



Westlink M7 Widening

Division 5.2 Approval

Consistency assessment report

M7 North gantry signs

Transport for NSW | April 2025

BLANK PAGE

Revision	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by
Rev A	01/04/2025		
Rev B	30/04/2025		

Contents

Contents	i
1. Introduction	8
1.1 Background	8
1.2 M7 Widening description	9
1.3 Purpose of consistency assessment	10
2. Proposed Change	11
2.1 Description of Proposed Change	11
2.2 General scope	19
2.3 Construction methodology and equipment	20
2.4 Need	21
3. Consultation	22
4. Environmental assessment	23
5. Consistency assessment – the Division 5.2 Approval	36
5.1 Minister’s Conditions of Approval and Environmental management measures	36
5.2 Project objectives	36
5.3 Consistency questions – the Division 5.2 Approval	36
6. Conclusion	38
7. Other considerations	39
7.1 Permits, licenses and other approvals	39
8. Certification	40
Appendix A - Assessment of consistency with conditions of approval and revised environmental management measures	41
Appendix B – Biodiversity Assessment	127
Appendix C – Relevant Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) Database Searches	128

Tables

Table 1: General summary of scope of works for Proposed Change	19
Table 2 Proposed construction equipment	20
Table 3: Indicative construction traffic for heavy vehicles and light vehicles associated with the Proposed Change (per site).	21
Table 4 Environmental assessment of the Proposed Change	24
Table 5 Division 5.2 Approval consistency questions	36

Terms and abbreviations

Abbreviation/term	Meaning
Westlink M7	The Western Sydney Orbital project (DPE reference number SSI-663)
AEP	Annual Exceedance Probability
AHIMS	Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System
Approved project	Modification 6 (SSI-663-Mod-6), also referred to as M7 Widening. Approved 17 February 2023 under section 5.25 of the EP&A Act to construct and operate an additional lane in both directions within the existing Westlink M7 median from Prestons to Oakhurst/Glendenning, excluding at the Westlink M7/M4 Motorway (Light Horse) Interchange.
ASR	Archaeological Survey Report
ASS	Acid Sulfate Soils
BDAR	Biodiversity Development Assessment Report
CA	Consistency Assessment
CoA	Condition of Approval
CCHMP	Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CNVIS	Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statement
DPE	(former) Department of Planning and Environment
DLP	Design and Landscape Plan
DPHI	Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure
DSI	Detailed Site Investigation
EAD	Environmental Assessment Documentation
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EP&A Act	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979
EPL	Environment Protection Licence
EWMS	Environmental Work Method Statement
FFMP	Flora and Fauna Management Plan
ITS	Intelligent Transport System
HV	Heavy Vehicles
LCZ	Landscape Character Zone

LHI	Light Horse Interchange
LV	Light Vehicles
MCC	Motorway Control Centre
MOD	Modification report
NCA	Noise Catchment Area
PAD	Potential Archaeological Deposit
PCT	Plant Community Type
Proposed Change	The Proposed Change to the Approved project that are subject to this consistency assessment
RS	Rest Stop
SAS	Site Access Schedule
SHR	State Heritage Register
SSI	State Significant Infrastructure
SUP	Shared User Path
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community
TGS	Traffic Guidance Scheme – A temporary arrangement of signs and devices installed to warn/guide/direct road users through or past a work site or temporary hazard.
Transport	Transport for NSW

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Transport for NSW (Transport) completed an environmental assessment for the construction and operation of the Western Sydney Orbital in 2002 (the approved project). Approval was granted on 28 February 2002 under Division 4, Part 5 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (NSW) (EP&A Act) (DPE (now DPHI) reference number SSI-663). The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (Roads and Transport Authority (RTA), 2000) identified a range of environmental, social, and planning issues associated with the construction and operation of the approved project and outlined measures to mitigate and manage those potential impacts.

The EIS was publicly exhibited between January 2001 and March 2001. Following public exhibition, submissions from stakeholders were received and addressed by Transport in the Submissions Report. Construction activities commenced in 2003, and the Western Sydney Orbital opened to traffic in December 2005.

By Order of the Minister for Planning, the original approval for the Western Sydney Orbital (now known as the Westlink M7) was made subject to the current State Significant Infrastructure (SSI) provisions of the EP&A Act (Division 5.2, Part 5) on 26 April 2019. As such, the Westlink M7 is considered to be State Significant Infrastructure under the EP&A Act.

Seven modifications to the approved project have been approved, as follows:

- Modification 1: Approved 19 June 2003 under the then section 115BAA of the EP&A Act to correct several minor misdescriptions in the Conditions of Approval (CoA) relating to pre-construction requirements, and to clarify the timing of stormwater management requirements
- Modification 2: Approved 4 May 2004 under the then section 115BAA of the EP&A Act to correct several minor errors resulting in inconsistencies between CoA
- Modification 3: Approved 25 August 2004 under the then section 115BAA of the EP&A Act to correct a minor error resulting in an inconsistency between CoA and to correct references to the Minister
- Modification 4: Approved 24 January 2006 under the then section 75W of the EP&A Act to delete condition 115(a), requiring the grade separated pedestrian/bicycle access (shared path) within the road reserve at Mavis Street, Rooty Hill (incorporating Angus Creek crossing and access to Aquilina Reserve), thus removing this access point
- Modification 5: Approved 18 July 2019 under section 5.25 of the EP&A Act to delete condition 66 which prohibits commercial advertising within the road reserve
- Modification 6: Approved 17 February 2023 under section 5.25 of the EP&A Act to construct and operate an additional lane in both directions within the existing Westlink M7 median from Prestons to Oakhurst/Glendenning, excluding at the Westlink M7/M4 Motorway (Light Horse) Interchange (M7 Widening).
- Modification 7: Approved 8 April 2025 under section 180 of the EP&A Act to undertake construction activities at five locations outside the approved Modification 6 construction footprint.

The approval of Modification 6 incorporated revised conditions of approval (CoA). Modification 6 (referred to herein as M7 Widening) must be carried out in accordance with the CoA as described in CoA 1A and generally in accordance with the M7 Widening Modification 6 Report (Modification Report) (August 2022) and M7 Widening Submissions Report (November 2022).

Modification 7 was approved on 8 April 2025 during the drafting of this consistency assessment. Modification 7 amended CoA D4 to include additional biodiversity credits required, specifically the retirement of an additional 14 ecosystem credits (75 total) and an additional 8 Southern Myotis species credits before impacts to biodiversity values can occur. Modification 7 also amended the “project description” to exclude non-intrusive utilities investigations.

For the purposes of this consistency assessment, the Approval issued by the NSW Minister for Planning for the M7 Widening is referred to as the Division 5.2 Approval.

For the purposes of this consistency assessment, the Modification Report, the Submissions Report and subsequent consistency assessments are considered together to be the relevant M7 Environmental Assessment Documentation (M7 EAD).

A description of the M7 Widening is provided in Section 1.2 and a description of the Proposed Change is discussed in Section 2.

1.2 M7 Widening description

The implementation of the M7 Widening would permit the addition of a trafficable lane in both directions of the Westlink M7 between Prestons and Oakhurst/Glendenning, excluding at the Westlink M7/M4 Motorway (Light Horse) Interchange.

The M7 Widening would include the following key features:

- Widening of the motorway into the existing median for a length of about 26 kilometres along the Westlink M7, from about 140 metres south of the Kurrajong Road overhead bridge at Prestons (southern end) to the Richmond Road interchange in Oakhurst/Glendenning (northern end), excluding at the Westlink M7/M4 Motorway (Light Horse) Interchange
- Widening the exit from the Westlink M7 northbound onto the M4 Motorway westbound from one lane to two lanes
- Widening of 43 existing northbound and southbound bridges on the Westlink M7 at 23 locations within the centre median, and on the outside of the bridges on the approach to the M4 Motorway from Old Wallgrove Road
- Upgrades, additions and modifications to noise walls
- Utility works and upgrades to drainage
- Intelligent Transport System (ITS) installations, adjustments and relocations to cover the new lane configurations.

The following activities would be required to facilitate construction of the M7 Widening:

- Establishment of 'zone' construction ancillary facilities within and adjacent to Westlink M7 for stockpiling, construction support at bridge and median widening locations, project offices and compounds, as well as 'site' ancillary facilities within the existing motorway alignment
- Vegetation clearing within the median/widening areas and within construction ancillary facilities (including for construction access)
- Demolition of existing structures and infrastructure within the widening areas
- Provision of temporary water management infrastructure including the maintenance of stormwater drainage and establishment of waterway crossings and diversions
- Utility works within Westlink M7 and adjoining roads, particularly around existing motorway bridge sub-structures
- Earthworks for bridge and road widening within the existing median, and placement and compaction of fill material likely to result in a net amount of spoil material
- Bridge widening including establishment of sub-structures such as piles, abutments, piers and headstocks and super-structures including beams, girders, decks and barriers
- Pavement widening works within the road median
- Finishing works including asphaltting the carriageway surface, line marking, signage, permanent barriers and median infill, installation of communications infrastructure and landscaping treatments.

1.3 Purpose of consistency assessment

The purpose of this consistency assessment is to:

- Describe the Proposed Change relative to the Division 5.2 Approval
- Assess the environmental impacts associated with the Proposed Change relative to the Division 5.2 Approval
- Determine if the Proposed Change is consistent with the Division 5.2 Approval or whether further approval is required either for a modification application or a new project.

2. Proposed Change

2.1 Description of Proposed Change

Due to insufficient area provided in the current approved construction footprint, additional land is required to facilitate the construction of new gantry signs and demolition of existing sign footings along the northern section of the M7 Widening. The use of additional parcels of land to access and undertake these activities is subject to this Consistency Assessment (CA) and is herein described as the Proposed Change(s).

As the requirement to demolish the existing gantry sign footings is still to be confirmed, this CA has assessed the worst-case scenario, being complete removal of the sign footings.

No new activities are included in the Proposed Change. The construction of the Proposed Change is included in the 'Signage and Other Roadside Furniture' scope package in the Modification Report as part of the Finishing Works Component (refer Table 4-5 of the Modification Report). Road signs are further discussed in Section 4.2.13 of the Modification Report, which states that *"existing road signs in the median and road shoulders would require relocation and/or adjustment where directly impacted by the proposed modification. Adjustments to existing signs would include replacement of existing signs or new signs on existing signposts and gantries. Additional signs on new signposts would also be installed"*. Therefore, the Proposed Change is limited to additional land required to construct and operate the gantry signs.

The Proposed Change consists of seven separate sites (A to G).

Site A (M7W_104117)

The Site A scope of work includes the erection of a new gantry road sign, M7W_104117, and the removal of the existing sign and footing located on the Westlink M7 Southbound alignment, south of Wallgrove Road. M7W_104117 would consist of one piled concrete footing, just outside (+/- 5m) the eastern median of the Westlink M7 alignment, between the road and the SUP. The existing gantry sign to be removed is a similar structure to M7W_104117, although it appears to have four footings. Site A will be accessed via the SUP which joins onto Wallgrove Road. Additional land is required to enable enough room to pile the new footing for the proposed sign, as well as removing the existing sign and footings which fall outside the current construction footprint. Works would require the removal of some non-plant community type (PCT) vegetation. The location of the Proposed Change at Site A is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1 Site location - Proposed Change Site A (M7W_104117)

Site B M7W_104119

The Site B scope of work includes the erection of a new gantry sign, M7W_104119, and the removal of the existing sign and footing located on the Westlink M7 Northbound alignment, directly south of the Old Wallgrove Road overpass. M7W_104119 consists of one piled concrete footing, between the western median of the Westlink M7 alignment and the northbound lane of the Old Wallgrove Road onramp. The existing gantry sign to be removed consists of similar structure to the proposed M7W_104119 but is situated approximately 5m to the north of the new sign. Site B will be accessed via Westlink M7 northbound on-ramp under a road closure on nightshift. In order to access the site, the existing crash barriers will need to be removed and reinstated at the completion of the works. A small amount of low, non-PCT will also be removed to facilitate access. This area will be made good in accordance with the relevant RMS specification. The location of the Proposed Change at Site B is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Location of Proposed Change Site B (M7W_104119)

Site C M7W_104120

The Site C scope of works includes the erection of a new gantry sign, M7W_104120, and the removal of the existing gantry sign and footing located directly south of the Old Wallgrove Road Southbound offramp. M7W_104120 consists of one piled concrete footing, between the eastern median of the Westlink M7 alignment and the Old Wallgrove Road Southbound offramp. Access to the site will be from the right-hand lane of the offramp, where there is a gap in the existing concrete barrier. Access to the sign will require the clearing of some non-PCT vegetation. The location of the Proposed Change at Site C is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3 Location of Proposed Change for Site C (M7W_104120)

Site D M7W_104121

The Site D scope of works includes the erection of a new gantry sign, M7W_104121, and the removal of the existing gantry sign and footing adjacent the Westlink M7 Control Centre (MCC). M7W_104121 consists of one piled concrete footing to be constructed on the western edge of the SUP (located to the west of the Westlink M7 alignment).. It is noted that there is currently no sign in this location, only an existing footing, which is to be removed. Construction access will be via the SUP or via the MCC carpark. It is anticipated that some clearing for access will be required however, the vegetation to be removed is non-PCT vegetation, either planted native or exotic. The location of the Proposed Change at Site D is shown in Figure 4.

It is noted that a portion of the Proposed Change falls outside the Site Access Schedule (SAS) boundary. It is understood that the land is owned by TfNSW and will be subject to a land acquisition prior to works commencing.



Figure 4 Location of Proposed Change for Site D (M7W_104121)

Site E M7W_104122

The Site E scope of works includes the erection of a new cantilever sign, M7W_104122, and the removal of the existing cantilever sign and footing located just north of Florence Street. M7W_104122 consists of one piled concrete footing between the SUP and the adjacent noise wall on the eastern side of the southbound carriageway of the Westlink M7. The new sign will be located approximately 10m south of the existing sign to be removed. The location of the Proposed Change at Site E is shown in Figure 5.

Access to the site of the Proposed Change will be via Woodley Crescent and then the SUP (where traffic control will be implemented). Vehicles will be unloaded on the SUP and tracked to site. It is anticipated that some clearing for access will be required however, the vegetation to be removed is non-PCT vegetation, either planted native or exotic.



Figure 5 Location of Proposed Change for Site E (M7W_104122)

Site F M7W_104123

The Site F scope of works includes the erection of a new cantilever sign, M7W_104109, and the removal of the existing cantilever sign and footing located north of Shaughnessy Street. M7W_104109 consists of one piled concrete footing in close proximity to the existing sign, with the sign spanning over the Westlink M7 alignment.. Access for the installation of the new sign will be via the SUP accessed via Florence Street. Although larger established vegetation, including PCT, overhangs the SUP, trimming is not deemed to be required for the Proposed Change. The location of the Proposed Change at Site F is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6 Location of Proposed Change for Site F (M7W_104123)

Site G M7W_104108

The Site G scope of works includes the installation of a gantry sign on a single post and footing, known as M7W_104108, located between the eastern side of the Westlink M7 and the Great Western Highway offramp. Access will be via the Great Western Highway offramp, which will be taken under a closure on night shift. Non-PCT in the median between the Westlink M7 and the offramp may require removal prior to the piling activities commencing.



Figure 7 Location of Proposed Change for Site C (M7W_104108)

2.2 General scope

The general scope of works for each site under the Proposed Change is detailed within Table 1.

