Crash data analysis - details the number and types of crashes within the study area for the last five years
- Chapter 3.0 Future demand growth assumptions - outlines the source and assumptions used to grow the traffic volume for the future years
- Chapter 4.0 Future demand development - presents how the 2022 calibrated demands were estimated for future years 2028, 2038 and 2048
- Chapter 5.0 Future year Aimsun scenario definition - describes the future Aimsun scenarios for the Base and Project Case
- Chapter 6.0 Aimsun modelling outputs - presents the network performance comparison and economic analysis inputs
- Chapter 7.0 Summary and conclusions - presents key findings and conclusions.


### 2.0 Crash data analysis

A review of crash data within the study area indicates ten reported crashes between 1 October 2016 and 26 August 2022, as shown in Figure 2. These include one fatal crash, six injury crashes, two noncasualty crashes and one minor/other crash. The crashes are classified as shown in Table 1 below. A fatal crash occurred within around five years in the project extent at the Harrington Road / Pacific Highway. The crash RUM code was 13 (right near crashes) meaning this occurred from a right-turn vehicle being hit on the driver's side of the vehicle. The crash report detailed that the vehicle was turning right from Harrington Road and was hit by another vehicle going southbound on the Pacific Highway. The crash occurred on a Monday at 1 pm on an overcast, dry day. One person was killed in this fatal accident and three were not injured.


Figure 2: Crash severities across the study area (2016-2022)

Table 1: Reported crashes by severity - Harrington Road Study Area (1 October 2016 to 26 August 2022)

| Metric | Crash severity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatal | Serious <br> Injury | Moderate <br> Injury | Minor / <br> Other Injury | No <br> Casualty | Total |
| Number of Crashes | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| Percentage of Crashes | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Over the five years, five out of the ten crashes (50\%) were vehicles involving crossing traffic (RUM code 13 or 10) as shown in Figure 3. Of this RUM code right near were the most common crash type (3 out of ten crashes, $30 \%$ ). The high number of right near and cross traffic crashes in the study area indicates that there is difficulty finding a safe gap in the main traffic (Pacific Highway) to cross from the minor road resulting in risk-taking which leads to these kinds of crashes. For more details on the study area crashes, refer to Appendix A - Crash Analysis Data.


Figure 3: Crashes by DCA code group and severity

### 3.0 Future demand growth assumptions

### 3.1 Pacific Highway traffic growth

### 3.1.1 Historical traffic growth

The closest Transport permanent count location to the study area is located 220 m north of Jack Wards Road, Kiwarrak (Station ID: 6120-PR) on the Pacific Highway. The site is located around 21 kilometres south of the study area as shown in Figure 4. The counts from this location were be used as a sensitivity check for traffic growth along the Pacific Highway. Table 2 lists the daily traffic volumes (in both directions) for the Pacific Highway (Site 6120-PR) between 2015 and 2022, as shown in Figure 5. A significant drop in daily traffic volume can be observed in 2020 which may be the effect of COVID-19 as people travelled less. The average annual growth rate between 2015 and 2022 for this segment of the Pacific Highway is $2.6 \%$.


Figure 4: Permanent count location and study area

Table 2: Pacific Highway (Site 6120-PR) daily traffic volumes - both directions

| Year | Both Directions |  |  | Year on growth, \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light Vehicles | Heavy Vehicles | All Vehicles |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 16,040 | 3,342 | 19,347 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 16,651 | 3,562 | 20,189 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 16,923 | 3,720 | 20,614 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 17,523 | 4,067 | 21,565 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 2019 | 17,516 | 4,115 | 21,591 | $0.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 16,498 | 4,272 | 20,749 | $-3.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 16,399 | 4,590 | 20,965 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 18,385 | 4,757 | 23,116 | $10.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 0 1 5}$ growth, \% | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$ |
| Ave annual growth, \% | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ |

Source: Transport, Traffic Volume Viewer (accessed 24/10/2022)


Figure 5: Pacific Highway (Site 6120-PR) daily traffic volumes by vehicle type - both directions

### 3.1.2 Transport's regional models (strategic models)

Transport's Advanced Analytics and Insights (AAI) provided future growth rates derived from the Regional Traffic Model (RTM) and Regional Freight Model (RFM). The only available road in the study area from the regional models is the Pacific Highway as shown in Figure 6. Table 3 provides an indication of growth rates in light vehicles (from RTM) and heavy vehicles (from RFM) along the Pacific Highway within the study area. These rates were used to forecast the 2022 calibrated passenger and heavy vehicle traffic volumes using the Pacific Highway for the 2028, 2038 and 2048 future years using linear interpolation.


Figure 6: RFM and RTM model coverage in the study area (Source: Transport Region Models)
Table 3: Pacific Highway - Coopernook traffic growth (RTM and RFM models)

| Year | Annual Growth Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light Vehicles | Heavy Vehicles |
| $2016-2026$ | $1.17 \%$ | $1.53 \%$ |
| $2026-2036$ | $1.17 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ |
| $2036-2046$ | $0.50 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ |
| $2046-2056$ | $0.33 \%$ | $1.94 \%$ |

Source: Transport Region Models (RFM and RTM)
The historical growth rates in Table 2 show that over the past seven years growth on the Pacific Highway has been $2 \%$ for light vehicles cars and $5.2 \%$ pa for heavy vehicles while the projection in the RTM and RFM are lower, ranging from $0.33 \%$ to $1.17 \%$ pa for light vehicles and $1.25 \%$ to $1.94 \%$ pa for heavy vehicles depending on the forecast interval. This decline in the regional model annual growth rates is noted as a key assumption in the development of the Aimsun forecasts traffic demand estimation. The presence of a regional centre between the permanent count site and the study area as shown in Figure 4 points to higher growth at the count site and therefore may not be representative of the highway growth at the study area.

### 3.2 Future land-use

The MidCoast Regional Strategy 2006-36 prepared by the New South Wales (NSW) government sets out the known likely extent of urban development of each settlement to provide certainty for landowners and developers over what land can be considered for rezoning for urban purposes and the priority and timeframes for this land to be rezoned. The primary purpose of the Regional Strategy is to ensure that adequate land is available and appropriately located to accommodate the projected housing and employment of the projected housing and employment needs for the Region's population over the next 25 years. The Strategy sets the policy to govern where and how growth can occur. The Regional Strategy represents an agreed NSW government position on the future of the MidCoast. It is the preeminent planning document for the MidCoast and complements and informs other relevant State planning instruments. The MidCoast Council provided an estimate of future dwellings in Coopernook and Harrington in Table 4. The timing for the development becoming online was not specified in the strategy. The following assumptions were made regarding when the future dwellings were to be online:

- The Manor Road (aged care facility) will be fully developed between 2028 and 2038
- $50 \%$ of all other developments (for both Harrington and Coopernook) will be developed between 2028 and 2038
- The remaining developments will be fully developed by 2048.

