Tarago Action Plan Routine Inspection Checklist

Date: 08-Apr-24 Ramboll and UGL RL Environmental
Start time: 8:35 AM Representative completing inspection¹:
Finish time: 9:47 AM

Weather: 18.3°C (10-19°C min to max), WNW 22 km/h wind, 75% humidity, 0 mm rain since (BOM) 9am, 80% chance of no rain, partly cloudy.

Date and volume of maximum rainfall in a 24hr period since last inspection?

Date: 06-Apr-24 Max volume (mm) in 24hr period: 80.8 mm

General Site Observations

Is airborne dust from site evident?

No airborne dust was visible (Photos 1 - 2).

<u>Is sediment run-off evident that is not captured by sediment controls?</u>

No sediment run-off seen in surface water flowing off-site at southern and middle culverts (**Photos 3 - 7**). No evidence of sediment run-off from northern culvert with only pooled surface water observed (**Photo 8**).

Is surface water discharging from site?

Surface water was observed discharging from the site at the southern and middle culvert (**Photos 3 - 7**). Surface water was observed along the rail corridor in multiple locations (**Photo 8 and 15**). No other flowing surface water was observed onsite during the inspection.

Is there evidence of excavation or other works non-compliant with the Action Plan?

Other observations?

- 1. The previous monthly site inspection in March identified one new locations where the marker layer was exposed. During this inspection, the exposure location appeared to have been covered with concrete (**Photo 18**). No further damages to the concrete capping of the stockpile were observed during this inspection (**Photo 19**).
- 2. The geofabric silt curtain (sediment fencing) located upgradient of middle trainline culvert (**Photo 17**) was noted to have large sediment build up. Ramboll recommends the removal of the build up to ensure the sediment fencing remains undamaged and an adequate control.
- 3. Evidence of erosion has been noted upgradient of the southern most culvert in multiple previous site inspections and remains on site but in a stable condition (**Photos 10 and 11**). No evidence of sediment was found in water flowing offsite at southern culvert during this site inspection. Ramboll recommends replacing ground cover material (ballast) if further erosion occurs or sediment is visible in the downstream surface water of the southern culvert.

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sediment controls including stockpile management.

Castian	Combinal	Inspection					
Section	Control	Yes	No	Corrective Action			
	Is Exclusion Zone signage present as recommended on Figures 2a - 2e Appendix 1 to demarcate contamination in the rail formation and adjacent soils?	Yes (Photo 20)					
	Is Exclusion Zone signage undamaged?	Yes, exclusion zone signage appeared in good condition					
	Are sediment controls present in/adjacent each rail culvert?	Yes, rock checks and rock armour observed upgradient of each culvert. Coir sediment control logs west of former Woodlawn siding and along cess drain feeding the south and middle culverts. Silt fencing between middle and northern culvert.					
	If sediment is present what is the estimated depth of sediment?	Sediment build (approximatel		encing present on site			
	Are sediment controls still functional?	Yes. Sediment controls in place with some mind damages. Refer to 'additional observations' sec points 2 and 3 for comment'.					
	Is the existing stockpile covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration?	^y Yes.					
5.1	Are cracks present in the capping of the existing stockpile? If so record the width and length of cracks in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	Yes, minor hairline cracking is present on the stockpile (observed during an inspection 15/03/23), these remain stable and are not expected to adversely affect cap competency in current condition.					
	Are there signs of erosion or sediment run-off on or relating to the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	No. No erosion of, or sediment from the stockpile was observed.					
	Are there signs of vegetation on the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist.	Yes, several small-medium sized weeds were identified growing out from the stockpile from previous inspections. These are not expected to affect stockpile capping effectiveness.					
	Is geofabric marker layer visible beneath capping of the existing stockpile? If so record in written form and through photographs and consolidate with this checklist. If marker layer is visible rectification work is required.	No. One new exposed location was identified during the previous March inspection. This inspection verified the location has since been sealed. Refer to 'Other Observations' section for additional comments.					
	Have any additional stockpiles of contaminated material been created?	No					
7.0	Are additional stockpiles placed away from drainage lines, gutters, stormwater pits or inlets?	n/a no additio	nal stockpiles	:			
7.3	Are stockpiles covered securely to prevent surface water infiltration?	n/a no additio	nal stockpiles	:			
	Are stockpiles positioned on level surfaces with construction of bunds to control water ingress / egress.	n/a no additio	nal stockpiles	:			

¹Action Plan inspections must be completed by a UGL Representative suitably trained and experienced in application and management of erosion and sediment controls including stockpile management.



Photo 1: Picture of Tarago railway facing north east. No airborne dust visible.



Photo 2: Picture of Tarago railway facing south west towards platform. No airborne dust visible.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 3: Southern culvert downgradient of railway line with surface water flowing offsite.

Surface water is clear with low to no turbidity.



Photo 4: Southern culvert downgradient of site fence line with surface water flowing offsite. Surface water is clear with a slight brown tint and low to no turbidity. Lots of natural sediment controls present (i.e. reedy vegetation).

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx	·	



Photo 5: Downgradient of middle culvert with pooled surface water. Surface water was brown with moderate turbidity.




Photo 6: Downgradient of middle culvert at fence line of site boundary with pooled surface water. Surface water before road causeway appeared clear with low to no turbidity and no suspended solids.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 7: Causeway located downgradient of middle culvert facing east with pooled surface water. No sediment located on causeway.



Photo 8: Downgradient of northernmost culvert with pooled surface water. Water was clear with slight brown tint, and low turbidity.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 9: Southernmost culvert upgradient of the railway line with flowing surface water.



Photo 10: Facing towards southernmost culvert upgradient of the railway line. Rock armoury within drainage line to culvert. Some evidence of erosion.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 11: Southernmost culvert upgradient of the railway line. Evidence of erosion due to lack of ground cover. Minor to no changes since previous inspection.



Photo 12: Drainage line leading to southernmost culvert upgradient of the railway line. Evidence of previous high surface water flow (build-up of vegetation debris).

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 13: Upgradient of middle culvert. Sediment controls in place (coir logs and rock armoury in good condition).



Photo 14: Upgradient of middle culvert. Sediment controls in place (coir logs and rock armoury in good condition).

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW		
Client:	UGL Regional Linx		



Photo 15: Upgradient of middle culvert. Pooled surface water on site was clear with no turbidity. Sediment controls in place (coir logs and rock armoury in average condition).



Photo 16: Upgradient of northernmost culvert with no surface water. No sediment build up was noted on rock armoury.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	• •	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 17: Upgradient of railway line to the north of the middle culvert. Sediment fencing in place with large build-up of sediment.



Photo 18: Previously exposed geofabric layer marker on stockpile, noted during March EMP Inspection had been filled with cement.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			



Photo 19: Concrete capped stockpile. No major signs of exposure marker layer and erosion damage. Some vegetation growing but not expected to impact capping integrity.



Photo 20: Contaminated land signage in good conditions, placed at appropriate intervals along the site.

Title:	Tarago Rail Yard Inspection	Approved:	Project-Nr.: 318001704	
Site:	Tarago, NSW			
Client:	UGL Regional Linx			