Table 1: General summary of scope of works for Proposed Change

Scope description	Components	Timing
Site Establishment and creating access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of waterfilled barriers and/or boundary fencing • Installation of temporary signage for pedestrian/traffic diversions etc. • Installation of SUP and service protection measures • Installation of ERSED controls • Vegetation clearance • Laydown of materials required for construction • Establishment of suitable piling platforms • Establishing access tracks 	<p>Outside of standard construction hours</p> <p>Standard construction hours</p>
Earthworks (Constructing access Tracks and Piling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Piling • Removal of waste spoil 	<p>Outside of standard construction hours</p> <p>Standard construction hours</p>
Formwork erection, tying steel reinforcement, pouring concrete (FRP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installing formwork for footing • Delivering and installing steel into footing hole including welding • Concrete pours for footings 	<p>Outside of standard construction hours</p> <p>Standard construction hours</p>
Sign Installation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lifting equipment lifting sign structure into place • Fixing sign structure to footing • Sign face installation 	<p>Outside of standard construction hours</p>
Removal of existing signage and footings and demobilisation of site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dismantling existing signage in sections • Demolition of existing footings and removal from site • Backfill footings excavations • Makegood of site including removal of access tracks • Removal barriers and signage 	<p>Outside of standard construction hours</p> <p>Standard construction hours</p>

While the Proposed Change fall outside of the current approved construction footprint, the subject land is wholly within the operational Westlink M7 corridor including the shared user path (SUP) and Westlink M7 managed by Northwestern Roads Group. A small portion of land located at Site D (Figure 4) falls outside the SAS boundary as discussed in Section 2.1. John Holland will follow the land acquisition process to obtain access to this portion of land prior to commencing works outside the SAS boundary.

The CA area outside the construction footprint indicated in Figures 1 to 7 is required to facilitate the Proposed Change. The works subject to this CA will not commence until approved by Transport and all other non-environmental and environmental obligations are fulfilled.

2.3 Construction methodology and equipment

Methodology

The Proposed Change will involve:

- Installation of protection prior to tracking vehicles onsite at Sites A, B, D, E, F and G
- Pedestrian and vehicle diversion signage and traffic control at all sites (A to G)
- Concrete barrier removal at Sites B and D
- Construction and establishment of access tracks and piling pads at Sites C, D, E and F
- Vegetation clearance at all sites
- Piling at all sites
- Formwork, reinforcement (steel) and pour (concrete) (FRP) to construct new sign footings at all sites
- Sign installation onto newly constructed footings at all sites
- Removal of existing signs and demolition of footings at Sites A, B, C, E, F and G, noting there is only a footing and no sign at Site D (this is also to be removed)
- Demobilisation of sites and make good works at all sites

Construction equipment

Construction equipment to be utilised for the Proposed Change is detailed in Table 2.

Table 2 Proposed construction equipment

Activity	Plant & Equipment
Site Establishment and Creating Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 Tonne Tippers • 3 Tonne Tippers • 10 Wheeled Tippers • Hand tools • Drills • LV's • Chainsaw • Mulcher • 12 Tonne excavator
Earthworks (Construction of Access Tracks and Piling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6-20 Tonne Excavator • Auger • Piling Rig up to 40T • Pozi Track • Water Cart • CC10 Roller • Power Hand tools • LV's • Bogies
Formwork Reinforcement Pours (FRP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Franna • Concrete Agitator Truck • Reo Bender • Power Hand tools • Boom Pumps
Sign Installation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 2 x 200Tonne articulated Crane • EWPs or Scissor Lifts

Activity	Plant & Equipment
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Power Hand tools • LV's
Removal of existing signage and footings and demobilisation of site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 Tonne Tippers • 3 Tonne Tippers • 10 Wheeled Tippers • Powered Hand tools • Drills • LV's • 6-20 Tonne Excavator • Bogies • 2 x 200Tonne articulated Crane • 12 Tonne excavator with hammer attachment

Construction Access and vehicle numbers

Sites B, C and G will predominantly be accessed via the Westlink M7, while the remaining sites will be accessed via the SUP. Indicative heavy and light vehicle movements to each site under the Proposed Change is detailed in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Indicative construction traffic for heavy vehicles and light vehicles associated with the Proposed Change (per site).

	Light vehicles	Heavy vehicles
Daily number	30	10
Morning peak	20	5
Evening peak	10	5

2.4 Need

There is currently insufficient land within the current construction boundary assessed in the M7 EAD to enable the construction of the new gantry and cantilever signs and removal of existing signs and therefore additional land is required. The signs included in the Proposed Change are located along the Westlink M7 alignment, within land owned by TfNSW however fall marginally outside the approved construction footprint which predominantly only covers the Westlink M7 alignment from kerb to kerb.

This CA is required to amend the approved construction footprint to allow construction access to the sign footing locations and allow additional space to construct the gantry / cantilever signs in a safe manner.

As mentioned above, the activity itself, being the construction of road signage was included in and assessed as part of the Modification report, however suitable provision of access arrangements was not included.

3. Consultation

The Proposed Change is located on land that is owned by Transport and therefore consultation with any additional landowners is not deemed applicable to this CA. A Local Road Assessment is required for heavy vehicle access to Sites E and F. The Local Road Assessments are underway and must be approved by DPHI prior to construction activities commencing at Sites E and F.

As part of the construction phase of the Approved Project, ongoing consultation is being carried out with the community and relevant stakeholders in accordance with the M7-M12 Integration project Communication Management Plan, which includes a complaints management system.

A website providing information in relation to the Approved Project has been established and will continue to be maintained. It includes information on the current implementation status of the Approved Project, any notable updates and any documentation relating to approvals. The link to the Approved Project website can be access via:

[M7-M12 Integration Project | John Holland Group \(m7m12integrationproject.com.au\)](https://www.m7m12integrationproject.com.au/)

If approved, this consistency assessment would be placed on Transport's project website:

<https://www.transport.nsw.gov.au/projects/current-projects/project-documents-m12-motorway>

4. Environmental assessment

An assessment has been undertaken to compare the environmental impacts of the Proposed Change relative to the environmental impacts of the project subject to the Division 5.2 Approval. This includes reference to environmental impacts detailed in the M7 EAD including:

- Westlink M7 Widening Modification Report prepared by Transport for NSW and dated August 2022.
- Westlink M7 Widening Submissions Report prepared by Transport for NSW and dated November 2022.
- Transport for NSW (December, 2023) M7 Widening, Incident Response Bays Consistency Assessment.
- Transport for NSW (December, 2023) M7 Widening, Changes to the Approved Construction Footprint Consistency Assessment.
- Transport for NSW (May, 2024) M7 Widening, Changes to the Approved Construction Footprint – Tranche 2 Consistency Assessment.
- Transport for NSW (February, 2024) M7 Widening, Crushing and screening at AF9.
- John Holland (June, 2024) M7 Widening, Northern Bridges Access.
- John Holland (June, 2024) M7 Widening, Bernera Road and Cowpasture Road boundary adjustment
- John Holland (June, 2024) M7 Widening, Great Western Highway Access Track.
- John Holland (July, 2024) M7 South ITS Tolling Gantry.
- John Holland (November, 2024) M4 Offramp Boundary Adjustments.
- John Holland (November, 2024) Project Wide Utilities and Emergency Light Vehicle Bay.
- John Holland (January 2025) Proposed changes to the approved construction footprint – Tranche 3.
- John Holland (January 2025) M7 North gantry signs
- John Holland (April 2025) M7 South gantry signs

An assessment has been undertaken to compare the environmental impacts of the Proposed Change relative to the environmental impacts of the project subject to the Division 5.2 Approval. Refer to Table 4. The assessment considered the previously identified project impacts and revised environmental management measures (REMMs). The Proposed Change has been assessed in Appendix A in relation to the relevant conditions of approval and REMMs for the M7 Widening.