Table 4: Estimated future dwellings in Harrington and Coopernook

| Locality | Location | Zone | Estimated dwellings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Harrington | Manor Road - Aged care facility | Large Lot Residential | 293 |
|  | Harrington Waters (vacant lot) | General Residential | 317 |
|  | Harrington Blue Waters Estate <br> (constrained) | General Residential | 25 |
|  | Urban release area - to be rezoned | Primary Production | 29 |
|  | Total |  | $\mathbf{6 6 4}$ |
|  | Urban release area | General Residential | 101 |
|  | Rural residential zoned land | General Residential | 80 |
|  | Total | General Residential | 80 |

## Source: MidCoast Council

To determine the weekday peak hour trips generated by the future developments in Table 4, the following rates were sourced from RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RTA October 2002) and are listed in Table 5. The resulting total peak hour trips (listed in Table 6) are assumed to be light vehicles (LV) and were distributed to the Pacific Highway-AM (PH-AM) and PM peaks (at 15-minute intervals) according to the timing assumptions listed above. It is assumed that the development trips attracted and generated on the Coopernook Road and Harrington Road zones of the Aimsun model.
Table 5: Peak hour traffic generation rates by development type

| Type of Development | Weekday peak hour vehicle trips per dwelling, trips/hr |
| :--- | :---: |
| Large Lot Residential | 0.2 |
| General Residential | 0.85 |
| Primary Production | 0.65 |

Source: RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RTA October 2002)

Table 6: Estimated future trip generations for Coopernook and Harrington from future dwellings

| Locality | Location | Zone | Estimated dwellings | Weekday peak hour vehicle trips per dwelling, trips/hr | Peak hour trip generation, veh/hr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harrington | Manor Road - Aged care facility | Large Lot Residential | 293 | 0.2 | 59 |
|  | Harrington Waters (vacant lot) | General Residential | 317 | 0.85 | 269 |
|  | Harrington Blue Waters Estate (constrained) | General Residential | 25 | 0.85 | 21 |
|  | Urban release area - to be rezoned | Primary Production | 29 | 0.65 | 19 |
|  | Total |  | 664 | N/A | 368 |
| Coopernook | Urban release area | General Residential | 101 | 0.85 | 86 |
|  | Vacant residential zoned land | General Residential | 80 | 0.85 | 68 |
|  | Rural residential zoned land | General Residential | 80 | 0.85 | 68 |
|  | Total |  | 261 | N/A | 222 |

### 3.3 Background traffic growth - minor roads

Table 7 list the background traffic growth assumptions for the minor roads (excluding Pacific Highway) within the study area and is illustrated in Figure 7. It should be noted that the background traffic growth does not include the development traffic described in section 3.2. The trips from the development traffic are added as per the schedule described in the same section. The background traffic growth represents the increase in the amount of traffic that will be on the roadway network without any proposed development. It is assumed that the background traffic growth for LV on the minor roads for 2022 to 2028 is $2 \%$, for medium vehicles (MV) it is 1\% and no growth for heavy vehicles (HV). For 2028 to 2038 and 2038 to 2048, the growth for LV and MV is assumed to be $1 \%$ and $0 \%$ for HV. To avoid double counting of traffic growth, the growth in background traffic in 2028 to 2038 and 2038 to 2048 is reduced to $1 \%$ because the trips from future developments are assumed to enter during this period.

Table 7: Background traffic growth rates(pa) for minor roads in study area by vehicle type

| Aimsun zone (minor <br> roads) | 2022 2028 |  |  | 20282038 |  |  | 20382048 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LV | MV | HV | LV | MV | HV | LV | MV | HV |
| Harrington Road | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Coopernook Road | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| George Gibson Drive | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Springhill Road | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |



Figure 7: Background traffic growth for minor roads in study area by vehicle type (excluding future developments)

### 4.0 Future demand development

### 4.12022 calibrated Aimsun demands

2022 calibrated Aimsun demand matrices were developed as part of the base year model development. Demand matrices were calibrated using classified turn counts within the project area. Demands were segmented into three vehicle types: cars, medium commercial and heavy commercial vehicles and profiled into 15-minute intervals for the Local Road-AM (LR-AM, 7:30-9:30am), Pacific Highway-AM (PH-AM, 10:00am-12:00pm) and PM (2:15-4:15pm) peak periods. The 2022 demands form the basis for estimating future traffic demands for traffic modelling. For the future year demands and scenarios, the PH-AM was progressed because of higher overall traffic within the study area (especially the Pacific Highway) along with the PM peak period.

### 4.2 Future traffic demand estimation

Using the future growth demand sources and assumptions in section 3.0, future year demands in 2028, 2038 and 2048 were estimated and are summarised in Table 8.

Table 8 Summary of future demand growth rates (pa) for the study area

| Aimsun zone | 2022 2028 |  |  | 20282038 |  |  | 20382048 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LV | MV | HV | LV | MV | HV | LV | MV | HV |
| Harrington Road | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{c}1 \%+ \\ 50 \% \text { Devt }\end{array}$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{c}1 \%+ \\ 50 \% \text { Devt }\end{array}$ | $1.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

Using the below rates, resulting demands for future years 2028, 2038 and 2048 (including calibrated 2022) are compared for the PH-AM and PM peaks in Figure 8 and Figure 9 respectively. The PH-AM peak demand is anticipated to increase to 4,981 vehicles (from 3,470 in 2022) in 2048 (an increase of around $44 \%$ ). In the PM peak, it increases to 5,067 vehicles (from 3,397 in 2022) in 2048 (an increase of around $49 \%$ ). Table 9 also shows the average annual growth rate for the Project Case and PM peaks.