Table 4 Environmental assessment of the Proposed Change

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
Traffic and transport	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Construction traffic</p> <p>Light and heavy vehicle movements of the Proposed Change are detailed in Table 3. The anticipated traffic volumes of the Proposed Change are consistent with those assessed within the M7 EAD with the exception of the proposed use of local roads to access Site E and F of the Proposed Change. The use of local roads for access to these sites will be applied for separately in accordance with Condition D83/D84. Sites B, C and G will utilise the Westlink M7 on and off ramps for site access. Site D will utilise access via the entry to Northwest Roads Group (NWRG) Westlink M7 Eastern Creek facility carpark and the SUP.</p> <p>Sites A, E and F will be accessed via the SUP.</p> <p>Expected construction traffic volumes modelled in the M7 EAD (Table 7-7 and Table 7-8 of the Modification Report) reports up to 1,440 traffic movements on major roads located from within the area of the Proposed Change, equating to only up to a 2% increase over existing traffic volumes. As the proposed change will only have an indicative 10 heavy and 30 light vehicle movements per day, per site, (refer to Table 3) and have been captured in the modelling conducted as part of the M7 EAD (Refer to Modification Report Table 7-8),</p> <p>Public transport</p> <p>The Proposed Change itself would not impact on any bus stops or bus routes. Additionally, construction traffic volumes on the road network are not expected to increase from what was assessed in the M7 EAD (Modification Report), therefore additional impacts to public transport travel times are unlikely.</p> <p>As such, impacts to public transport and its users are considered consistent with the M7 EAD.</p> <p>Active transport</p> <p>The Proposed Change will require partial closures of the SUP at Sites A, D, E and F. Closures will be required whilst tracking machinery to and from the worksites under traffic control. These closures are temporary in nature and will only be required whilst vehicles are tracking into position over the SUP. All works conducted will be managed via Work Access Permits (WAP) and Traffic Guidance Scheme (TGS) which will require signage and traffic control to be established prior to and during works. Works conducted onsite will comply with the approved WAP and TGS. Westlink M7 have been consulted regarding the Proposed Change and did not oppose the proposed scope of works. Any damage to the SUP resulting from the Proposed Change will be rectified on completion of works.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for traffic and transport identified in the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p> <p>As previously stated, all works conducted that interface with the SUP will be in accordance with WAPs and TGS approved by NWRG/Customer Journey Planning (CJP), where applicable.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
Biodiversity	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Biodiversity impacts were assessed within a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) included in Appendix H of the Modification Report. The BDAR report was revised in May 2024 and further amended in January 2025 as part of SSI-663 Modification 7 to account for additional land approved for construction. Review of the assessment footprint revealed that all seven sites within the Proposed Change fall outside the assessment footprint as shown in Figure 2 of the BDAR (Rev 9), therefore further investigation was required to assess potential impacts.</p> <p>Section 2.6 of the BDAR assessed impacts to planted native vegetation along the median, which were planted as part of the landscaping plan for the Westlink M7. Given the young age of the non-PCT, the BDAR assessed that none of the trees contain hollows nor did they exhibit any signs of occupation by native fauna species. The BDAR found that as no threatened species were observed, indicated or considered likely to use the planted native vegetation as habitat. As the trees planted on the batters at Sites B and C were planted during the same time period as the median, the findings of the BDAR are considered to be relevant to these trees. This is further supported by the findings of the Biodiversity Assessment in Appendix B.</p> <p>A Biodiversity Assessment was undertaken by East Coast Ecology, on behalf of John Holland, to identify the presence of protected flora and fauna located within the Proposed Change (Appendix B). The assessment categorised all vegetation within the Proposed Change footprint as being either non-native exotic species or planted native species not consistent with the classification of protected NSW PCTs under the EPBC Act except for some vegetation located along the SUP leading to Site F. This vegetation consists of mature trees consistent with PCT 849 of which some of the canopy extends over the SUP. As stated in the assessment report, the SUP has been previously cleared with an asphalt track laid and therefore is void of any ground cover consistent with PCT. Trimming or clearing and PCT canopy trees is not required to track equipment up the SUP and therefore is not subject to acquiring additional credits under CoA D4. All equipment will remain on the SUP during mobilisation and demobilisation and not impact any PCT vegetation.</p> <p>Trees will be impacted at all sites except Site B, which will only require the removal of planted ornamental shrubs. However as stated above, none of the impacted vegetation is identified as PCT. No threatened species were identified within the proposed change footprint in the assessment.</p> <p>Two Weeds of National Significance (WONS) were identified at Site A and E and included Fireweed (<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>) and Prickly Pear (<i>Opuntia</i> spp). Other exotic weed species were widespread throughout all sites including African Lovegrass (<i>Eragrostis curvula</i>), Rhodes grass (<i>Chloris gayana</i>) and Dallis grass (<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>).</p> <p>Based on the above, no impacts to PCT or Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC) are anticipated as a result of the Proposed Change. No offsets are required to undertake the scope of works proposed in the Proposed Change.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for biodiversity identified in Chapter 7.6.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<p>Trees removed which meet the definition within the CoA will be added to the projects tree register in accordance with Condition D11 and replaced in accordance with D12.</p> <p>The mitigation measures and vegetation clearing procedure included within the Flora Fauna Management Plan will be implemented during the Proposed Change.</p> <p>Clearing will be undertaken in accordance with an Environmental Work Method Statement (EWMS) in accordance with D&C G36 Section 3.2.4. A hold point will be submitted in accordance with D&C G40 Section 2.4.2.</p>
Air quality	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Air quality impacts associated with the Proposed Change would be minor. Activities associated with the Proposed Change that would be likely to affect air quality include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ground disturbance causing dust emissions • Mud tracking from vehicles causing dust emissions • Operation of plant and equipment resulting in particulate emissions. <p>This is consistent with activities and potential impacts identified and assessed in Appendix F of the Modification Report. Therefore, given the minimal additional land to be disturbed compared with the project footprint, and limited construction plant and vehicles to be operated to facilitate the Proposed Change, there are no additional air quality impacts associated with the Proposed Change over and above those identified in the M7 EAD.</p> <p>As such, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for air quality identified in Chapter 7.3.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Hydrology and flooding	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>None of the sites are located in close proximity to a watercourse and the Proposed Change is not envisaged to impact on pre-construction flood levels detailed within Appendix G of the Modification Report and can be managed through the installation of ERS&D controls. Therefore, the Proposed Change is considered consistent with the M7 EAD.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for hydrology and flooding identified in Chapter 7.4.6 of the Modification report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
Soils and contamination	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Appendix L of the Modification Report identifies areas of moderate to high risk of contamination and an assessment of salinity and acid sulfate soils (ASS) within a 200-meter buffer zone around the Project Footprint. As the Proposed Change falls within this buffer zone, it has been included within the assessment footprint of the Modification Report. The assessment identified several locations that were a moderate to high risk of contamination and indicated that the project predominantly consists of naturally very saline soils, but with low risk of ASS.</p> <p>In regard to the Proposed Change, the following was identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site A falls outside areas of moderate to high risk of contamination therefore a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) is not required. A facility that is licenced to create ceramic waste is located approximately 15 meters East of Site A. • Site B abuts against an area subject to the existing cut/fill of the Westlink M7. This was considered a low risk of contamination and therefore a DSI is not required. • Site C is partially within an area that is subject to landfill and waste management. The location of piling and sign construction falls outside this land however construction vehicles will need to traverse through this land. Should an access track need to be established in this area, a DSI will be performed before any ground penetrating activities occur. • Site D falls outside areas of moderate to high risk of contamination therefore a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) is not required. • Site E falls outside areas of moderate to high risk of contamination therefore a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) is not required. A filled dam was identified approximately 15 meters south of Site E. • Site F falls outside areas of moderate to high risk of contamination therefore a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) is not required. • Site G falls outside areas of moderate to high risk of contamination therefore a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) is not required. <p>The impact associated with the Proposed Change is consistent with the M7 EAD on the basis that no additional areas of contamination were identified outside of those already identified within the Modification Report. The impact associated with contamination will be managed by the implementation of the unexpected finds protocol within the approved Construction Contaminated Lands Management Plan. Should an access track need to be established at Site C, a DSI will be performed before any ground penetrating activities occur to appropriately quantify the risk of contamination</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for soils and contamination identified in Chapter 7.11.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p> <p>Should an access track need to be established at Site C, a DSI will be performed before any ground penetrating activities occur</p> <p>Unexpected finds protocol to be implemented during construction activities.</p>
Surface water and groundwater	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>The Proposed Change falls entirely within the Eastern Creek Catchment assessed within Section 7 of the Modification Report. Surface water flow is predominantly in a northerly direction through a series of tributaries to Eastern Creek, including Angus Creek and Reedy Creek. Several</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<p>groundwater dependant ecosystems were identified within the Modification Report however, none are in the direct vicinity of the Proposed Change. The existing water quality in the catchment is described as being 'heavily impacted' due to its urban and industrial setting.</p> <p>Although the Proposed Change does not directly intersect any waterways, the proposed access at Site C will pass close to the north of Water Quality Basin B18.05 however it is unlikely that there will be any impacts as long as appropriate mitigation measures are implemented. It appears that the remainder of sites do not require any alterations to the existing drainage network. Impacts at these sites will be managed via the installation of erosion sediment controls in accordance with an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP).</p> <p>The Proposed Change requires piling to be undertaken to rock, approximately 3.6-6 meters below ground level (mbgl). Although there is a shallow unconfined aquifer, it resides in fill soils and natural clays which do not yield significant volumes of water. Appendix G, H and L of the Modification Report assessed the potential impact that the M7 modification on groundwater conditions and dependent ecosystems during construction. It was identified that groundwater may be impacted if construction activities intersect with the groundwater, and/or where construction impacts on the surface water regimes hydraulically connected to shallow groundwater. Should groundwater be intersected during piling, it will be captured onsite in intermediate bulk containers (IBCs) and/or vacuum trucks and disposed offsite to an appropriately licenced facility.</p> <p>Potential impacts to surface water and groundwater are temporary and can be managed through the implementation of erosion sediment controls. Therefore, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for surface water and groundwater identified in Section 7.5.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Noise and vibration	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>The installation of road signage including gantry signs was assessed in the scope of the finishing works construction package discussed in Chapter 4 of the Modification Report. The Noise and Vibration Technical Report included in Appendix E of the Modification Report assessed noise and vibration impacts associated with the finishing works scope. Noting that the modelled scenario for 'road furniture installation' only includes equipment required to install signage, the remaining scope required under the Proposed Change is comparable to site establishment and enabling works and earthworks components modelled in Appendix E of the Modification Report.</p> <p>The Proposed Change is situated adjacent to Noise Catchment Areas (NCA) 21, 22 and 31. The modelled scenarios for Site Establishment, Earthworks and Finishing Works within the M7 EAD identified several exceedances of the nominated noise management limits (NML).</p> <p>The Proposed Change is modelled within the project-specific Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statement (CNVIS). Given the close proximity of the Proposed Change to the boundary of the project, the modelling within the CNVIS assessed as being appropriate to assess impacts relating to this Consistency Assessment and mitigation will be implemented in accordance with Figure 9.1 of the CNVIS</p> <p>In comparison with the impacts of the Proposed Change modelled in the CNVIS against the Noise and Vibration Technical Report contained within Appendix E of the Modification Report, the following was determined:</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The activities included as part of the Proposed Change are consistent with activities modelled in the Modification Report. - The proposed works at Sites A, B, C, D and G are not likely to cause any noise or vibration impacts to any sensitive receivers and are therefore consistent with the Modification Report. - Although impacts are predicted to potentially exceed the highly noise affected threshold for works proposed at Sites E and F, the level of impact is consistent with that assessed in the EAD for the finishing works scope given the proximity of residents to the Westlink M7. Undertaking the Proposed Works at Site E and F does not lead to additional impacts above what was anticipated in the Modification Report and is therefore considered to be consistent. <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for noise and vibration identified in Chapter 7.2.6 are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p> <p>Additional Mitigation Measures will be implemented in accordance with the Project CNVIS.</p>
Aboriginal heritage	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Appendix I of the Modification Report contains an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Stage 2 PACHCI Archaeological Report (hereby referred to as the Archaeological Report). This report contains a list of registered AHIMS sites within a 500m buffer zone of the project footprint. The Proposed Change is located within the assessment area of the Archaeological Report. The Archaeological Report did not identify any AHIMS within 100m of Sites A, B, D and G of the Proposed Change and therefore impacts to Aboriginal Heritage are expected to be negligible in these locations.</p> <p>Site C is located approximately 30 m south of AHIMS site ref.45-5-2797. Notwithstanding, this archaeological site is located beneath the M7 southbound lane and it is therefore not considered that the Proposed Change will impact the AHIMS site.</p> <p>Site E is located approximately 45 m north of two AHIMS sites (ref 45-5-2322 and 45-5-2379) and with access being undertaken via the existing SUP and Woodley Crescent, the Proposed Change will not impact the AHIMS in this location.</p> <p>Site F is located 45 m northeast of AHIMS site ref 45-5-3551 and 65 m east of AHIMS red 45-5-2804. Neither of these AHIMS site will be disturbed by access or works associated with the Proposed Change in this location.</p> <p>Site G is located 25 m west of AHIMS site 45-5-2851. Notwithstanding, this archaeological site is located outside the Westlink M7 Corridor on land that is not subject to the Proposed Change and it is therefore not considered that the Proposed Change will impact the AHIMS site.</p> <p>To confirm that no new AHIMS sites have been identified since the development of the Archaeological Report, a review of the AHIMS database was undertaken and results are attached as Appendix C. No additional AHIMS were identified in the searches. The Proposed Change would be limited to areas that are expected to have been subject to substantial ground disturbance associated with the construction of the Westlink M7. Therefore, it is assessed that there is generally nil potential for subsurface Aboriginal objects to be present. As a result, it is concluded that no known and valid Aboriginal sites would be impacted by the proposed works (in accordance with CoA D32), and it is not expected that potential subsurface objects would be present.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<p>The Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the M7 EAD.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for Aboriginal heritage identified in Chapter 7.7.6 are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Non-Aboriginal heritage	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Appendix J of the Modification Report contains the Non-Aboriginal Heritage Impact Assessment which assesses potential impacts of the Project on non-aboriginal heritage items. The Proposed Change falls within the study area in the report.</p> <p>Built heritage</p> <p>A search of relevant statutory heritage registers, the Westlink M7 Widening Environmental Assessment Documentation, and the M7-M12 Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CCHMP) has not identified any listed heritage items within 100 metres of the proposed works. As a result, the Proposed Change would not cause any impacts to heritage items.</p> <p>Non-Aboriginal archaeology</p> <p>A review of the M7 EAD, including the Non-Aboriginal Heritage Impact Assessment Report in Appendix J of the Modification Report, has not identified any known areas of non-Aboriginal archaeological potential in the location of the proposed works. In addition, the proposed works would be located within and immediately adjacent to the existing road corridor, and it is expected that the construction of this would have heavily disturbed the area.</p> <p>As a result, it is assessed that there is generally no potential for significant non-Aboriginal archaeological remains to be present, and it is not expected that the proposed works would cause any impacts to significant archaeological remains.</p> <p>As such, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for non-Aboriginal heritage identified in Chapter 7.8.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Landscape character and visual amenity	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Landscape character and visual impacts associated with the proposed change would be temporary and viewpoints are the same as those described in the M7 EAD.</p> <p>The additional area associated with the proposed change would be located within an existing road corridor or adjacent to the approved construction footprint of the approved project. The landscape character and visual amenity impacts detailed in Appendix K of the Modification Report remain consistent for the Proposed Change. These impacts include:</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vegetation clearing • Earthworks and associated dust dispersal • Stockpiling of materials • Presence of temporary structures <p>Removal of vegetation, site establishment, earthworks/piling and sign installation would be noticeable to visual receptors during the construction phase and would impact landscape character and visual amenity due to change in canopy cover and installation of new permanent above-ground infrastructure.</p> <p>Six existing gantry sign structures are to be removed as part of the Proposed Change, from all sites except D, where there is currently only footings and no sign, and these are to be replaced with like for like signage in close proximity. The existing signs cannot be retained as the footings conflict with the pavement design, which will be expanded to accommodate the additional traffic lanes. Replacement of the existing signage would result in a similar or reduced visual impact attributed to the compared with the existing condition.</p> <p>Clearing and tree planting (i.e. final trees to be removed and planted) will be finalised during detailed design, as discussed in Section 7.10 of the Modification Report. Impacts from vegetation clearance will be minimised as much as practically possible by limiting clearing to only vegetation necessary for the construction access and to facilitate the new gantry/ removal of existing footing. Earthworks, stockpiling and temporary structures would be short term in nature and confined to the construction period for the Proposed Change only. Construction impacts associated with the Proposed Change are similar to those considered in the Modification Report.</p> <p>The Modification Report considers signage installation within the finishing works scope. The Proposed Change is located within Landscape Character Zone (LCZ) 1a (Transport Corridor) as defined in the Modification Report. Given the Proposed Change includes infrastructure consistent with a road corridor, it is deemed consistent with the general landscape character. The landscape character and visual amenity impacts associated with the proposed change would be minor in magnitude when compared to the approved project.</p> <p>The following design objectives and principles outlined in Appendix K of the Modification Report are applicable to the Proposed Change:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety barriers, signage, gantries and other road furniture components such as lighting to ensure the maintenance of the visual simplicity and coordination of the original design. <p>Given the Proposed Change is for the construction of gantry signs, the Proposed Change is consistent with Appendix K of the Modification Report.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for landscape character and visual amenity identified in Chapter 7.10.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Land use and property	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Areas outside of the construction footprint assessed in the Modification Report are required for the Proposed Change.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<p>The Proposed Change would be located within the operational M7 corridor, and within the same land use zones that have been assessed in the Modification Report. All construction activities that would occur as part of the Proposed Change have been considered and assessed in the EAD. Therefore, there would be no additional impacts to land use as a result of the Proposed Change.</p> <p>Impacts associated with use of the SUP for access are considered to be minor on the basis that they are temporary in nature and appropriate mitigation measures ie. Traffic control will established to ensure access to the SUP for pedestrians and cyclists is maintained.</p> <p>As such, impacts to land use and property due to the Proposed Change would be consistent with the M7 EAD.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for land use and property identified in Chapter 7.9.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p> <p>Approval under M7 CoA A11 to be sought for the establishment of an MCAF.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
Socio-economic	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Key social impacts that were identified in Chapter 7.12 of the Modification Report for the approved project include how the project may affect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health and wellbeing • People's way of life and livelihoods • Surroundings (including natural values) and culture, including the connection and value place on the land by local Aboriginal communities • Affected communities, including composition, cohesion and people's sense of place • access to and use of infrastructure, local services, and facilities. <p>The proposed change may impact social aspects due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extension of the construction boundary closer to sensitive receivers may increase impacts to affected communities • Lane closures, detours and an increase in construction traffic would decrease road network performance and add traffic volumes to surrounding roads in the social locality • Changes to the shared user path may cause disruptions to SUP users, decrease safety, deter the use of active transport options, and affect movement patterns and accessibility if not managed appropriately. <p>Works requiring full or partial closure of northbound and/or southbound lanes would be undertaken on night shift when traffic volumes are low to minimise impacts to road users and surrounding localities.</p> <p>Impacts to the SUP would be minor, with temporary pedestrian and cyclist management to be undertaken for the construction works associated with the Proposed Change affecting the SUP.</p> <p>As such, the social impact of the proposed change is expected to be minor in comparison to the approved project and consistent with those outlined in the M7 EAD.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Any impacts associated with construction activities occurring in closer proximity to the community such as those associated with health and wellbeing and livelihoods (i.e. air quality impacts and noise and vibration), would be appropriately managed by the mitigation measures outlined in the Modification Report (see relevant environmental assessments in Section 4).</p> <p>Management measures for socio-economic identified in Chapter 7.12.6 are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Waste	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>No new waste types would be generated by the Proposed Change as the equipment and methodology is consistent with the approved project. Where additional volumes of waste are produced by activities related to the Proposed Change (such as piling and vegetation clearing), this</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
	<p>waste would be appropriately disposed of and managed in accordance with the <i>Construction Waste and Resource Management Plan</i> developed for the approved project.</p> <p>The waste impacts that result from the Proposed Change would be minor in nature in comparison to the wider Project. Additional waste related impacts are not expected. As such, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for waste identified in Chapter 7.16.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Sustainability, climate change and greenhouse gas	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>As the Proposed Change would not result in changes to the timing, equipment or methodology of the approved project, relevant sustainability aims and objectives still apply. Key policies, goals and guidelines that have directed the consideration and integration of sustainability into the construction and assessment of the approved project, have similarly directed the Proposed Change.</p> <p>The key climate change risks of concern for the Proposed Change are those related to extreme rainfall and flooding and greenhouse gas emissions. Potential climate change risks associated with the Proposed Change would be minor in nature in comparison to the greater approved project.</p> <p>As such, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for Sustainability, climate change and greenhouse gas identified in Chapters 7.13, 7.14 and 7.15 of the Modification Report. are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>
Hazard and risk	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>Hazard and risk construction impacts include potential impacts on the local population's health and safety, including workplace and environment hazards, road and pedestrian safety, bushfire risk and dangerous good handling risks.</p> <p>As the Proposed Change includes scope consistent with site establishment, earthworks, and finishing works as included in the Modification Report wider construction scope, hazard and risk construction impacts of the Proposed Change would be consistent with the M7 EAD. Additional hazard and risk related impacts are not expected.</p> <p>As such, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for hazard and risk identified in Chapter 7.17.6 are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>

Environmental aspect	Comparative environmental assessment
Cumulative impacts	<p><i>Assessment of potential impacts</i></p> <p>The scale and required for the Proposed Change would not impact upon other projects in the surrounding area. Given the very minor scale of the Proposed Change in the context of the wider project, no increases to cumulative impacts would occur as a result of the Proposed Change.</p> <p>As such, the Proposed Change is considered to be consistent with the approved project.</p> <p><i>Environmental management measures</i></p> <p>Management measures for cumulative impacts identified in Chapter 7.18.6 of the Modification Report are considered appropriate for the Proposed Change.</p>

5. Consistency assessment – the Division 5.2 Approval

5.1 Minister's Conditions of Approval and Environmental management measures

The Proposed Change has been assessed in Appendix A in relation to the relevant conditions of approval and revised environmental management measures for the M7 Widening.

The Proposed Change can be accommodated within the M7 Widening conditions of approval. The Proposed Change is consistent with the environmental management measures incorporated as part of the Division 5.2 Approval.

5.2 Project objectives

The M7 Widening project objectives are to:

- Provide additional capacity on the Westlink M7 to meet future traffic growth, reduce congestion and improve connectivity and reliability
- Avoid and minimise impacts on the road network, the community and environment during construction
- Integrate with the new M12 Motorway, minimising disruption during construction and providing safe and efficient connectivity in the operations phase
- Deliver a design that integrates with and respects the existing urban design and landscape features of the Westlink M7
- Provide a cost effective / affordable solution.

The Proposed Change supports the M7 Widening project objectives by enabling the construction of the road that achieves these project objectives. As such the Proposed Change is consistent with the M7 Widening project objectives.

5.3 Consistency questions – the Division 5.2 Approval

Table 5 presents a set of questions that assist Transport to determine whether the Proposed Change can be considered consistent with the Division 5.2 Approval.

Table 5 Division 5.2 Approval consistency questions

Consistency question	Discussion	Consistent
1 Is the Proposed Change likely to result in changes to the scope and impacts of the project to an extent that would be considered a radical transformation of the project as a whole, as to be, in reality, an entirely new project?	As detailed in Section 2 and assessed in Section 4, the Proposed Change would not result in a significant change to the M7 Widening project. The impacts associated with the Proposed Change are minor in nature and would be managed in accordance with the CEMP and Sub-plans.	Yes
2 Would any conditions of approval need to be amended in light of the change?	The Proposed Change would not impact upon the conditions of approval such that a change would be required. A review of relevant M7 Widening CoA against the Proposed Change is provided in Appendix A.	Yes
3 Would the statement of commitments or environmental management measures need to change?	The Proposed Change would not require the statement of commitments or environmental management measures to be amended. A review of the REMMs against the Proposed Change is provided in Appendix A.	Yes

Consistency question	Discussion	Consistent
4 Would the Proposed Change be 'generally in accordance with' the documents incorporated in Standard Condition A1 (or A2)?	As described in Appendix A, the Proposed Change is considered generally in accordance with the EAD listed in M7 Widening Condition A1.	Yes
5 Would the environmental impacts of the project as a whole be altered by the Proposed Change to the extent that the Proposed Change would not be consistent with the Approval?	As described in Section 4, the environmental impacts associated with the Proposed Change are consistent with the impacts described in the M7 Widening EAD. Management measures detailed in the M7 Widening EAD would be implemented for the Proposed Change.	Yes
6 Considering the project as a whole, would the magnitude of the change be viewed as consistent with the project?	The magnitude of the Proposed Change is minor in comparison to the M7 Widening. The Proposed Change is consistent with the M7 Widening project objectives.	Yes

6. Conclusion

This CA provides a true and fair consistency review of the scope and potential impacts of the Proposed Change compared with the scope and potential environmental impacts of the approved projects.

Based on the CA in this report, the Proposed Change is considered

☒ Consistent with the Division 5.2 Approval

☐ ~~Not consistent with the Division 5.2 Approval. A modification to the project approval must be prepared and submitted for approval by the Minister.~~

☐ ~~A radical transformation of the project and as such a new project should be developed with new and separate planning approvals obtained as necessary.~~

The CEMP and relevant sub-plans will be updated to incorporate the Proposed Change as relevant.

7. Other considerations

7.1 Permits, licenses and other approvals

The Environmental Protection License (EPL) for the M7-M12 Integration project covers the M7 Widening scope of works. Prior to works subject to the Proposed Change commencing, premise maps within the EPL would be amended to display the changes to the construction footprint.

A ROL would be required for works within the road corridor.

8. Certification

Author

This consistency assessment provides a true and fair review of the Proposed Change for the M7-M12 Integration project.

Name	<div></div>	Signature	<div></div>
Position	Senior Environmental Advisor	Date	08/05/2025
Organisation	John Holland		

Transport for NSW

The Proposed Change, subject to the implementation of all the environmental requirements of the project, is consistent with the Division 5.2 Approval.

Name	<div></div>
Signature	<div></div>
Position	Environment and Sustainability Officer
Date	12.05.2025

I have examined the Proposed Change by reference to the Division 5.2 Approval in accordance with Section 5.25(2) of the EP&A Act. I consider that the proposal is consistent with the Division 5.2 Approval.

Name	<div></div>
Signature	<div></div>
Position	TfNSW Senior Manager Environment and Sustainability
Date	12/05/2025
Signature	<div></div>
Position	TfNSW Project Director
Date	13/05/2025

Appendix A - Assessment of consistency with conditions of approval and revised environmental management measures