Figure 8: 2-hour PH-AM peak Aimsun demand comparison


Figure 9: 2-hour PM peak Aimsun demand comparison
Table 9: Study area demand average annual growth rate

| Year | PH AM peak |  | PM peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Demand | Ave annual growth <br> (from 2022),\% | Total Demand | Ave annual growth <br> (from 2022), \% |
| 2022 | 3,470 |  | 3,397 |  |
| 2028 | 3,758 | $1.4 \%$ | 3,680 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2038 | 4,473 | $1.8 \%$ | 4,465 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2048 | 4,981 | $1.7 \%$ | 5,067 | $1.9 \%$ |

### 5.0 Future year Aimsun scenario definition

The following future Aimsun scenarios for 2028, 2038 and 2048 for the study area were developed using the 2022 base year Aimsun model network as a starting point.

- Base Case - reflects the existing network layout
- Project Case - grade-separated interchange with roundabout intersections on either side of the Pacific Highway. The intersections of Harrington Road and Coopernook Road with Pacific Highway are reconfigured as left-in left-out (LILO).

The future scenarios were used to estimate traffic benefits associated with the proposed interchange upgrade, which will feed into the BC economics analysis. Future demands for both Base and Project Case are assumed the same for the future years 2028, 2038 and 2048.

### 5.1 Future Base Case

The two intersections currently operate as staggered at-grade intersections, separated by the highway as shown in Figure 10 with current safety issues retained. The future years 2028, 2038 and 2048 demands were used to predict the performance of the interchange if it were not upgraded.


Figure 10: Future Base Case configuration (as current layout)

### 5.2 Project Case

The Project Case features the following to address safety and operational concerns for the interchange (shown in Figure 11):

- Grade-separation across the Pacific Highway
- Roundabout intersections on either side of the Pacific Highway to connect Harrington and Coopernook Roads
- The intersections of Harrington Road and Coopernook Road with Pacific Highway are reconfigured as left-in left-out (LILO).

The future years 2028, 2038 and 2048 demands were used to predict the performance and potential benefits of the proposed interchange upgrade.


Figure 11: Project Case configuration (concept design)

### 6.0 Aimsun modelling outputs

Performance of the future Base and Project Case for the future years 2028, 2038 and 2048 Aimsun models have been analysed in relation to:

- Overall network performance metrics, including average delay, average density, average speed, vehicle hours travelled (VHT), vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) and total vehicles.
- Traffic volumes on key locations comparison.
- Average speeds on key routes comparison.
- Economic analysis inputs from overall network performance metrics segmented into vehicle type and peak periods.

It should be noted the Aimsun modelling results were the average of the five seed model runs from the RMS Modelling Guide.

### 6.1 Network performance comparison

Table 10 and Table 11 shows the network performance comparison between the Base and Project Case for the PH-AM and PM peaks respectively across the future years 2028, 2038 and 2048. The following key trends in overall network performance can be observed:

## PH-AM peak

- Project Case average vehicle delays are lower compared with the Base Case across years
- Average vehicle densities for both scenarios are similar
- Project Case average vehicle speeds are higher compared with the Base Case across years
- Vehicle hours travelled for both scenarios are similar
- Vehicle kilometres travelled for the Project Case has slightly higher than the Base Case because of re-routing of some local traffic through the upgraded interchange
- Total vehicles (demands) for both scenarios are similar and no vehicles waiting to enter, across all years.
Table 10: Network performance comparison - PH-AM peak

| Network Performance Measure | 2028 |  | 2038 |  | 2048 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Vehicle Delay - ALL, sec/km | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| Vehicle Density - ALL, veh/km | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Vehicle Speed - ALL, km/h | 93.7 | 94.3 | 92.3 | 93.1 | 91.2 | 92.2 |
| Vehicle Hours Travelled - ALL, hrs | 170.8 | 171.5 | 204.8 | 205.2 | 228.6 | 228.6 |
| Vehicle Kilometres Travelled - ALL, km | 15,968 | 16,096 | 18,868 | 19,027 | 20,800 | 20,992 |
| Vehicles Outside - All | 3,725 | 3,727 | 4,443 | 4,442 | 4,937 | 4,935 |
| Vehicles Waiting to Enter - All | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## PM peak

- Project Case average vehicle delays are lower compared with the Base Case across years
- Average vehicle densities for both scenarios are similar
- Project Case average vehicle speeds are higher compared with the Base Case across years
- Vehicle hours travelled for Project Case were slightly higher compared with Base Case across years
- Vehicle kilometres travelled for the Project Case were slightly higher than the Base Case because of re-routing of some local traffic through the upgraded interchange
- Total vehicles (demands) for both scenarios are similar and no vehicles waiting to enter across years all years.
Table 11: Network performance comparison - PM peak

| Network Performance Measure | 2028 |  | 2038 |  | 2048 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Vehicle Delay - ALL, sec/km | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 |
| Vehicle Density - ALL, veh/km | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Vehicle Speed - ALL, km/h | 92.5 | 92.9 | 90.9 | 91.3 | 89.4 | 89.9 |
| Vehicle Hours Travelled - ALL, hrs | 167.4 | 169.0 | 204.8 | 206.9 | 234.5 | 236.7 |
| Vehicle Kilometres Travelled - ALL, km | 15,473 | 15,632 | 18,585 | 18,776 | 20,947 | 21,180 |
| Vehicles Outside - All | 3,675 | 3,675 | 4,460 | 4,456 | 5,083 | 5,083 |
| Vehicles Waiting to Enter - All | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

For more details on network performance, refer to Appendix C - Network Performance Measures (Base and Project).

### 6.2 Traffic volume comparison

A comparison of traffic volume between the Base and Project Case for the locations shown in Figure 12 are listed in Table 12. Given that the same demand matrices were used for both Base and Project Case for the future years, traffic volumes on common sections ( $\mathrm{PH} 1, \mathrm{PH} 3, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) shaded green (in Table 12) have similar volumes for corresponding years and time periods. For location PH 2 , traffic volumes for the Project Case are lower for the northbound direction compared with the Base because traffic is diverted to the Link Road. For location PH2 southbound, traffic volumes for the Base and Project Case across years and time periods are generally similar. For the Link Road (LR), eastbound traffic volumes (toward Harrington) are significantly higher compared with westbound traffic (toward Coopernook). For more details on link traffic volumes, refer to Appendix E - Volume Plots (Base and Project).

It should also be noted that delays across the Base and Project Case across future years and time periods are not excessive resulting in traffic volumes not being constrained (similar volumes). For more details on link traffic volumes, refer to Appendix G - Delay Plots (Base and Project).


Figure 12: Traffic volume comparisons at key locations in the study area

Table 12:Traffic volume comparison at key locations (veh/hr) - 2028, 2038 and 2048

| Location | Direction | PH AM 10001100 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1100 \\ 1200 \end{gathered}$ |  | PM 14151515 |  | PM 15151615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| 2028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH1 | Northbound | 764 | 765 | 733 | 732 | 785 | 785 | 769 | 768 |
|  | Southbound | 735 | 735 | 749 | 749 | 585 | 585 | 638 | 638 |
| PH2 | Northbound | 866 | 765 | 844 | 731 | 908 | 786 | 934 | 769 |
|  | Southbound | 846 | 853 | 841 | 833 | 697 | 710 | 729 | 741 |
| PH3 | Northbound | 868 | 868 | 853 | 853 | 934 | 933 | 960 | 960 |
|  | Southbound | 852 | 852 | 836 | 833 | 708 | 707 | 741 | 740 |
| H1 | Eastbound | 167 | 169 | 175 | 174 | 192 | 191 | 229 | 230 |
|  | Westbound | 179 | 179 | 156 | 156 | 181 | 181 | 156 | 157 |
| H2 | Eastbound |  | 31 |  | 32 |  | 38 |  | 43 |
|  | Westbound |  | 149 |  | 117 |  | 162 |  | 146 |
| LR | Eastbound |  | 165 |  | 166 |  | 187 |  | 226 |
|  | Westbound |  | 59 |  | 62 |  | 53 |  | 50 |
| C1 | Eastbound | 51 | 51 | 44 | 43 | 51 | 51 | 56 | 56 |
|  | Westbound | 47 | 48 | 58 | 59 | 64 | 65 | 68 | 69 |
| C2 | Eastbound |  | 40 |  | 34 |  | 46 |  | 38 |
|  | Westbound |  | 144 |  | 155 |  | 193 |  | 229 |
| 2038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH1 | Northbound | 898 | 897 | 850 | 849 | 877 | 874 | 883 | 883 |
|  | Southbound | 804 | 805 | 846 | 846 | 691 | 691 | 737 | 737 |
| PH2 | Northbound | 1011 | 897 | 976 | 849 | 1100 | 874 | 1160 | 882 |
|  | Southbound | 1001 | 1002 | 1028 | 1026 | 815 | 814 | 829 | 821 |
| PH3 | Northbound | 998 | 998 | 958 | 958 | 1156 | 1156 | 1209 | 1209 |
|  | Southbound | 1002 | 1001 | 1027 | 1026 | 815 | 813 | 819 | 819 |
| H1 | Eastbound | 199 | 200 | 211 | 213 | 314 | 315 | 374 | 377 |
|  | Westbound | 285 | 285 | 269 | 269 | 213 | 213 | 191 | 191 |


| Location | Direction | PH AM 10001100 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1100 \\ 1200 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | PM 14151515 |  | PM 15151615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| H2 | Eastbound |  | 32 |  | 30 |  | 67 |  | 71 |
|  | Westbound |  | 231 |  | 212 |  | 186 |  | 157 |
| LR | Eastbound |  | 212 |  | 225 |  | 296 |  | 345 |
|  | Westbound |  | 99 |  | 99 |  | 74 |  | 74 |
| C1 | Eastbound | 88 | 88 | 91 | 91 | 66 | 66 | 65 | 65 |
|  | Westbound | 72 | 73 | 72 | 74 | 124 | 126 | 121 | 122 |
| C2 | Eastbound |  | 62 |  | 64 |  | 42 |  | 46 |
|  | Westbound |  | 161 |  | 174 |  | 324 |  | 372 |
| 2048 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH1 | Northbound | 977 | 975 | 910 | 908 | 967 | 965 | 963 | 959 |
|  | Southbound | 873 | 874 | 908 | 908 | 764 | 763 | 807 | 807 |
| PH2 | Northbound | 1106 | 976 | 1050 | 908 | 1257 | 966 | 1307 | 958 |
|  | Southbound | 1126 | 1147 | 1132 | 1142 | 899 | 870 | 922 | 893 |
| PH3 | Northbound | 1071 | 1071 | 1011 | 1011 | 1343 | 1343 | 1388 | 1388 |
|  | Southbound | 1149 | 1146 | 1144 | 1141 | 872 | 871 | 894 | 892 |
| H1 | Eastbound | 231 | 231 | 245 | 247 | 403 | 406 | 455 | 458 |
|  | Westbound | 359 | 360 | 324 | 323 | 253 | 253 | 228 | 228 |
| H2 | Eastbound |  | 36 |  | 35 |  | 87 |  | 83 |
|  | Westbound |  | 312 |  | 268 |  | 194 |  | 169 |
| LR | Eastbound |  | 268 |  | 271 |  | 370 |  | 423 |
|  | Westbound |  | 116 |  | 117 |  | 108 |  | 107 |
| C1 | Eastbound | 138 | 138 | 131 | 132 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 80 |
|  | Westbound | 76 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 191 | 192 | 190 | 192 |
| C2 | Eastbound |  | 81 |  | 77 |  | 52 |  | 56 |
|  | Westbound |  | 174 |  | 181 |  | 429 |  | 485 |

### 6.3 Key routes average speed comparison

Figure 13 and Table 13 to Table 15 compares the average vehicle speeds for key routes within the study area for the Base and Project Case across future years and time periods. The highlighted (in grey) rows are the routes significantly impacted by the upgrade. Average speed is compared rather than travel time because most route lengths are different between the Base and Project Cases. The following key trends in overall network performance can be observed from the tables:

## Route 1: Coopernook Road to Harrington Road

- Average speed increases in the Project Case compared with the Base Case across periods and years
- $\quad$ Speed increases between 1.9 and $3.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Eliminates the weaving movement and right turn crossing movement delay with the Pacific Highway southbound through traffic


## Route 3: Coopernook Road to Pacific Highway South

- Average speed increases in the Project Case compared with the Base Case across periods and years
- Speed increases between 6.5 and $10.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Eliminates the right turn crossing movement delay with the Pacific Highway northbound through traffic


## Route 4: Harrington Road to Coopernook Road

- Average speed is generally higher in the Project Case compared with the Base Case across periods and years
- Speed increases up to $2.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Eliminates the weaving movement and right turn crossing movement delay with the Pacific Highway northbound through traffic


## Route 5: Harrington Road to Pacific Highway North

- Average speed increases in the Project Case compared with the Base Case across periods and years
- Speed increases between 0.5 and $5.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Eliminates the right turn crossing movement delay with the Pacific Highway southbound through traffic


## Route 7: Pacific Highway North to Coopernook Road

- Average speed decreases in the Project Case compared with the Base Case across periods and years
- Speed decreases between 3.6 and $4.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Eliminates the right turn crossing movement delay with the Pacific Highway northbound through traffic but diversion length/time increases


## Route 11: Pacific Highway South to Harrington Road

- Average speed decreases in the Project Case compared with the Base Case across periods and years
- Speed decreases between 3.9 and $6.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Eliminates the right turn crossing movement delay with the Pacific Highway southbound through traffic but diversion length/time increases.