Table A 1: Consistency against relevant Minister's conditions of approval

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
1A.	<p>The Proponent must carry out Modification 6 in accordance with the terms of this approval (the conditions listed in Condition 1B of Schedule 1, and all Conditions listed in Schedule 2) and generally in accordance with the:</p> <p>(a) Westlink M7 Widening Modification Report prepared by Transport for NSW and dated August 2022; and</p> <p>(b) Westlink M7 Widening Submissions Report prepared by Transport for NSW and dated November 2022.</p>	The Proposed Change, as described in Section 2.1, can be carried out in accordance with Condition 1A.	Yes
1B.	The following Conditions listed in Schedule 1 apply to Modification 6: 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 6, 6A, 26, 44, 45, 46, 67, 90, 96A, 96B, 96C, 96D, 96E, 96F, 96G, 96H, 96I, 96J, 96K, 99A, 99B, 125 (as it applies to operation), 146A, 150, 155 and 221. All other Conditions in Schedule 1 not listed in this Condition do not apply to any Work associated with Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
1C.	<p>In the event of an inconsistency between:</p> <p>(a) the terms of this approval and any document listed in Condition 1 and 1A of Schedule 1 inclusive, the terms of this approval will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency; and</p> <p>(b) any document listed in Condition 1 and 1A of Schedule 1 inclusive, the most recent document will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	Note: For the purpose of this condition, there will be an inconsistency between a term of this approval and any document if it is not possible to comply with both the term and the document.		
1D.	<p>The Proponent must comply with all written requirements or directions of the Planning Secretary, including in relation to:</p> <p>(a) the environmental performance of Modification 6;</p> <p>(b) any document or correspondence in relation to the Modification 6;</p> <p>(c) any notification given to the Planning Secretary under the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(d) any audit of the construction or operation of Modification 6;</p> <p>(e) the terms of this approval and compliance with the terms of this approval (including anything required to be done under this approval);</p> <p>(f) the carrying out of any additional monitoring or mitigation measures; and</p> <p>(g) in respect of ongoing monitoring and management obligations, compliance with an updated or revised version of a guideline, protocol, Australian Standard or policy required to be complied with under this approval.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
1E.	Modification 6 must be carried out in accordance with all procedures, commitments, preventative actions, performance outcomes and mitigation measures set out in the documents listed in Condition 1A unless otherwise specified in, or required under, this approval.	The Proposed Change can be carried out in accordance with all procedures, commitments, preventative actions, performance outcomes and mitigation measures set out in the M7 EAD described in Condition A1.	Yes
67.	Modification 6 must be constructed and operated with the objective of minimising light spillage to surrounding properties. All lighting associated with the construction and operation of Modification 6 must be consistent with the requirements of AS/NZS 4282:2019 Control of the obtrusive effects of outdoor lighting and relevant Australian Standards in the series AS/NZ 1158 – Lighting for Roads and Public Spaces. Additionally, mitigation measures must be provided to manage any residual night lighting impacts to protect properties adjoining or adjacent to the project, in consultation with affected landowners.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
125.	<p>As part of the Construction Method Statements and Operational EMPs, detailed Soil and Water Quality Management Plan(s) shall be prepared in consultation with the EPA, DLWC, NSW Fisheries, relevant Catchment Management Trusts, Sydney Water, Sydney Catchment Authority and relevant Councils. The Plan(s) shall be prepared in accordance with the Department of Housing's guideline Managing Urban Stormwater - Soils and Construction 1998, the RTA's Guidelines for the Control of Erosion and Sedimentation in Roadworks and where appropriate, DLWC's Constructed Wetlands Manual. The Plan(s) shall be prepared prior to substantial construction or operation as appropriate. The Soil and Water Quality Management Plan(s) shall contain, but not be limited to:</p> <p>(a) management of the cumulative impacts of the development on the quality and quantity of surface and groundwater, including stormwater in storage, sedimentation dams and flooding impacts;</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(b) details of short and long term measures to be employed to minimise soil erosion and the discharge of sediment to land and/or waters including the exact locations and capacities of sedimentation basins;</p> <p>(c) identification of all potential sources of water pollution and a detailed description of the remedial action to be taken or management systems to be implemented to minimise emissions of these pollutants from all sources within the subject site;</p> <p>(d) detailed description of water quality monitoring to be undertaken during the preconstruction, construction and operation stages of the Project including base line monitoring, identification of locations where monitoring would be carried out and procedures for analysing the degree of contamination of potentially contaminated water;</p> <p>(e) measures to handle and dispose of stormwater, effluent and contaminated water and soil including incident management structures;</p> <p>(f) a process for the disposal of water from sedimentation basins and constructed wetlands developed in consultation with the EPA;</p> <p>(g) measures for the use of water reclaimed or recycled on-site; and</p> <p>(h) contingency plans to be implemented in the event of fuel spills or turbid water discharge from the site.</p> <p>Note: The Operational Soil and Water Quality Management Sub Plan must be updated to include the outcomes of Condition 146A and 150.</p>		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
146A	Modification 6 must be operated so as to maintain the NSW Water Quality Objectives (ANZG 2018), and where relevant the Performance Criteria for Protecting and Improving the Blue Grid in the Wianamatta – South Creek Catchment (DPIE, 2021), where they are being achieved as at the date of this approval. Modification 6 must also contribute towards achievement of the NSW Water Quality Objectives, and, where relevant, the Performance Criteria for Protecting and Improving the Blue Grid in the Wianamatta – South Creek Catchment, over time where they are not being achieved as at the date of the approval of Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
150	<p>All operational stormwater and wastewater systems of the Project including stormwater drainage, erosion, sedimentation and water pollution control systems and facilities of the Project shall be located, designed, constructed, operated and maintained to meet the requirements of the relevant authorities including the EPA, NSW Fisheries, DLWC, SWC and relevant Councils. All facilities including wetland filters, grass filter strips, gross pollutant traps and sedimentation basins shall be inspected regularly and maintained in a functional condition for the life of the Project.</p> <p>Operational stormwater and wastewater systems impacted by Modification 6 must meet the requirements of DPI Fisheries, DPE Water, Sydney Water and relevant Councils.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
221	The Project carriageways, not including the pedestrian/cycleway, shall be appropriately fenced at all times to prevent access by the general community. Particular attention shall be paid to areas of open space or community land use such as the Western Sydney Parklands (as identified in SEPP (Precincts – Western Parkland City) 2021), including the Western Sydney Regional Park and SIEC. Locked gates shall be provided at suitable locations to allow for emergency	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	service access in consultation with the NSW Police Service, NSW Fire Brigade and State Emergency Services.		
Modification 6 Specific Conditions			
A1	For the purposes of Modification 6, the following conditions apply: (a) the conditions listed in Condition 1B of Schedule 1; and (b) all conditions listed in Schedule 2 of this approval.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
A12	Boundary screening must be erected between construction ancillary facilities (excluding minor ancillary facilities) and adjacent to sensitive land use(s) for the duration of the time that the construction ancillary facility is in use, unless otherwise agreed with the owner and occupier of the adjacent sensitive land use(s) Boundary screening must aim to minimise visual impacts on adjacent sensitive land use(s).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
A20	For the duration of Work until the completion of construction, or as agreed with the Planning Secretary, the approved ER must: (a) receive and respond to communication from the Planning Secretary in relation to the environmental performance of Modification 6; (b) consider and inform the Planning Secretary on matters specified in the terms of this approval;	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(c) consider and recommend to the Proponent any improvements that may be made to work practices to avoid or minimise adverse impact to the environment and to the community;</p> <p>(d) review documents identified in Conditions A2, A6, A9, C1, C4 and C9 and any other documents that are identified by the Planning Secretary, to ensure they are consistent with requirements in or under this approval and if so:</p> <p>(i) make a written statement to this effect before submission of such documents to the Planning Secretary (if those documents are required to be approved by the Planning Secretary); or</p> <p>(ii) make a written statement to this effect before the implementation of such documents (if those documents are required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary / Department for information or are not required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary/Department);</p> <p>(d) Note: The written statement must be made via the Major Projects Portal.</p> <p>(e) regularly monitor the implementation of the documents listed in Conditions A2, A6, A9, C1, C4 and C9 to ensure implementation is being carried out in accordance with the document and the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(f) as may be requested by the Planning Secretary, help plan or attend audits of the development commissioned by the Department including scoping audits, programming audits, briefings and site visits, but not independent environmental audits required under Condition A27 of this approval;</p>		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(g) as may be requested by the Planning Secretary, assist in the resolution of community complaints;</p> <p>(h) view the appropriateness of any activities reliant on the definition of Low Impact Work;</p> <p>(i) consider or assess the impacts of minor ancillary facilities as required by Condition A11;</p> <p>(j) consider any minor amendments to be made to the Construction Ancillary Facility Site Establishment Management Plan, CEMP, CEMP Sub-plans and monitoring programs without increasing impacts to nearby sensitive land uses or that comprise updating or are of an administrative nature, and are consistent with the terms of this approval and the CEMP, CEMP Sub-plans and monitoring programs approved by the Planning Secretary and, if satisfied such amendment is necessary, approve the amendment. This does not include any modifications to the terms of this approval; and</p> <p>(k) prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, an Environmental Representative Monthly Report providing the information set out in the Environmental Representative Protocol under the heading "Environmental Representative Monthly Reports." The Environmental Representative Monthly Report must be submitted within seven days following the end of each month for the duration of the ER's engagement for Modification 6, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.</p>		
A21	The Proponent must provide the ER with documentation requested in order for the ER to perform their functions specified in Condition A20 (including preparation of the ER monthly report), as well as:	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>This report is to be provided to the ER prior to commencement of the Proposed Change.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(a) the complaints register (to be provided on a weekly basis where complaints have been received or as requested); and</p> <p>(b) a copy of any assessment carried out by the Proponent of whether proposed work is consistent with the approval (which must be provided to the ER before the commencement of the subject work).</p>		
A24	<p>The Proponent must cooperate with the AA by:</p> <p>(a) providing access to noise and vibration monitoring activities as they take place;</p> <p>(b) providing for review of noise and vibration plans, assessments, monitoring reports, data and analyses undertaken; and</p> <p>(c) considering any recommendations to improve practices and demonstrating, to the satisfaction of the AA, why any recommendation is not adopted.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
A25	<p>The approved AA must:</p> <p>(a) receive and respond to communication from the Planning Secretary in relation to the performance of Modification 6 in relation to noise and vibration;</p> <p>(b) consider and inform the Planning Secretary on matters specified in the terms of this approval relating to noise and vibration;</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(c) consider and recommend to the Proponent, improvements that may be made to avoid or minimise adverse noise and vibration impacts;</p> <p>(d) review proposed night-time works to determine if sleep disturbance would occur and recommend measures to avoid sleep disturbance or appropriate additional alternative mitigation measures;</p> <p>(e) review noise and vibration documents required to be prepared under the terms of this approval, and should they be consistent with the terms of this approval, endorse them before submission to the Planning Secretary (if required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary) or before implementation (if not required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary);</p> <p>(f) regularly monitor the implementation of all noise and vibration documents required to be prepared under the terms of this approval to ensure implementation is in accordance with what is stated in the document(s) and the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(g) notify the Planning Secretary of noise and vibration incidents in accordance with Conditions A33 and A35 of this approval;</p> <p>(h) in conjunction with the ER, the AA must:</p> <p>(i) as may be requested by the Planning Secretary help plan, attend or undertake audits of noise and vibration management of the CSSI including briefings, and site visits,</p>		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(ii) in the event that conflict arises between the Proponent and the community in relation to the noise and vibration performance of Modification 6, follow the procedure in the Community Communication Strategy approved under Condition B2 to attempt to resolve the conflict, and if it cannot be resolved, notify the Planning Secretary,</p> <p>(iii) consider relevant minor amendments made to the Construction Ancillary Facility Site Establishment Management Plan, CEMP, relevant sub-plans and noise and vibration monitoring programs that require updating or are of an administrative nature, and are consistent with the terms of this approval and the management plans and monitoring programs approved by the Planning Secretary and, if satisfied such amendment is necessary, endorse the amendment, (this does not include any modifications to the terms of this approval),</p> <p>(iv) review the noise impacts of minor construction ancillary facilities, and</p> <p>(v) prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, a Monthly Noise and Vibration Report detailing the AA's actions and decisions on matters for which the AA was responsible in the preceding month. The Monthly Noise and Vibration Report must be submitted within seven days following the end of each month for the duration of the AA's engagement for Modification 6, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.</p>		
A36	The Modification 6 name; application number; telephone number, postal address and email address required under Condition B7 of this approval must be made available on-site boundary fencing / hoarding at each construction ancillary facility before the commencement of construction at that	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	location. This information must also be provided on the website required under Condition B11 of this approval.		
B1	<p>A Communication Strategy must be prepared to provide mechanisms to facilitate communication about construction and operation of Modification 6 with:</p> <p>(a) the community (including adjoining affected landowners and businesses, and others directly impacted by Modification 6); and</p> <p>(b) the relevant councils and relevant agencies.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
B2	<p>The Communication Strategy must:</p> <p>(a) identify people, organisations, councils and agencies to be consulted during the design and work phases of Modification 6;</p> <p>(b) identify details of the community and its demographics;</p> <p>(c) identify timing of consultation;</p> <p>(d) set out procedures and mechanisms for the regular distribution of accessible information including to LOTE and CALD and vulnerable communities about or relevant to Modification 6;</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(e) detail the measures for advising the community in advance of upcoming construction including upcoming out-of-hours work as required by Condition D54;</p> <p>(f) provide for the formation of issue or location-based community forums that focus on key environmental management issues of concern to the relevant community(ies) for Modification 6;</p> <p>(g) set out procedures and mechanisms:</p> <p>(i) through which the community can discuss or provide feedback to the Proponent;</p> <p>(ii) through which the Proponent will respond to enquiries or feedback from the community;</p> <p>(iii) to resolve any issues and mediate any disputes that may arise in relation to the environmental management and delivery of Modification 6, including disputes regarding rectification or compensation;</p> <p>(h) address who will engage with the community, relevant councils and agencies.</p>		
B5	The Communication Strategy, as approved by the Planning Secretary, must be implemented for the duration of Work and for 12 months following the completion of construction.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
B6	A Complaints Management System must be prepared and implemented before the commencement of any Work and maintained for the duration of Work and for a minimum for 12 months following completion of construction of Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	Note: In the situation where there are different entities constructing and operating Modification 6, continuity of access to the Complaints Management System must be maintained.		
B7	The following information must be available to facilitate community enquiries and manage complaints one month before the commencement of Work and for 12 months following the completion of construction:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) a 24-hour telephone number for the registration of complaints and enquiries about Modification 6;		
	(b) a postal address to which written complaints and enquires may be sent;		
	(c) an email address to which electronic complaints and enquiries may be transmitted; and		
	(d) a mediation system for complaints unable to be resolved.		
	This information must be accessible to all in the community regardless of age, ethnicity, disability or literacy level.		
B8	A Complaints Register must be maintained recording information on all complaints received about Modification 6 during the carrying out of any work and for a minimum of 12 months following the completion of construction. The Complaints Register must record the:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) number of complaints received;		
	(b) the date and time of the complaint;		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(c) the method by which the complaint was made;</p> <p>(d) any personal details of the complainant which were provided by the complainant or, if no such details were provided, a note to that effect;</p> <p>(e) nature of the complaint;</p> <p>(f) means by which the complaint was addressed and whether resolution was reached, with or without mediation; and</p> <p>(g) if no action was taken, the reason(s) why no action was taken.</p>		
B9	<p>Complainants must be advised of the following information before, or as soon as practicable after, providing personal information:</p> <p>(a) the Complaints Register may be forwarded to government agencies, including the Department (Department of Planning and Environment, 4 Parramatta Square, 12 Darcy Street, Parramatta NSW 2150), to allow them to undertake their regulatory duties;</p> <p>(b) by providing personal information, the complainant authorises the Proponent to provide that information to government agencies;</p> <p>(c) the supply of personal information by the complainant is voluntary; and</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(d) the complainant has the right to contact government agencies to access personal information held about them and to correct or amend that information (Collection Statement).</p> <p>The Collection Statement must be included on the Proponent or development website to make prospective complainants aware of their rights under the Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998 (NSW). For any complaints made in person, the complainant must be made aware of the Collection Statement.</p> <p>Note: Should a complainant disagree with the Collection Statement, a note to that effect must be recorded in the Complaints Register required by Condition B8.</p>		
B10	The Complaints Register must be provided to the Planning Secretary upon request, within the timeframe stated in the request.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
B11	<p>A website or webpage providing information in relation to Modification 6 must be established before commencement of Work and be maintained for the duration of construction, and for a minimum of 24 months following the completion of construction. The following up-to-date information (excluding confidential, private, commercial information or any other information that the Planning Secretary has approved to be excluded) must be published before the relevant work commences and maintained on the website or dedicated pages including:</p> <p>(a) information on the current implementation status of Modification 6;</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(b) a copy of the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1, and any documentation relating to any modifications made to the approved project or the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(c) a copy of this approval in its original form, a current consolidated copy of this approval (that is, including any approved modifications to its terms), and copies of any approval granted by the Minister to a modification of the terms of this approval;</p> <p>(d) a copy of each statutory approval, licence or permit required and obtained in relation to Modification 6;</p> <p>(e) a copy of the final version of each document required under the terms of this approval; and (f) a copy of the audit reports required under this approval.</p> <p>Where the information / document relates to a particular work or is required to be implemented, it must be published before the commencement of the relevant work to which it relates or before its implementation.</p> <p>All information required in this condition must be provided on the Proponent's website, ordered in a logical sequence and which is easy to navigate.</p> <p>Note: The intention of this condition is to increase transparency and for information/documents required as part of the approval to be provided proactively and publicly in an easily accessible manner. Where information is excepted by this condition, it is intended that these documents are provided in their redacted form.</p>		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
C1	A Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) must be prepared having regard to the Environmental Management Plan Guideline for Infrastructure Projects (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, 2020).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
C2	<p>The CEMP must provide:</p> <p>(a) a description of activities to be undertaken during construction (including the scheduling of construction);</p> <p>(b) details of environmental and social policies, guidelines and principles to be followed in the construction of Modification 6;</p> <p>(c) a program for ongoing analysis of the key environmental risks arising from the activities described in subsection (a) of this condition, including an initial risk assessment undertaken before the commencement of construction of Modification 6;</p> <p>(d) details of how the activities described in subsection (a) of this condition will be carried out to:</p> <p>(i) meet the performance outcomes stated in the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1, and as required by this approval; and</p> <p>(ii) manage the risks identified in the risk analysis undertaken in subsection (c) of this condition;</p> <p>(e) an inspection program detailing the activities to be inspected and frequency of inspections;</p> <p>(f) a protocol for managing and reporting any:</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	(i) incidents; and		
	(ii) non-compliances with this approval or statutory requirements;		
	(g) procedures for rectifying any non-compliance with this approval identified during compliance auditing, incident management or at any time during construction;		
	(h) a list of all the CEMP Sub-plans required in respect of construction, as set out in Condition C4. Where staged construction of Modification 6 is proposed, the CEMP must also identify which CEMP Sub-plan applies to each of the proposed stages of construction;		
	(i) an organisational chart including description of the roles and environmental responsibilities for relevant employees and any independent appointments;		
	(j) a Worker Code of Conduct for employees, contractors and subcontractors;		
	(k) for training and induction for employees, including contractors and sub-contractors, in relation to environmental and compliance obligations under the terms of this approval; and		
	(l) for periodic review and update of the CEMP and all associated plans and programs		
	Note: CEMP(s) may reflect the construction of the project through geographical activities, temporal activities or activity based staging.		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
C3	The CEMP (and relevant CEMP sub-plans) must be endorsed by the ER and then submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval no later than one month before the commencement of construction, or where construction is staged, no later than one month before the commencement of each stage.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
C4	<p>The following CEMP Sub-plans must be prepared in consultation with the relevant government agencies identified for each CEMP Sub-plan. Details of all information requested by an agency during consultation must be provided to the Planning Secretary as part of any submission of the relevant CEMP Sub-plan, including copies of all correspondence from those agencies as required by Condition 1H of Schedule 1.</p> <p>Table 2: CEMP Sub-plans</p> <p>(a) Traffic and Transport - Relevant council(s)</p> <p>(b) Noise and Vibration - WaterNSW and relevant council(s)</p> <p>(c) Flora and Fauna - DPI Fisheries and relevant council(s)</p> <p>(d) Soil and Water - DPE Water, WaterNSW and relevant council(s)</p> <p>(e) Heritage - NSW Heritage and Water NSW</p> <p>Note: CEMP Sub-plan(s) may reflect the construction of the project through geographical activities, temporal activities or activity based staging.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>CEMP Sensitive Area Plans would be updated as required to reflect the Proposed Change as part of the next periodic review.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
C7	The CEMP(s) and CEMP Sub-plans as approved, including any minor amendments approved by the ER, must be implemented for the duration of construction.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
C8	<p>The Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) must be prepared in accordance with the principles and requirements in Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction, Volume 1 (Landcom, 2004) and Volume 2D (DECC, 2008) and be prepared by a suitably qualified person either certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme.</p> <p>The SWMP must include, but not be limited to:</p> <p>(a) the erosion and sediment control measures for areas of low contamination risk;</p> <p>(b) the erosion and sediment control measures for areas of moderate to high contamination risk;</p> <p>(c) adopted assessment criteria for proposed discharges, and how these would be measured;</p> <p>(d) a surface water monitoring program for areas of moderate to high contamination risk for contaminants of potential concern (CoPC), and</p> <p>(e) a dewatering management plan (DMP), that identifies and manages water contamination risks and identifies licensed waste facilities where contaminated groundwater will be disposed.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	Note: If a NSW EPA accredited Site Auditor is required under Condition D68, the contamination aspects of the SWMP may need to be updated following any potential advice from the Site Auditor regarding the appropriateness of management measures.		
C13	<u>The CMP(s), as approved, including any minor amendments approved by the ER, must be implemented for the duration of construction and for any longer period set out in the monitoring program or specified by the Planning Secretary, whichever is the greater.</u>	<u>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</u>	<u>Yes</u>
D1	In addition to the performance outcomes, commitments and mitigation measures specified in the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1, all practicable measures must be implemented to minimise and manage the emission of dust and other air pollutants (including odours) during the construction of Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D2	The clearing of native vegetation must be minimised with the objective of reducing impacts to threatened ecological communities and threatened species habitat.	The Proposed Change would not clear any TEC or threatened species habitat. Refer to Ecological assessment attached in Appendix B.	Yes
D4	<p>Prior to impacts on the biodiversity values set out in Table 4 and 5, the number and classes of ecosystem credits and species credits (like-for-like) must be retired.</p> <p>Table 4: Ecosystem Credits. Refer to pg.28 of CoA</p> <p>Table 5: Species Credits required. Refer to pg.28 of CoA</p> <p>Note: Credits have been calculated using the Biodiversity Assessment Method.</p>	The Proposed Change will not impact upon compliance with this condition. No additional clearing or biodiversity offsets are required for the Proposed Change.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D5	The requirement to retire like-for-like ecosystem credits and species credits in Condition D4 may be satisfied by payment to the Biodiversity Conservation Fund of an amount equivalent to the number and classes of ecosystem credits and species credits.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D6	Where evidence of compliance with the <i>Ancillary rules: Reasonable steps to seek like-for-like biodiversity credits for the purpose of applying the variation rules</i> has been provided to the Planning Secretary, variation rules may be applied to retire the relevant ecosystem credits and species credits as set out in the BAM Biodiversity Credit Report (Variation).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D7	<p>Prior to any works, additional field surveys for Southern Myotis must be undertaken. The surveys must confirm whether Southern Myotis are identified as using the construction footprint for breeding, roosting and/or foraging purposes. The survey results must be used to inform the preparation of the Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan required by Condition C4(c) and the Microbat Management Plan proposed in the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1.</p> <p>Note: If additional impacts to the Southern Myotis are required to be offset above that required in Table 5, an updated BDAR must be prepared and Table 5 modified.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D8	Evidence of the retirement of credits in satisfaction of Condition D4 or payment to the Biodiversity Conservation Fund in satisfaction of Condition D5 must be provided to the Planning Secretary prior to impacts on the relevant biodiversity values.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D10	<p>Prior to vegetation clearing, the Proponent must identify where it is practicable for Modification 6 to reuse native trees and vegetation that are to be removed. If it is not possible for Modification 6 to reuse removed native trees and vegetation, the Proponent must consult with one or more of the following; the relevant council(s), NSW National Parks & Wildlife Service, Western Sydney Parklands Trust, Greater Sydney Local Land Services, Landcare groups, DPI Fisheries and any additional relevant government agencies. This consultation should determine if:</p> <p>(a) hollows, tree trunks (greater than 25-30 centimetres in diameter and 2-3 metres in length), mulch, bush rock and root balls salvaged from native vegetation impacted by Modification 6; and</p> <p>(b) collected plant material, seeds and/or propagated plants from native vegetation impacted by Modification 6, could be used by others in habitat enhancement and rehabilitation work, before pursuing other disposal options.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	Yes
D11	<p>Revegetation and the provision of replacement trees must be informed by a Tree Survey undertaken during detailed design. The Tree Survey must identify the number, type and location of any trees to be removed, except for trees that are offset under Condition D4. The Tree Survey must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information with the Design and Landscape Plan required under Condition D19.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>All mature trees impacted by the Proposed Change within the sites will be added to the project's tree register.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D12	<p>Where trees are to be removed, the Proponent must provide a net increase in the number of replacement trees at a ratio of 2:1, except trees that are offset under Condition D4. Replacement trees must have a minimum pot size consistent with the relevant authority's plans / programs / strategies for vegetation management, street planting, or open space landscaping, or as agreed by the relevant authority(ies). Replacement trees and plantings must deliver an increase in tree canopy and aim to enhance the relevant council's position in respect of the Sydney Green Grid, unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.</p> <p>Note: For the purposes of this condition, the relevant authority is that State or local government authority that owns or manages the land on which the replacement trees will be planted.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Trees to be removed would be replaced in accordance with this condition.</p>	Yes
D13	Modification 6 must be constructed in a manner that minimises visual impacts of construction ancillary facilities, including providing screening of ancillary facilities, minimising light spill, and incorporating finishes within key elements of temporary structures that reflect the context within which the construction sites are located, including recognition of Country.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D14	<p>The design and landscape outcomes of Modification 6 must:</p> <p>(a) be informed by and be consistent with Appendix K of the Modification Report, including but not limited to the objectives and design principles, requirements, and opportunities;</p> <p>(b) be prepared in consultation with the community (including the affected landowners and businesses or a representative of the businesses), LALCs, the stakeholders identified in Appendix E of the Submissions Report (if interest is expressed in further consultation) and relevant council(s);</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	(c) have consideration of Designing with Country and the principles and objectives of the draft Connecting with Country Framework; and	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	<p>(d) be informed by a design review process undertaken by a Design Review Panel (DRP) including Transport's Urban Design, Roads and Waterways Group and an independent member from the NSW State Design Review Panel Pool nominated by the NSW Government Architect (GANSW). The DRP's review and recommendations must focus on the following components:</p> <p>(i) the interchanges with the M4 and M12 (including artwork installations);</p> <p>(ii) the consistency of upgraded, modified and new noise barriers with the existing design; and</p> <p>(iii) maximising the aesthetic consistency of the proposed bridge upgrades with the existing bridge structures.</p> <p>Note: As part of the design review process, members of the M12 DRP can be involved to ensure consistency of design between the M12 and Modification 6 are achieved.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D19	A Design and Landscape Plan (DLP) must be prepared to document and illustrate the permanent built works and landscape design of Modification 6 and how these works are to be maintained. The DLP must inform the final design of the modification and give effect to the outcomes and commitments documented in Condition 1A of Schedule 1. The Plan does not apply to work, which for technical, engineering, or ecological requirements, or other requirements as agreed by the Planning Secretary, do not allow for alternative design outcomes.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D20	<p>The DLP must be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person(s) in urban and landscape design; (b) prepared in consultation with relevant councils and the community, including affected landowners and businesses (c) submitted to the Planning Secretary for information no later than one month before the construction of permanent built surface works and/or landscaping in the area to which the DLP applies; and (d) implemented during construction of Modification 6 and operation of the modified project. <p>Note: The DLP may be developed and considered in stages to facilitate design progression and construction. Any such staging and associated approval would need to facilitate a cohesive final design and not limit final design outcomes</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
D21	<p>The DLP must document how the following matters have been considered in the design and landscaping of the project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the requirements of Conditions D14 to D18; (b) demonstrated integration of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design principles; (c) Designing with Country and the principles and objectives of the draft Connecting with Country Framework; and (d) advice and recommendations arising from the DRP required by Condition D14(d). In relation to any matter that is not consistent with the DRP's advice and recommendations resulting from Condition D14(d), evidence of how the design provides an equivalent or superior quality design or landscaping outcome must be provided. 	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D22	<p>The DLP must include, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the design of the permanent built elements of Modification 6 including their form, materials and detail, with a focus on high quality bridge design, and integrated art; (b) the design of the project landform and landscaping elements (including visual screening); (c) details of strategies to rehabilitate, regenerate or revegetate disturbed areas with local native species; (d) details of how Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal heritage interpretation and public art are incorporated within the design of built features (such as noise barriers, signage, artwork and landscaping); (e) details of how impacted plant community types with significant Aboriginal cultural values at Maxwell's Creek would be replanted with indigenous plantings; (f) developed visualisations, cross sections and plans showing the proposed design outcome; and (g) management and routine maintenance standards and regimes for design elements and landscaping work (including adequate watering of plants following planting depending on forecast weather conditions and weed management) to ensure the success of the design and landscape outcomes, as detailed in the Vegetation Management Plan, required by Condition D24. 	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	Yes
D23	<p>Unless otherwise agreed with the Planning Secretary, construction of permanent built work or landscaping that are the subject of the DLP must not be commenced (in the area to which the DLP applies) until the DLP has been received by the Planning Secretary.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D24	<p>A Vegetation Management Plan (VMP) must be prepared by a qualified ecologist to inform revegetation of creek-side vegetation (including all areas of River Flat Eucalyptus Forest identified for rehabilitation in the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1), and must be included as part of the DLP. The VMP must include:</p> <p>(a) the identification of proposed Plant Community Types (PCT) and the local provenance native species representative of the PCTs present, to be planted in the locations of disturbance, including those required by Condition D9;</p> <p>(b) site specific plans and rehabilitation measures for each area to be rehabilitated; and</p> <p>(c) specific measures to address weed management, erosion and sediment control/bank stabilization, rubbish removal and habitat supplementation.</p> <p>The VMP must be prepared in consultation with a qualified bushland regenerator.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D28	Measures identified in the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1 to minimise the impact of Modification 6 on flood behaviour, must be incorporated into the detailed design of Modification 6. The incorporation of these measures into the detailed design must be reviewed and endorsed by a suitably qualified flood consultant, who is independent of the project's design and construction, in consultation with directly affected landowners, DPE Water, DPI Fisheries, Environment and Heritage Group, NSW State Emergency Service (SES) and relevant Councils.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D30	Unless otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary, Modification 6 must be built to limit impacts on flooding characteristics in areas outside the project boundary during any flood event up to and including the 1% AEP flood event, to the following:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) a maximum increase in inundation time of one hour;		
	(b) a maximum increase of 10 mm in above-floor inundation to habitable rooms where floor levels are currently exceeded;		
	(c) no above-floor inundation of habitable rooms which are currently not inundated;		
	(d) a maximum increase of 50 mm in inundation of land zoned as residential, industrial or commercial;		
	(e) a maximum increase of 100 mm in inundation of land zoned as rural, primary production, environment zone or public recreation;		
	(f) no significant increase in the flood hazard or risk to life; and		
	(g) maximum relative increase in velocity of 10%, where the resulting velocity is greater than 1.0 m/s, unless adequate scour protection measures are implemented and/or the velocity increases do not exacerbate erosion as demonstrated through site-specific risk of scour or geomorphological assessments.		
	Where the requirements set out in clauses (d), (e) and (g) cannot be met alternative flood levels or mitigation measures may be agreed to with the affected landowner.		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	In the event that the Proponent and the affected landowner cannot agree on the measures to mitigate the impact as described in clauses (d), (e) and (g), the Proponent must engage a suitably qualified and experienced independent person to advise and assist in determining the impact and relevant mitigation measures.		
D32	All reasonable steps must be taken so as not to harm, modify or otherwise impact Aboriginal objects.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>No known Aboriginal sites would be impacted by the proposed works, and it is not expected that potential subsurface objects would be present given the disturbed nature of site.</p> <p>As the known Aboriginal sites are not adjacent to the construction footprint, the passive avoidance management strategy outlined in the CCHMP would be sufficient.</p>	Yes
D33	The LALCs and the stakeholders identified in Appendix E of the Submissions Report (if interest is expressed in further consultation) must be kept regularly informed about Modification 6. The LALCs must continue to be provided with the opportunity to be consulted about the Aboriginal cultural heritage management requirements of Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D34	Where previously unidentified Aboriginal objects are discovered, all work must immediately stop in the vicinity of the affected area. Works potentially affecting the previously unidentified objects and places must not recommence until Heritage NSW has been informed. The measures to consider and manage this process must be specified in the Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure required by Condition D35 and include registration in the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS).	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>The Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure has been prepared under the Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan and will be implemented during construction of the Proposed.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D35	An Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure must be prepared to manage unexpected heritage finds (including maritime discoveries) in accordance with any guidelines and standards prepared by Heritage NSW and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information before the commencement of Work. The procedure must be included in the Heritage CEMP Plan required by Condition C4.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>The Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure has been prepared under the Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan and will be implemented during construction of the Proposed Change</p>	Yes
D36	<p>The Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure, as submitted to the Planning Secretary, must be implemented for the duration of Work.</p> <p>Where archaeological investigations have been undertaken as a result of Unexpected Finds notifications then a Final Archaeological Report must be provided in accordance with Heritage Council guidance and standard requirements for final reporting under Excavation Permits.</p> <p>Note: Human remains that are found unexpectedly during the carrying out of work may be under the jurisdiction of the NSW State Coroner and must be reported to the NSW Police immediately.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>The Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains Procedure has been prepared under the Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan and will be implemented during construction of the Proposed Change</p>	Yes
D38	<p>Work must be undertaken during the following hours:</p> <p>(a) 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Mondays to Fridays, inclusive;</p> <p>(b) 8:00 am to 6:00 pm Saturdays; and</p> <p>(c) at no time on Sundays or public holidays.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D39	Except as permitted by an EPL, highly noise intensive works that result in an exceedance of the applicable NML at the same receiver must only be undertaken:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) between the hours of 8:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday to Friday;		
	(b) between the hours of 8:00 am to 1:00 pm Saturday; and		
	(c) if continuously, then not exceeding three (3) hours, with a minimum cessation of work of not less than one hour.		
	For the purposes of this condition, 'continuously' includes any period during which there is less than one hour between ceasing and recommencing any of the work.		
D40	Notwithstanding Conditions D38 and D39 work may be undertaken outside the hours specified in the following circumstances (a, b or c):	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) Safety and Emergencies, including:	Out of hours work required for the Proposed Change would obtain the necessary approvals.	
	(i) for the delivery of materials required by the NSW Police Force or other authority for safety reasons; or		
	(ii) where it is required in an emergency to avoid injury or the loss of life, to avoid damage or loss of property or to prevent environmental harm.		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>On becoming aware of the need for emergency work in accordance with Condition D40(a), the AA, the ER, the Planning Secretary and the EPA must be notified of the reasons for such work. Best endeavors must be used to notify all noise and/or vibration affected residents and owners/occupiers of properties identified sensitive land use(s) of the likely impact and duration of those work.</p> <p>(b) Work that meets any of the following criteria:</p> <p>(i) Work that causes LAeq(15 minute) noise levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no more than 5 dB(A) above the rating background level at any residence in accordance with the ICNG, and • no more than the 'Noise affected' NMLs specified in Table 3 of the ICNG at other sensitive land use(s); or <p>(ii) LAFmax(15 minute) noise levels no more than 15 dB(A) above the rating background level at any residence during the night time period; and; (iii) Work that causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • continuous or impulsive vibration values, measured at the most affected residence are no more than the preferred values for human exposure to vibration, specified in Table 2.2 of Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006), or • intermittent vibration values measured at the most affected residence are no more than the preferred values for human exposure to vibration, specified in Table 2.4 of Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006). <p>(c) By Approval, including:</p> <p>(i) where different construction hours are permitted or required under an EPL in force in respect of Modification 6; or</p>		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(ii) works which are not subject to an EPL that are approved under an Out-of-Hours Work Protocol as required by Condition D41; or</p> <p>(iii) negotiated agreements with directly affected residents and sensitive land use(s).</p>		
D41	<p>An Out-of-Hours Work Protocol must be prepared to identify a process for the consideration, management and approval of work which is outside the hours defined in Condition D38, and that are not subject to an EPL. The Protocol must be prepared in consultation with the ER and AA. The Protocol must include:</p> <p>(a) identification of low and high-risk activities and an approval process that considers the risk of activities, proposed mitigation, management, and coordination, including where:</p> <p>(i) the ER and AA review all proposed out-of-hours activities and confirm their risk levels,</p> <p>(ii) low risk activities can be approved by the ER in consultation with the AA, and</p> <p>(iii) high risk activities that are approved by the Planning Secretary;</p> <p>(b) a process for the consideration of out-of-hours work against the relevant NML and vibration criteria;</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(c) a process for selecting and implementing mitigation measures for residual impacts in consultation with the community at each affected location, including respite periods consistent with the requirements of Condition D60. The measures must take into account the predicted noise levels and the likely frequency and duration of the out-of-hours works that sensitive land use(s) would be exposed to, including the number of noise awakening events;</p> <p>(d) procedures to facilitate the coordination of out-of-hours work including those approved by an EPL or undertaken by a third party, to ensure appropriate respite is provided; and</p> <p>(e) notification arrangements for affected receivers for approved out-of-hours work and notification to the Planning Secretary of approved low risk out-of-hours works.</p> <p>The Protocol must be submitted to and approved by the Planning Secretary before commencement of the out-of-hours work and implemented during Work which is outside the hours defined in Conditions D38 and not subject to an EPL.</p> <p>Adherence to the Protocol does not apply if the requirements of Condition D40(a) or (b) are met.</p> <p>Notes: 1. Conditions D54 and D55 provide additional parameters to be considered.</p> <p>Notes: 2. If the Work is subject to an EPL and the EPA does not endorse extended hours as part of the EPL, the extended hours cannot be considered under this Protocol.</p>		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D42	Mitigation measures must be implemented with the aim of achieving the following construction noise and vibration outcomes:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) construction 'Noise affected' NMLs established using the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009);		
	(b) vibration criteria established using the Assessing vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006) (for human exposure);		
	(c) Australian Standard AS 2187.2 - 2006 "Explosives - Storage and Use - Use of Explosives";		
	(d) BS 7385 Part 2-1993 "Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings Part 2" as they are "applicable to Australian conditions"; and		
	(e) the vibration limits set out in the German Standard DIN 4150-3: Structural Vibration- effects of vibration on structures (for structural damage).		
	Work that exceeds the noise management levels and/or vibration criteria must be managed in accordance with the Noise and Vibration CEMP Sub-plan.		
	Note: The ICNG identifies 'particularly annoying' activities that require the addition of 5 dB(A) to the predicted level before comparing to the construction NML.		
D43	Mitigation measures must be implemented prior to construction at residential receivers where a relative increase in road traffic noise of greater than 2 dB(A) are expected as a result of traffic detours (presented in Table 7-23 of the Modification Report as identified in Condition 1A(a) of Schedule 1).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D44	Mitigation measures must be applied when the following residential ground-borne noise levels are exceeded:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) evening (6:00 pm to 10:00 pm) — internal LAeq(15 minute): 40 dB(A); and		
	(b) night (10:00 pm to 7:00 am) — internal LAeq(15 minute): 35 dB(A).		
	The mitigation measures must be outlined in the Noise and Vibration CEMP Sub-plan, including in any Out-of-Hours Work Protocol, required by Condition D41.		
D45	Noise generating work in the vicinity of community, religious, educational institutions, noise and vibration-sensitive businesses and critical working areas (such as theatres, laboratories and operating theatres) resulting in noise levels above the NMLs must not be timetabled during sensitive periods, unless other reasonable arrangements with the affected institutions are made at no cost to the affected institution.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D46	At no time can noise generated by construction exceed the National Standard for exposure to noise in the occupational environment of an eight-hour (8hr) equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level of LAeq,8h of 85 dB(A) for any employee working at a location near Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D47	Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements (CNVIS) must be prepared for work that may exceed the noise management levels, vibration criteria and/or ground-borne noise levels specified in Condition D42 and Condition D44 at any residence outside construction hours identified in Condition D38, or where receivers will be highly noise affected. The CNVIS must include specific mitigation measures identified through consultation with affected sensitive land use(s) and the mitigation measures must be implemented for the duration of the works. A copy of the CNVIS must be provided to the AA and ER prior to the commencement of the associated works. The Planning Secretary may request a copy/ies of CNVIS.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D48	Owners and occupiers of properties at risk of exceeding the screening criteria for cosmetic damage must be notified before work that generates vibration commences in the vicinity of those properties. If the potential exceedance is to occur more than once or extend over a period of 24 hours, owners and occupiers are to be provided a schedule of potential exceedances on a monthly basis for the duration of the potential exceedances, unless otherwise agreed by the owner and occupier. These properties must be identified and considered in the Noise and Vibration CEMP Sub-plan required by Condition C4 and the Community Communication Strategy required by Condition B1.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D49	<p>Industry best practice construction methods must be implemented where reasonably practicable to ensure that noise levels are minimised. Practices must include, but are not limited to:</p> <p>(a) use of regularly serviced low sound power equipment;</p> <p>(b) early occupation and later release of road carriageways and construction sites;</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(c) scheduling of noisiest works before 11.00 pm Sunday to Thursday and before 12 midnight Friday and Saturday;</p> <p>(d) temporary noise barriers (including the arrangement of plant and equipment) around noisy equipment and activities such as rock hammering and concrete cutting; and (e) use of alternative construction and demolition techniques.</p>		
D50	Vibration testing must be undertaken before and during vibration generating activities that have the potential to impact on heritage items to identify minimum working distances to prevent cosmetic damage. In the event that the vibration testing and attended monitoring shows that the preferred values for vibration are likely to be exceeded, the construction methodology must be reviewed and, if necessary, additional mitigation measures implemented.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D53	<p>All work undertaken for the delivery of Modification 6, including those undertaken by third parties (such as utility relocations), must be coordinated to ensure respite periods are provided. This must include:</p> <p>(a) rescheduling work to provide respite to impacted noise sensitive land use(s) so that the respite is achieved in accordance with Condition D54; or</p> <p>(b) the provision of alternative respite or mitigation to impacted noise sensitive land use(s); and</p> <p>(c) the provision of documentary evidence to the AA in support of any decision made in relation to respite or mitigation.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	The consideration of respite must also include all other CSSI, SSI and SSD projects which may cause cumulative and/or consecutive impacts at receivers affected by the delivery of Modification 6.		
D54	In order to undertake out-of-hours work outside the hours specified under Condition D38, the appropriate respite periods must be identified for the out-of-hours work in consultation with the community at each affected location on a regular basis.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	This consultation must include (but not be limited to) providing the community with:		
	(a) a progressive schedule for periods no less than three (3) months, of likely out-of-hours work;		
	(b) a description of the potential work, location and duration of the out-of-hours work;		
	(c) the noise characteristics and likely noise levels of the work; and		
	(d) likely mitigation and management measures which aim to achieve the relevant noise management levels and vibration criteria under Condition D42 (including the circumstances of when respite or relocation offers will be available and details about how the affected community can access these offers).		
	The outcomes of the community consultation, the identified respite periods and the scheduling of the likely out-of-hour work must be provided to the AA, ER, EPA and the Planning Secretary for information prior to undertaking the work scheduled for the subject period.		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	Note: Respite periods can be any combination of days or hours where out-of-hours work would not be more than 5 dB(A) above the rating background noise level at any residence.		
D55	<p>Work outside the hours specified in Condition D38 which result in an exceedance of the relevant NML at the same sensitive land use(s) can only be undertaken in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(a) two consecutive evenings and/or nights per week; or</p> <p>(b) three non-consecutive evenings and/or nights per week; or</p> <p>(c) 10 evenings and/or nights per month; or</p> <p>(d) except as identified by an EPL; or</p> <p>(e) in accordance with an agreement with a potentially impacted receiver(s) as required by Condition D40(c)(iii).</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D56	<p>Mitigation measures such as temporary alternative accommodation or other agreed mitigation measures, must be offered/ made available to residents affected by out-of-hours Work (including where utility works are being undertaken for Modification 6 or under a road occupancy licence) where the construction noise levels between:</p> <p>(a) 10:00 pm and 7:00 am, Monday to Friday;</p> <p>(b) 10:00 pm Saturday to 8:00 am Sunday; and</p> <p>(c) 6:00 pm Sunday and public holidays to 7:00 am the following day unless that day is Saturday then to 8:00 am,</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>are predicted to exceed the NML by 25 dB(A) or are greater than 75 dBA (LAeq(15 min)), whichever is the lesser and the impact is planned to occur for more than two (2) nights over a seven (7) day rolling period.</p> <p>The NML must be reduced by 5 dB where the noise contains annoying characteristics and may be increased by 10 dB if the property has received at-property noise treatment. The noise levels and duration requirements identified in this condition may be changed through an EPL applying to Modification 6.</p>		
D57	The Proponent must identify the utilities and services (hereafter “services”) potentially affected by construction to determine requirements for diversion, protection and/or support. Alterations to services must be determined by negotiation between the Proponent and the service providers. The Proponent in consultation with service providers must ensure that disruption to services resulting from the Activity are avoided where practical and advised to customers.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D58	The Proponent must offer pre-construction surveys to the owners of surface and sub-surface structures and other relevant assets identified at risk from vibration, including all listed heritage items and buildings/structures of heritage significance as identified in the documents listed in Condition 1A of Schedule 1. Where the offer is accepted, the survey must be undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer and/or building surveyor prior to the commencement of vibration generating works that could impact on the structure/asset. The results of each survey must be documented in a Pre-construction Condition Survey Report and the report must be provided to the owner of the item(s) surveyed no later than one month before the commencement of all other potentially impacting works.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D59	Where pre-construction surveys have been undertaken in accordance with Condition D58, subsequent post-construction surveys of the structure / asset must be undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced engineer and/or building surveyor to assess damage that may have resulted from the vibration-generating works. The results of the post-construction surveys must be documented in a Post-Construction Condition Survey Report for each item surveyed. The Postconstruction Condition Survey Reports must be provided to the owner of the structures/assets surveyed, and no later than four months following the completion of construction activities that have the potential to impact on the structure / asset.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D60	Where damage has been determined to occur as a result of Modification 6, the Proponent must carry out rectification at its expense and to the reasonable requirements of the owner of the structure/asset within nine months of the completion of construction activities that have the potential to create damage unless another timeframe is agreed with the owner. Alternatively, the Proponent may pay compensation for the damage as agreed with the owner.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D63	Access to construction compounds or works must not occur on National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) estate as part of this modification unless authorisation is granted by NPWS under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (NPW Act) or the National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019. The Western Sydney Regional Park must not be used to gain access to the construction footprint, ancillary facilities, or for the storage of materials, equipment, workers' vehicles or machinery at any time, unless authorisation is granted by NPWS under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (NPW Act) or the National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D64	Prior to any works adjacent to the Western Sydney Regional Park, demarcation of the construction boundary must be undertaken to reduce the risk of accidental encroachments or damage to the park.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D65	Prior to the commencement of any Work that results in the disturbance of land in any particular area, erosion and sediment controls must be installed and maintained, as a minimum, in accordance with the publication Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils & Construction (4th edition, Landcom 2004) commonly referred to as the 'Blue Book'.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Prior to works commencing at these sites, erosion and sediment controls would be installed and maintained, in accordance with the publication Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils & Construction (4th edition, Landcom 2004).</p>	Yes
D66	<p>A Sampling and Analysis Quality Plan (SAQP) must be prepared for medium and high risk sites as identified in the documents referred to in Condition 1A of Schedule 1 to ensure that field investigations and analyses will be undertaken in a way that enables the collection and reporting of reliable data to meet project objectives, including (where applicable) the relevant site characterisation requirements of the detailed or targeted site investigations. The SAQP must:</p> <p>(a) be prepared (or reviewed and approved) by consultants certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme;</p> <p>(b) be prepared in accordance with relevant guidelines made or approved by the EPA under section 105 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D67	<p>Detailed Site Investigations to confirm moderate and high risk contaminated sited identified in Preliminary Site Investigation in Condition 1A of Schedule 1 must be prepared, or reviewed and approved by a Contaminated Land Consultant certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia or New Zealand's "Certified Environmental Practitioner" (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia "Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme.</p> <p>The Detailed Site Investigations must be undertaken before ground disturbance in areas identified in the documents under Condition 1A of Schedule 1 as moderate to high risk contamination.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Prior to ground disturbance works at Site A, B and C, a detailed site investigation (DSI) report would be required summarising these results as the likelihood for risk of contamination associated with friable asbestos known to occur in the vicinity of proposed trenching is assessed as "moderate" in the Modification Report.</p>	Yes
D68	<p>If a Detailed Site Investigation identifies moderate or high risk contamination, a NSW EPA accredited Site Auditor must be engaged to provide independent oversight for any work required in relation to areas of moderate or high risk contamination is appropriately managed.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p>	Yes
D69	<p>A Detailed Site Investigation Report must be prepared and submitted to the Planning Secretary for information following the completion of Detailed Site Investigations required by Condition D67 and:</p> <p>(a) be prepared (or reviewed and approved) by a Contaminated Land Consultant certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia or New Zealand's "Certified Environmental Practitioner" (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia "Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme;</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>A DSI prior to ground disturbance at Site A and C.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(b) be prepared in accordance with relevant guidelines made or approved by the EPA under section 105 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW); and</p> <p>(c) be reviewed by a NSW EPA-accredited Site Auditor (if a Detailed Site Investigation identify moderate or high-risk contamination). The Site Auditor must issue interim audit advice stating whether the Detailed Site Investigations appropriately categorises risk, the appropriateness of the Report and any proposed management measures. The Detailed Site Investigation Report and interim audit advice must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information.</p> <p>Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing individual Site Contamination Reports for separate sites.</p>		
D70	<p>If remediation is required to make land suitable for the intended land use, a Remedial Action Plan must:</p> <p>(a) be prepared (or reviewed and approved) by consultants certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme; and</p> <p>(b) be prepared in accordance with relevant guidelines made or approved by the EPA under section 105 of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997;</p> <p>(c) include measures to remediate the contamination at the site to ensure the site will be suitable for the proposed use and detail how the environmental and human health risks will be managed during the disturbance, remediation and/or removal of contaminated soil/sediment or groundwater; and</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	(d) be reviewed by a NSW EPA-accredited Site Auditor. The Site Auditor must issue a Section B Site Audit Statement or interim audit advice which certifies that the Remedial Action Plan is appropriate to remediate identified contamination.		
	Nothing in this condition prevents the preparation of individual Remedial Action Plans for separate sites.		
D71	Before commencing remediation, a copy of the Remedial Action Plan and the Section B Site Audit Statement, or interim audit advice, must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for information.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D72	The Remedial Action Plan must be implemented and changes to the Remedial Action Plan must be approved in writing by the NSW EPA accredited Site Auditor. A copy of the revised Remedial Action Plan must be provided to the Planning Secretary for information.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from engaging the Site Auditor to prepare Site Audit Statements for separate sites.		
D73	A Section A1 or A2 Site Audit Statement (accompanied by an Environmental Management Plan) and its accompanying Site Audit Report, which state that the contaminated land disturbed by the work has been made suitable or can be made suitable for the intended land use, must be submitted to the Planning Secretary and Council after remediation and before the commencement of operation of Modification 6.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from obtaining Section A Site Audit Statements for individual parcels of remediated land.		