Figure 13: Six key routes average speed comparison

Table 13: Key routes average speed comparison (km/h) - 2028

| From | To | Route \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1000 \\ 1100 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1100 \\ 1200 \end{gathered}$ |  | PM 14151515 |  | PM 15151615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Road | Harrington Rd | 1 | 65.1 | 68.0 | 63.6 | 65.7 | 63.5 | 65.4 | 63.2 | 65.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 2 | 83.9 | 85.6 | 84.9 | 86.7 | 81.4 | 83.3 | 79.6 | 81.4 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 3 | 63.7 | 70.6 | 64.0 | 70.5 | 62.5 | 70.3 | 61.5 | 69.7 |
| Harrington Road | Coopernook Rd | 4 | 67.8 | 67.4 | 68.5 | 68.2 | 69.0 | 69.3 | 66.7 | 67.7 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 5 | 81.3 | 82.6 | 81.3 | 82.8 | 80.4 | 80.8 | 79.4 | 80.7 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 6 | 77.8 | 78.3 | 77.8 | 78.3 | 78.1 | 78.8 | 78.2 | 78.7 |
| Pacific Highway North | Coopernook Rd | 7 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | 79.9 | 75.1 | 79.7 | 75.2 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 8 | 84.7 | 84.0 | 85.0 | 84.5 | 85.1 | 84.4 | 85.3 | 84.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 9 | 97.2 | 98.9 | 97.6 | 99.3 | 97.9 | 99.6 | 97.5 | 99.1 |
| Pacific <br> Highway <br> South | Coopernook Rd | 10 | 71.1 | 68.5 | 70.0 | 67.1 | 68.8 | 66.4 | 69.6 | 67.0 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 11 | 74.6 | 68.8 | 74.5 | 68.9 | 74.3 | 68.4 | 74.2 | 68.4 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 12 | 97.1 | 98.2 | 97.4 | 98.5 | 96.6 | 97.8 | 96.6 | 97.8 |

Table 14: Key routes average speed comparison (km/h) - 2038

| From | To | Route \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1000 \\ 1100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1100 \\ 1200 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | PM 14151515 |  | PM 15151615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Road | Harrington Rd | 1 | 62.7 | 65.9 | 63.3 | 66.7 | 62.6 | 65.4 | 62.2 | 65.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 2 | 81.2 | 83.6 | 83.2 | 85.4 | 78.1 | 80.6 | 82.3 | 84.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 3 | 62.2 | 70.2 | 62.3 | 70.2 | 59.4 | 68.5 | 59.9 | 69.8 |
| Harrington Road | Coopernook Rd | 4 | 66.4 | 67.0 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 65.8 | 67.2 | 66.4 | 67.9 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 5 | 78.9 | 81.5 | 78.5 | 81.6 | 78.5 | 80.6 | 77.0 | 80.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 6 | 77.4 | 78.0 | 77.5 | 78.3 | 77.3 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 78.5 |
| Pacific <br> Highway North | Coopernook Rd | 7 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \end{array}$ | 81.5 | 76.9 | 80.2 | 76.6 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 8 | 85.1 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 83.4 | 84.7 | 83.7 | 84.4 | 83.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 9 | 97.1 | 98.9 | 97.4 | 99.2 | 97.6 | 99.4 | 96.9 | 98.7 |
| Pacific <br> Highway South | Coopernook Rd | 10 | 69.8 | 67.5 | 70.2 | 67.5 | 69.5 | 66.9 | 69.5 | 66.6 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 11 | 74.3 | 68.4 | 73.3 | 68.1 | 72.9 | 67.7 | 72.2 | 67.6 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 12 | 96.8 | 97.9 | 97.0 | 98.1 | 95.9 | 97.2 | 96.2 | 97.5 |

Table 15: Key routes average speed comparison (km/h) - 2048

| From | To | Route \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1000 \\ 1100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PH AM } 1100 \\ 1200 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | PM 14151515 |  | PM 15151615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Road | Harrington Rd | 1 | 62.2 | 66.1 | 62.1 | 65.4 | 61.3 | 64.9 | 61.7 | 65.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 2 | 82.2 | 84.4 | 81.8 | 83.5 | 79.2 | 81.9 | 79.4 | 82.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 3 | 60.3 | 69.1 | 61.6 | 70.0 | 57.9 | 68.5 | 58.9 | 69.2 |
| Harrington Road | Coopernook Rd | 4 | 66.5 | 67.3 | 65.8 | 66.7 | 65.1 | 67.5 | 65.6 | 67.8 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 5 | 77.8 | 81.1 | 77.7 | 81.6 | 75.9 | 79.8 | 75.1 | 80.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 6 | 77.0 | 77.7 | 77.0 | 77.7 | 77.6 | 78.2 | 76.8 | 77.5 |
| Pacific <br> Highway North | Coopernook Rd | 7 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 81.6 | 77.6 | 81.8 | 77.1 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 8 | 83.8 | 83.3 | 84.4 | 83.5 | 84.5 | 83.2 | 83.8 | 82.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 9 | 96.5 | 98.4 | 97.1 | 99.0 | 97.0 | 98.9 | 96.6 | 98.6 |
| Pacific <br> Highway <br> South | Coopernook Rd | 10 | 69.7 | 66.6 | 70.1 | 67.0 | 68.8 | 66.0 | 69.0 | 66.3 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 11 | 72.8 | 68.0 | 72.3 | 67.9 | 71.5 | 67.2 | 70.9 | 66.9 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 12 | 96.2 | 97.5 | 96.6 | 97.7 | 95.4 | 96.8 | 95.4 | 96.8 |

For more details on key routes travel times and speeds, refer to Appendix D - Key Routes Travel Time and Speed Comparison

### 6.4 Economic analysis inputs

To be able to quantify the benefits of the Pacific Highway / Harrington Road Interchange Upgrade, the traffic performance of the study area is measured to estimate project benefits.
Traffic performance in terms of traffic volume, average delay, average speed, VHT and VKT are presented in Table 16 across scenarios, periods and future years for each vehicle type.