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D74	Contaminated land must not be used for the purpose approved under the terms of this approval until a Section A1 or A2 Site Audit Statement is obtained which states that the land is suitable for that purpose and any conditions on the Section A Site Audit Statement have been complied with.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D75	<p>An Unexpected Finds Procedure for Contamination must be prepared before the commencement of Work. The procedure must:</p> <p>(a) be followed should unexpected contamination or asbestos (or suspected contamination) be excavated or otherwise discovered;</p> <p>(b) include details of who will be responsible for implementing the unexpected finds procedure and the roles and responsibilities of all parties involved; and</p> <p>(c) be prepared, (or reviewed and approved), by consultants certified under either the Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand's Certified Environmental Practitioner (Site Contamination) scheme (CEnvP(SC)) or the Soil Science Australia Certified Professional Soil Scientist Contaminated Site Assessment and Management (CPSS CSAM) scheme.</p> <p>Note: Should any unexpected moderate to high risk contamination be identified during Work, the contamination process identified in the conditions above applies.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D76	The Unexpected Finds Procedure for Contamination must be implemented during Work.	The Unexpected Finds Procedure for Contamination would be implemented during work involved with the Proposed Change.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D78	The Sustainability Strategy must be implemented throughout construction of Modification 6 and operation of the modified project.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D79	<p>A Water Reuse Strategy must be prepared, which sets out options for the reuse of collected stormwater during construction. The Water Reuse Strategy must include, but not be limited to:</p> <p>(a) evaluation of reuse options;</p> <p>(b) details of the preferred reuse option(s), including volumes of water to be reused, proposed reuse locations and/or activities, proposed treatment (if required), and any additional licences or approvals that may be required;</p> <p>(c) measures to avoid misuse of recycled water as potable water;</p> <p>(d) consideration of the public health risks from water recycling; and</p> <p>(e) a time frame for the implementation of the preferred reuse option(s).</p> <p>The Water Reuse Strategy must be prepared based on best practice and advice sought from relevant agencies, as required. The Strategy must be applied during construction of Modification 6.</p> <p>Justification must be provided to the Planning Secretary if it is concluded that no reuse options prevail.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	A copy of the Water Reuse Strategy must be made publicly available.		
	Note: Contaminated groundwater must be disposed of in accordance with Condition D111.		
D80	Access to all utilities and properties must be maintained during construction, where practicable, unless otherwise agreed with the relevant utility owner, landowner or occupier.	The Proposed Change would not impact on access to utilities or properties where an agreement with the relevant utility owner, landowner or occupier has not previously been reached.	Yes
D81	Any property access physically affected by Modification 6 must be reinstated to at least an equivalent standard, unless otherwise agreed by the landowner or occupier.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D83	Local roads proposed to be used by heavy vehicles to directly access the construction boundary and ancillary facilities that are not listed in Table 6-6 of Appendix D of the Modification Report (as listed in Condition 1A(a) of Schedule 1) must be approved by the Planning Secretary and included in the Traffic, Transport and Access Management CEMP Sub-plan.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Approval for the use of Simms Road would be sought from DPHI. The road would not be utilised prior to obligations under this condition are met.</p>	Yes
D84	<p>All requests to the Planning Secretary under Condition D83 must include a traffic and pedestrian impact assessment and be prepared in consultation with the relevant council(s). The assessment must be undertaken by an appropriately qualified and experienced person and must include a swept path analysis if required by the Department. The traffic and pedestrian impact assessment must:</p> <p>(a) demonstrate that the use of local roads will not compromise the safety of the public and have no more than minimal amenity impacts;</p>	<p>The Proposed Change will not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Approval required for Simms Road under condition D83 would be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of this condition prior to use of the road.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(b) provide details as to the date of completion of road dilapidation surveys for the subject local roads; and</p> <p>(c) describe the measures that will be implemented to avoid where practicable the use of local roads past schools, aged care facilities and childcare facilities during peak times for operation.</p> <p>The outcomes and recommendations of the traffic and pedestrian impact assessment must be incorporated into the Construction Ancillary Facility Site Establishment Management Plan or Traffic Management CEMP Sub-plan as relevant.</p>		
D85	<p>Before any local road is used by a heavy vehicle for the purposes of the modification, a Road Dilapidation Report must be prepared for the road unless otherwise agreed to by the relevant road authority. A copy of the Road Dilapidation Report must be provided to the relevant council within three weeks of completion of the survey and no later than two weeks before the road being used by heavy vehicles associated with Modification 6.</p> <p>If damage to roads occurs as a result of the construction of the modification, the Proponent must rectify the damage to restore the road to at least the condition it was in pre-construction in consultation with the relevant road authority. Rectification works must be undertaken within three months of the subject road no longer being used for the construction of Modification 6 unless an alternative timeframe is agreed to by the relevant road authority.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Dilapidation Reports would be undertaken and submitted to Blacktown Council in accordance with the requirements of this condition prior to use of the road.</p> <p>Any damage resulting from the use of Simms Road by heavy vehicles associated with the Proposed Change would be restored following completion of works as required by this condition.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D87	Safe pedestrian and cyclist access must be maintained around work sites during construction. In circumstances where pedestrian and cyclist access is restricted or removed due to construction activities, a proximate alternative route which complies with relevant standards, unless otherwise endorsed by an independent, appropriately qualified and experienced person, must be provided (including signposting) prior to the restriction or removal of the impacted access.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>Appropriate traffic control measures would be put in place where the construction activities cross the SUP to maintain pedestrian and cyclist safety.</p>	Yes
D89	<p>During construction, all practicable measures must be implemented to maintain pedestrian and vehicular access to, and parking in the vicinity of, businesses and affected properties. Disruptions are to be avoided, and where avoidance is not possible, minimised. Where disruption cannot be minimised, alternative pedestrian and vehicular access, and parking arrangements must be developed in consultation with affected businesses and implemented prior to the disruption.</p> <p>Adequate signage and directions to businesses must be provided prior to, and for the duration of, any disruption.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D91	<p>Temporary active transport facilities and detours must be designed, constructed and/or rectified in accordance with:</p> <p>(a) the process set out in the Movement and Place Framework (NSW Government) and the Cycleway Design Toolbox: Designing for Cycling and Micromobility (TfNSW, 2020);</p> <p>(b) the Guide to Road Design Part 6A: Paths for Walking and Cycling (Austroads 2017) where not otherwise covered by (a);</p> <p>(c) relevant Australian Standards (AS) such as AS 1428.1-2009 Design for access and mobility;</p>	Any temporary adjustments or rectification to active transport facilities due to the Proposed Change will be carried out in accordance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(d) relevant Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles; and</p> <p>(e) recommendations arising from consultation with relevant Councils, Bicycle NSW, Bike North, the CAMWEST Bicycle User Group and other relevant local bicycle user groups, where reasonable.</p> <p>Where site constraints prevent the provision of temporary active transport facilities that achieve the requirements of (a) - (e) listed above, the Proponent must write to the Planning Secretary identifying:</p> <p>(i) where the temporary active transport facilities are located; and</p> <p>(ii) which elements of the requirements of Condition D91 (a) - (e) cannot be met and why this is acceptable.</p> <p>Note: In the event of an inconsistency, the latest guidance document prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.</p>		
D92	<p>Any new permanent active transport facilities must be designed, constructed and/or rectified in accordance with:</p> <p>(a) the process set out in the Movement and Place Framework (NSW Government) and the Cycleway Design Toolbox: Designing for Cycling and Micromobility (TfNSW, 2020);</p> <p>(b) the Guide to Road Design Part 6A: Paths for Walking and Cycling (Austroads 2017) where not otherwise covered by (a);</p>	<p>The Proposed Change will not impact on compliance with this condition.</p> <p>The Proposed Change does not involve any new permanent active transport facilities.</p>	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(c) relevant Australian Standards (AS) such as AS 1428.1-2009 Design for access and mobility;</p> <p>(d) relevant Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles; and</p> <p>(e) recommendations arising from consultation with relevant Councils, Bicycle NSW, Bike North, the CAMWEST Bicycle User Group and other relevant local bicycle user groups, where reasonable.</p> <p>Note: In the event of an inconsistency, the latest guidance document prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.</p>		
D95	Modification 6 must be designed to meet relevant design, engineering and safety guidelines, including the Austroads Guide to Traffic Management for new or modified local roads, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D96	<p>An independent Road Safety Audit must be undertaken to assess the safety performance of new or modified local road, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure provided as part of Modification 6 (including ancillary facilities) to ensure that they meet the requirements of relevant design, engineering and safety guidelines, including Austroads Guide to Traffic Management.</p> <p>The audit must be undertaken by an appropriately qualified and experienced person during detailed design development (audit of plans) and prior to opening (pre-opening audit).</p> <p>The audit findings and recommendations of the detailed design plans (audit of the plans) must be actioned prior to construction of the relevant infrastructure. The pre-opening audit findings and recommendations must be actioned prior to the relevant infrastructure being made available for use.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D99	A Utilities Management Strategy must be prepared and implemented for all utility work undertaken as a result of the SSI. The Strategy must identify how utility Work (excluding Low Impact Work) will be defined and managed. The Utilities Management Strategy must include:	Any utility work require for the Proposed Change would be conducted in accordance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) A description of all utility Work to be undertaken; and		
	(b) Management measures to be implemented to manage dust, noise, traffic, access, lighting and other relevant impacts associated with utility Work.		
	The Utilities Management Strategy must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval at least one month before the commencement of utility Work.		
D101	Waste generated during construction and operation must be dealt with in accordance with the following priorities:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) waste generation must be avoided and where avoidance is not reasonably practicable, waste generation must be reduced;		
	(b) where avoiding or reducing waste is not possible, waste must be re-used, recycled, or recovered; and		
	(c) where re-using, recycling or recovering waste is not possible, waste must be treated or disposed of.		
D102	The importation of waste and the storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal of such waste must comply with the conditions of the EPL for Modification 6, or be done in accordance with a Resource Recovery Exemption or Order issued under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014, as the case may be.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D103	Waste must only be exported to a site licensed by the EPA for the storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal of the subject waste, or in accordance with a Resource Recovery Exemption or Order issued under the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014, or to any other place that can lawfully accept such waste, except in accordance with Condition D10.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D104	All waste must be classified in accordance with the EPA's Waste Classification Guidelines, with appropriate records and disposal dockets retained for audit purposes.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D105	<p>Modification 6 must be designed and constructed so as to maintain the NSW Water Quality Objectives (ANZG 2018) where they are being achieved as at the date of this approval, and contribute towards achievement of the NSW Water Quality Objectives over time where they are not being achieved as at the date of this approval, unless an EPL in force in respect of Modification 6 contains different requirements in relation to the NSW Water Quality Objectives, in which case those requirements must be complied with.</p> <p>Note: If it is proposed to discharge construction stormwater to waterways, a Water Pollution Impact Assessment will be required to inform licensing, consistent with section 45 of the POEO Act. Any such assessment must be prepared in consultation with the EPA and be consistent with the National Water Quality Guidelines, with the level of detail commensurate with the potential water pollution risk.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D106	Works on waterfront land and within watercourses must have regard to Guidelines for controlled activities on waterfront land (NRAR, 2018). This includes outlets and watercourse crossings.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
D107	Proposed revegetation within riparian zones should have regard to NRARs guidelines for Vegetation Management Plans and accommodate an appropriately structured vegetated riparian zone using indigenous species.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	Note: Revegetation must include a maintenance component identified in the DLP as required by Condition D22 and D24, and the operational maintenance requirements of Conditions D25 and D27.		
D108	Local erosion and sediment control measures to manage stormwater discharges can only be used in lieu of sediment retention basins or sumps where it is demonstrated that:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
	(a) such measures would adequately manage the risk of erosion and sedimentation in accordance with Volume 1 and 2D of the Blue Book, and		
	(b) contaminated soils do not pose a risk to water quality in receiving waterways.		
	The Proponent must obtain approval from the Secretary before implementing the alternative local erosion and sediment control measures.		
	Note: Approval from the Planning Secretary as required by Condition D108 may be sought through the Soil and Water Management Plan (as required by Condition C8).		
D109	Sediment retention basins or sumps must be used to control contaminated runoff from the construction of the proposed modification. Any sediment retention basin used must be appropriately sized to ensure that:	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	<p>(a) risks identified in the detailed site investigation are mitigated; and</p> <p>(b) managed overflows could only occur as a result of large rainfall events.</p> <p>Note: Existing stormwater quality control systems and ponds cannot accept contaminated runoff.</p>		
D110	<p>For areas of moderate to high contamination risk (as identified in the SWMP required by Condition C8 and any Detailed Site Investigations under Condition D67), and where the application of sediment retention basins or sumps is restricted by site constraints and the outcomes of Condition D109 cannot be met, alternative capture and treatment systems may only be used with approval from the Planning Secretary.</p> <p>Note: Approval from the Planning Secretary as required in this Condition may be sought through the approval of the Construction Soil and Water Management Sub Plan (as required by Condition C8).</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D111	Any contaminated groundwater extracted from excavations during piling must be disposed of at a licensed waste facility.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes
D112	<p>All new or modified drainage systems associated with Modification 6 must be designed to:</p> <p>(a) meet the capacity constraints of any council's drainage system to receive and convey the proposed flows from the Project, or otherwise upgrade council's drainage system at the Proponent's expense where it is identified that Modification 6 will have an adverse impact on the capacity of council's drainage system, in consultation with the relevant council(s);</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this condition.	Yes