The following key trends can be observed:

- Project Case volumes are similar to the corresponding Base Case for all future years across PH$A M$ and $P M$ peaks and vehicle types.
- Project Case average delays are generally lower compared with the corresponding Base Case for all future years across PH-AM and PM peaks and vehicle types.
- Project Case average speeds are generally higher compared with the corresponding Base Case for all future years across PH-AM and PM peaks and vehicle types.
- Project Case VHTs are generally higher compared with corresponding Base Case for LVs for all future years across PH-AM. Project Case VHT is generally lower for MVs and HVs.
- Project Case VKTs are generally higher compared with corresponding Base Case for all future years across PH-AM and PM peaks and vehicle types.
Overall, the Project Case provides improvements (compared with the Base) in terms of traffic performance. Additionally, the Project Case eliminates the weaving manoeuvre between Coopernook and Harrington Roads along with crossing traffic to and from the same roads. Further traffic performance (in addition to the above table) are plotted in Appendices E to G.

Table 16: Network traffic performance for economic analysis by vehicle type

| $$ | 을ㅎ000 |  | PH AM (1000 1200) |  |  |  |  | PM (1415 1615) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\oplus}{\xi} \\ & \stackrel{=}{0} \\ & \stackrel{-}{\Phi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varrho}{ \pm} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{E} \\ & \stackrel{y}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { n }}{\frac{0}{ \pm}}$ | E $\frac{5}{4}$ $>$ |
| 2028 | Base | LV | 2,958 | 2.5 | 94.4 | 133.0 | 12,532 | 2,853 | 2.8 | 93.2 | 126.8 | 11,816 |
|  |  | MV | 405 | 2.0 | 90.8 | 19.8 | 1,798 | 433 | 2.2 | 90.0 | 21.3 | 1,912 |
|  |  | HV | 361 | 1.9 | 91.4 | 17.9 | 1,632 | 385 | 2.1 | 90.7 | 19.1 | 1,731 |
|  | Project | LV | 2,960 | 2.2 | 94.9 | 134.0 | 12,651 | 2,852 | 2.5 | 93.4 | 128.6 | 11,955 |
|  |  | MV | 405 | 1.6 | 91.9 | 19.7 | 1,805 | 433 | 1.8 | 91.1 | 21.2 | 1,928 |
|  |  | HV | 360 | 1.6 | 92.5 | 17.7 | 1,633 | 385 | 1.7 | 92.0 | 18.9 | 1,735 |
| 2038 | Base | LV | 3,573 | 3.1 | 92.7 | 161.6 | 14,961 | 3,514 | 3.5 | 91.2 | 157.9 | 14,377 |
|  |  | MV | 457 | 2.1 | 90.5 | 22.6 | 2,040 | 496 | 2.4 | 89.6 | 24.5 | 2,188 |
|  |  | HV | 412 | 2.1 | 90.9 | 20.5 | 1,861 | 446 | 2.3 | 90.3 | 22.3 | 2,007 |
|  | Project | LV | 3,573 | 2.6 | 93.4 | 162.4 | 15,110 | 3,511 | 3.0 | 91.3 | 160.4 | 14,548 |
|  |  | MV | 456 | 1.7 | 91.7 | 22.4 | 2,047 | 496 | 1.9 | 90.8 | 24.4 | 2,205 |
|  |  | HV | 411 | 1.7 | 92.3 | 20.2 | 1,863 | 446 | 1.8 | 91.6 | 22.0 | 2,008 |
| 2048 | Base | LV | 3,950 | 3.5 | 91.4 | 179.6 | 16,382 | 4,004 | 4.0 | 89.4 | 180.7 | 16,142 |
|  |  | MV | 522 | 2.2 | 90.3 | 25.8 | 2,324 | 572 | 2.6 | 89.1 | 28.4 | 2,530 |
|  |  | HV | 462 | 2.2 | 90.6 | 23.1 | 2,088 | 503 | 2.4 | 89.9 | 25.2 | 2,262 |
|  | Project | LV | 3,949 | 2.8 | 92.3 | 180.2 | 16,565 | 4,005 | 3.5 | 89.6 | 183.5 | 16,351 |
|  |  | MV | 522 | 1.7 | 91.6 | 25.5 | 2,330 | 572 | 1.9 | 90.7 | 28.2 | 2,549 |
|  |  | HV | 462 | 1.8 | 92.1 | 22.8 | 2,091 | 502 | 1.9 | 91.6 | 24.8 | 2,265 |

### 7.0 Summary and conclusions

This report has been prepared to document the traffic modelling undertaken to estimate future traffic performance and traffic benefits for the Pacific Highway / Harrington Road Interchange Upgrade.

## Crash data analysis

Review of crash data includes:

- Ten crashes were reported between 1 October 2016 to 26 August 2022
- One fatal crash with type right near (RUM code 13)
- Five out of ten crashes (50\%) involved crossing traffic (RUM code 1)
- Project Case potentially eliminates crashes arising from crossing traffic.


## Future demand forecasts

Future demand forecasts used for modelling include:

- Pacific Highway growth from Transport RTM and RFM models
- Harrington and Coopernook development traffic from MidCoast Regional Strategy 2006-36
- Timing of development traffic becoming online is assumed to be $50 \%$ for both 2028 to 2038 and 2038 to 2048
- Background traffic growth for minor roads is assumed to avoid double-counting of development traffic.


## Future scenario network assumptions

In terms of network configurations, the following assumptions were adopted:

- Base Case - reflects the existing network layout
- Project Case - grade-separated interchange with roundabout intersections on either side of the Pacific Highway. The intersections of Harrington Road and Coopernook Road with Pacific Highway are reconfigured as left-in left-out (LILO).

Future year demands $(2028,2038$ and 2048$)$ are assumed to be the same for both Base and Project Case.

## Future scenario analysis

The following key trends in network-level traffic performance were observed:

- Project Case average delays are generally lower compared with the Base Case across years and periods
- Average vehicle densities for both scenarios are generally similar across years and periods
- Project Case average speeds are generally higher compared with the Base Case across years and periods
- VHTs for both scenarios are generally similar across years and periods
- VKTs for the Project Case are generally slightly higher than the Base Case because of the rerouting of some local traffic through the upgraded interchange across years and periods.

Overall, the Project Case provides improvements (compared with the Base Case) in terms of traffic performance. Additionally, the Project Case eliminates the weaving manoeuvre between Coopernook and Harrington Roads along with crossing traffic to and from the same roads.

## Appendix A - Crash Analysis Data

| Year | Month | Day | Hour | Severity | Major Street | Intersecting street | Lighting | Atmospheric condition | RUM code group | RUM code group description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | August | Sunday | 8 | Moderate | Pacific Highway | N/A | Daylight | Fine | 33 | Lane sideswipe |
| 2018 | March | Saturday | 11 | Moderate | Pacific Highway | Harrington Road | Daylight | Fine | 10 | Cross Traffic |
| 2018 | April | Sunday | 13 | Serious | Pacific Highway | Coopernook Road | Cloudy | Overcast | 85 | Off right turn/left bend into object |
| 2018 | December | Wednesday | 15 | Serious | Pacific Highway | Harrington Road | Daylight | Fine | 13 | Right near |
| 2020 | October | Tuesday | 13 | Moderate | Pacific Highway | Coopernook Road | Daylight | Fine | 13 | Right Near |
| 2020 | November | Tuesday | 16 | Minor | Pacific Highway | N/A | Unknown | Unknown | 71 | Left off carriageway into object / parked vehicle |
| 2020 | December | Friday | 9 | Moderate | Pacific Highway | Coopernook Road | Daylight | Fine | 74 | On road out of control |
| 2021 | February | Monday | 13 | Fatal | Pacific Highway | Harrington Road | Daylight | Overcast | 13 | Right Near |
| 2021 | February | Friday | 13 | No casualty | Pacific Highway | Coopernook Road | Daylight | Fine | 70 | Off road to left |
| 2022 | April | Friday | 18 | No casualty | Pacific Highway | Coopernook Road | Cloudy | Rain | 13 | Cross Traffic |

Collection Date: 1 Oct 2016 to 26 August 2022



## Appendix B - Future Base and Project Case Layout




Appendix C - Network Performance Measures (Base and Project)
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[^1]Appendix D - Key Routes Travel Time and Speed Comparison

| From | To | Length, m |  | 2028 PH-AM 1000-1100 |  | 2028 PH-AM 1100-1200 |  | 2028 PM 1415-1515 |  | 2028 PM 1515-1615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Rd | Harrington Rd | 2,966 | 2,640 | 164.0 | 139.8 | 167.9 | 144.6 | 168.1 | 145.3 | 169.1 | 145.9 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 3,984 | 3,970 | 171.0 | 166.9 | 169.0 | 164.9 | 176.2 | 171.6 | 180.2 | 175.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 1,572 | 2,241 | 88.8 | 114.2 | 88.4 | 114.4 | 90.6 | 114.8 | 91.9 | 115.8 |
| Harrington Rd | Coopernook Rd | 2,951 | 2,645 | 156.7 | 141.3 | 155.1 | 139.7 | 153.9 | 137.5 | 159.3 | 140.7 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 4,711 | 5,487 | 208.6 | 239.2 | 208.6 | 238.6 | 211.0 | 244.4 | 213.7 | 244.8 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 2,925 | 2,934 | 135.4 | 134.9 | 135.4 | 134.9 | 134.8 | 134.0 | 134.6 | 134.2 |
| Pacific Hwy North | Coopernook Rd | 4,008 | 4,164 | - | - | - | - | 180.5 | 199.5 | 181.0 | 199.3 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 4,702 | 4,712 | 199.9 | 201.9 | 199.2 | 200.8 | 199.0 | 200.9 | 198.5 | 200.8 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 3,982 | 3,982 | 147.5 | 144.9 | 146.9 | 144.3 | 146.5 | 144.0 | 147.1 | 144.6 |
| Pacific Hwy South | Coopernook Rd | 1,555 | 1,563 | 78.7 | 82.1 | 80.0 | 83.9 | 81.4 | 84.7 | 80.5 | 84.0 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 2,964 | 3,215 | 143.0 | 168.2 | 143.2 | 168.0 | 143.5 | 169.3 | 143.7 | 169.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 3,982 | 3,982 | 147.6 | 145.9 | 147.1 | 145.5 | 148.3 | 146.6 | 148.4 | 146.6 |


| From | To | Length, m |  | 2038 PH-AM 1000-1100 |  | 2038 PH-AM 1100-1200 |  | 2038 PM 1415-1515 |  | 2038 PM 1515-1615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Rd | Harrington Rd | 2,966 | 2,640 | 170.4 | 144.2 | 168.7 | 142.5 | 170.6 | 145.4 | 171.8 | 145.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 3,984 | 3,970 | 176.6 | 170.9 | 172.4 | 167.3 | 183.7 | 177.4 | 174.3 | 170.0 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 1,572 | 2,241 | 90.9 | 115.0 | 90.8 | 115.0 | 95.2 | 117.7 | 94.4 | 115.6 |
| Harrington Rd | Coopernook Rd | 2,951 | 2,645 | 159.9 | 142.0 | 156.8 | 140.6 | 161.4 | 141.6 | 160.0 | 140.2 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 4,711 | 5,487 | 215.0 | 242.4 | 216.1 | 242.0 | 216.1 | 245.2 | 220.4 | 245.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 2,925 | 2,934 | 136.0 | 135.5 | 135.8 | 135.0 | 136.2 | 135.6 | 135.2 | 134.5 |
| Pacific Hwy North | Coopernook Rd | 4,008 | 4,164 | - | - | - | - | 177.0 | 195.0 | 179.9 | 195.7 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 4,702 | 4,712 | 199.0 | 201.0 | 200.9 | 203.3 | 199.9 | 202.6 | 200.5 | 203.6 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 3,982 | 3,982 | 147.6 | 145.0 | 147.2 | 144.5 | 146.9 | 144.2 | 148.0 | 145.3 |
| Pacific Hwy South | Coopernook Rd | 1,555 | 1,563 | 80.2 | 83.3 | 79.8 | 83.3 | 80.5 | 84.0 | 80.6 | 84.4 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 2,964 | 3,215 | 143.7 | 169.1 | 145.5 | 169.9 | 146.3 | 171.0 | 147.8 | 171.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 3,982 | 3,982 | 148.1 | 146.5 | 147.9 | 146.1 | 149.5 | 147.5 | 149.0 | 147.1 |


| From | To | Length, m |  | 2048 PH-AM 1000-1100 |  | 2048 PH-AM 1100-1200 |  | 2048 PM 1415-1515 |  | 2048 PM 1515-1615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base |  |
| Coopernook Rd | Harrington Rd | 2,966 | 2,640 | 171.7 | 143.9 | 172.0 | 145.3 | 174.2 | 146.5 | 173.1 | 146.0 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 3,984 | 3,970 | 174.5 | 169.3 | 175.3 | 171.0 | 181.2 | 174.4 | 180.5 | 173.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 1,572 | 2,241 | 93.9 | 116.7 | 91.9 | 115.2 | 97.7 | 117.7 | 96.1 | 116.6 |
| Harrington Rd | Coopernook Rd | 2,951 | 2,645 | 159.6 | 141.5 | 161.3 | 142.8 | 163.1 | 141.0 | 161.9 | 140.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 4,711 | 5,487 | 218.0 | 243.5 | 218.2 | 242.1 | 223.4 | 247.5 | 225.9 | 246.8 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 2,925 | 2,934 | 136.9 | 136.0 | 136.8 | 136.0 | 135.8 | 135.1 | 137.1 | 136.2 |
| Pacific Hwy North | Coopernook Rd | 4,008 | 4,164 | - | - | - | - | 176.8 | 193.2 | 176.3 | 194.3 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 4,702 | 4,712 | 202.0 | 203.7 | 200.5 | 203.1 | 200.4 | 203.9 | 202.0 | 205.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 3,982 | 3,982 | 148.6 | 145.7 | 147.7 | 144.9 | 147.8 | 145.0 | 148.5 | 145.5 |
| Pacific Hwy South | Coopernook Rd | 1,555 | 1,563 | 80.4 | 84.4 | 79.9 | 83.9 | 81.3 | 85.2 | 81.1 | 84.9 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 2,964 | 3,215 | 146.6 | 170.3 | 147.6 | 170.4 | 149.2 | 172.2 | 150.6 | 173.0 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 3,982 | 3,982 | 149.0 | 147.0 | 148.4 | 146.7 | 150.2 | 148.1 | 150.3 | 148.1 |



| From | To | 2038 PH-AM 1000-1100 |  | 2038 PH-AM 1100-1200 |  | 2038 PM 1415-1515 |  | 2038 PM 1515-1615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Rd | Harrington Rd | 62.7 | 65.9 | 63.3 | 66.7 | 62.6 | 65.4 | 62.2 | 65.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 81.2 | 83.6 | 83.2 | 85.4 | 78.1 | 80.6 | 82.3 | 84.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 62.2 | 70.2 | 62.3 | 70.2 | 59.4 | 68.5 | 59.9 | 69.8 |
| Harrington Rd | Coopernook Rd | 66.4 | 67.0 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 65.8 | 67.2 | 66.4 | 67.9 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 78.9 | 81.5 | 78.5 | 81.6 | 78.5 | 80.6 | 77.0 | 80.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 77.4 | 78.0 | 77.5 | 78.3 | 77.3 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 78.5 |
| Pacific Hwy North | Coopernook Rd | - | - |  |  | 81.5 | 76.9 | 80.2 | 76.6 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 85.1 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 83.4 | 84.7 | 83.7 | 84.4 | 83.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 97.1 | 98.9 | 97.4 | 99.2 | 97.6 | 99.4 | 96.9 | 98.7 |
| Pacific Hwy South | Coopernook Rd | 69.8 | 67.5 | 70.2 | 67.5 | 69.5 | 66.9 | 69.5 | 66.6 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 74.3 | 68.4 | 73.3 | 68.1 | 72.9 | 67.7 | 72.2 | 67.6 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 96.8 | 97.9 | 97.0 | 98.1 | 95.9 | 97.2 | 96.2 | 97.5 |


| From | To | 2048 PH-AM 1000-1100 |  | 2048 PH-AM 1100-1200 |  | 2048 PM 1415-1515 |  | 2048 PM 1515-1615 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project | Base | Project |
| Coopernook Rd | Harrington Rd | 62.2 | 66.1 | 62.1 | 65.4 | 61.3 | 64.9 | 61.7 | 65.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 82.2 | 84.4 | 81.8 | 83.5 | 79.2 | 81.9 | 79.4 | 82.3 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 60.3 | 69.1 | 61.6 | 70.0 | 57.9 | 68.5 | 58.9 | 69.2 |
| Harrington Rd | Coopernook Rd | 66.5 | 67.3 | 65.8 | 66.7 | 65.1 | 67.5 | 65.6 | 67.8 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 77.8 | 81.1 | 77.7 | 81.6 | 75.9 | 79.8 | 75.1 | 80.1 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 77.0 | 77.7 | 77.0 | 77.7 | 77.6 | 78.2 | 76.8 | 77.5 |
| Pacific Hwy North | Coopernook Rd | - | - |  |  | 81.6 | 77.6 | 81.8 | 77.1 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 83.8 | 83.3 | 84.4 | 83.5 | 84.5 | 83.2 | 83.8 | 82.5 |
|  | Pacific Hwy South | 96.5 | 98.4 | 97.1 | 99.0 | 97.0 | 98.9 | 96.6 | 98.6 |
| Pacific Hwy South | Coopernook Rd | 69.7 | 66.6 | 70.1 | 67.0 | 68.8 | 66.0 | 69.0 | 66.3 |
|  | Harrington Rd | 72.8 | 68.0 | 72.3 | 67.9 | 71.5 | 67.2 | 70.9 | 66.9 |
|  | Pacific Hwy North | 96.2 | 97.5 | 96.6 | 97.7 | 95.4 | 96.8 | 95.4 | 96.8 |

## Appendix E - Volume Plots (Base and Project)
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## Appendix F - Density Plots (Base and Project)
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## Appendix G - Delay Plots (Base and Project)
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