No.	Conditions of Approval	Discussion	Consistent
	(b) minimise impacts on the receiving environment at the final outflow point resulting from any additional flow volume (including, but not limited to scour, flooding, water quality impacts, and impacts on riparian vegetation, aquatic ecology and property); and		
	(c) ensure mitigation measures are implemented where increased flows through cross drainage systems adversely impact on council or Sydney Water drainage infrastructure and the receiving environment.		

Table A 2: Consistency against relevant Statement of Commitments / environmental management measures

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
T1	<p>A Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan (CTAMP) will be prepared as part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) in consultation with Transport, relevant local Councils, and relevant agencies and in accordance with relevant guidelines including consideration for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staggering shift times to minimise the hourly traffic generation • Encouraging the use of alternative transport modes, carpooling, measures that minimise traffic generation associated with worker arrival, departures, and movements between sites • Using shuttle buses to move workers between sites • Minimising road closures that would likely have large impacts to the network • Pedestrian and cyclist access management plan • Parking and access management plan. 	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>The CTAMP would be amended to incorporate the Proposed Change, where required.</p>	Yes
T3	<p>Movements of haulage vehicles will be planned to minimise movements on the road network during the AM and PM peak periods where practicable.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p>	Yes
T4	<p>An active transport strategy will be developed to document planned shared path detours and recommend upgrades to these facilities to safely accommodate shared path users.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>There would be no permanent changes to the SUP. Appropriate traffic control measures would be put in place where the construction activities cross the SUP to maintain pedestrian and cyclist safety.</p>	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
NV 1	<p>A Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP) will be prepared and include the following standard and specific actions and mitigation measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify relevant performance criteria in relation to noise and vibration • Identify noise and vibration sensitive receptors and features in the vicinity of the proposed modification • Include standard and additional mitigation measures from the Construction Noise and Vibration Guideline (CNVG) (Roads and Maritime Services, 2016b) and details about when each will be applied • Describe the process(es) that will be adopted for carrying out location and activity specific noise and vibration impact assessments to assist with the selection of appropriate mitigation measures • Consider cumulative construction noise impacts and construction noise fatigue • Include protocols that will be adopted to manage works required outside standard construction hours, in accordance with relevant guidelines including for management of respite periods • Detailed monitoring that will be carried out to confirm proposed modification performance in relation to noise and vibration performance criteria. <p>The cumulative noise impacts of relevant nearby major projects should be further considered by the contractor when a detailed construction schedule becomes available for the proposed modification.</p> <p>Consultation should be undertaken with the relevant contractors to manage cumulative impacts on sensitive receivers within common areas.</p> <p>Feasible and reasonable mitigation measures should be detailed in the CNVMP at sensitive receivers and areas where construction fatigue could occur. Consultation with the affected community will also occur prior to and during construction.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
NV 2	<p>All residents affected by noise from the proposed modification which are expected to experience an exceedance of the construction noise management levels should be consulted about the proposed modification prior to the commencement of the particular activity, with the highest consideration given to those that are predicted to be most affected as a result of the works.</p> <p>The information provided to the residents should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programmed times and locations of construction work • The hours of the proposed modification works • Construction noise and vibration impact predictions • Construction noise and vibration mitigation measures being implemented on site. <p>Community consultation regarding construction noise and vibration will be detailed in the Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan for the construction of the proposed modification and will include a 24-hour hotline and complaints management process.</p> <p>Consultation will also be undertaken with all schools likely to be affected.</p> <p>For out of hours works, consultation will take place with consideration to Practice note vii of the Environmental Noise Management Manual (RTA, 2001) and Strategy 2 of the Interim Construction Noise Guidelines (DECC, 2009).</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>Nearby residents would be consulted as required by this measure.</p>	Yes
NV3	<p>Induction and training will be provided to relevant staff and sub-contractors outlining their responsibilities with regards to noise and vibration.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p>	Yes
NV 4	<p>Details of all out of hours work required will form part of the CNVMP.</p> <p>Noisy work will be scheduled to be undertaken during the standard hours as far as possible. Noisy activities that cannot be undertaken during standard construction hours are to be scheduled as early as possible during the evening and/or night-time periods.</p> <p>Particularly noisy activities such as the use of impact piling rigs, road and concrete saws, rock hammers, should be scheduled where feasible and reasonable around times of high background noise to provide masking.</p> <p>Deliveries will be carried out during standard construction hours where feasible and reasonable.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p>	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
NV 5	A protocol, formed as part of the CNVMP, will be developed to identify the need for and provision of respite measures for residential receivers in accordance with the ICNG. Respite measures may include the restriction to the hours of construction activities resulting in impulsive or tonal noise (such as rock hammering, pile driving), or other appropriate measures agreed between the contractor and residential receiver such as alternative accommodation.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
NV 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Truck drivers will be advised of designated vehicle routes, parking locations, acceptable delivery hours or other relevant practices (i.e. minimising the use of engine brakes, and no extended periods of engine idling). Vehicle routes should be reviewed, and final selections should consider noise impacts on noise sensitive receivers • Site access and egress points will be located away from residences and other sensitive land uses, where feasible and reasonable • Deliveries and spoil removal will be planned to avoid queuing of trucks on or around the construction ancillary facilities • Construction sites will be arranged to limit the need for reversing associated with regular / repeatable movements (e.g. trucks transporting spoil) to minimise the use of reversing alarms • Where feasible and reasonable, non-tonal reversing alarms will be used, taking into account the requirements of the Workplace Health and Safety legislation. • Spoil will be moved during the day where practical, and feasible and reasonable management strategies will be investigated in consultation with the NSW EPA to minimise the volume of heavy vehicle movements at night. Mitigation measures for vehicle movements outside of standard construction hours will be included in the CNVMP. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
NV 8	<p>The noise associated with the operation of construction ancillary facilities will primarily result from the operation of fixed and mobile plant and truck movements. Consideration will be given to the layout of the site to maximise distance and shielding to nearby receivers.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
NV 9	<p>The selection of plant and equipment can have a significant impact on construction noise levels. Appropriate plant will be selected for each task to minimise the noise contributions. Alternative works methods such as use of hydraulic or electric-controlled units in place of diesel units will be considered and implemented where feasible and reasonable. The use of alternative machines that perform the same function (such as rubber wheeled plant) will be considered in place of steel tracked plant. Equipment will be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure it is in good working order. Plant should be located on site with as much distance as possible between the plant and noise sensitive receivers. Noisy equipment will be orientated away from residential receivers where feasible and reasonable.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
NV 11	<p>Additional mitigation measures are provided in CNVG. These measures are applied after standard noise mitigation measures have been applied and where the noise levels are still exceeding the noise management levels.</p> <p>Additional mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notification (letterbox drop or equivalent) to give advanced warning of works • Specific notifications to identified stakeholders • Phone calls • Individual briefings • Respite offers, to be considered where there are high noise and vibration generating activities near receivers • Respire Period One where there is out of hours construction noise • Respire Period Two where there is high time construction noise • Duration respite where long periods of noise and vibration will be generated • Alternative accommodation for residents where there are highly intrusive noise levels • Verification, such as noise monitoring. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
NV 12	<p>Equipment size will be selected taking into account the minimum working distances and the distance between the area of construction and the most affected sensitive receiver.</p> <p>The use of less vibration intensive methods of construction or equipment will be considered where feasible and reasonable when working in proximity to existing structures.</p> <p>Equipment will be maintained and operated in an efficient manner, in accordance with manufacturer's specifications, to reduce the potential for adverse vibration impacts.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
NV 13	<p>If the use of vibration intensive plant cannot be avoided within the minimum working distance for cosmetic damage the following procedure will occur as a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notification of the works to the affected residents and community. • Works will not proceed until attended vibration measurements are undertaken. Vibration monitors are to provide real-time notification of exceedances of levels approaching cosmetic damage criteria. • If ongoing works are required, a temporary relocatable vibration monitoring system will be installed, to warn operators (via flashing light, audible alarm, short message service (SMS) etc) when vibration levels are approaching the cosmetic damage objective. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
NV 16	<p>The Contractor must conduct a detailed construction noise and vibration assessment and implement reasonable and feasible mitigation measures in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Services Construction Noise and Vibration Guideline (2016b). Mitigation measure that may be implemented include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic diversions limited in duration as noted above • Notification (letterbox drop or equivalent) • Specific notifications • Individual briefings and/or community consultations 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 1	A communications plan will be displayed at each construction zone, including a duty phone number so stakeholders and community members can get in contact regarding the construction activities. All complaints will be recorded and investigated, and measures taken in response.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 2	On a regular basis, the stages of other major constructions within 500 metres of the proposed modification will be assessed, to determine any cumulative impacts. The possibility of co-ordinating activities between sites will be assessed to avoid potentially high impact activities occurring at the same time.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 3	Use of diesel- or petrol-powered generators will be avoided where practicable and mains electricity or battery powered equipment will be used where practicable.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
AQ 4	All vehicles and plant will be switched off engines when stationary and not be allowed to idle.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 5	During periods of high potential for increased air quality impacts and/or prolonged dry or windy conditions the frequency of site inspections will be increased by the person accountable for air quality and dust issues.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 6	At each construction zone, the site arrangement will be planned so that dust generating activities are undertaken to minimise dust at nearby receptors. Measures may include stockpiles located as far away from receptors as possible; dust barriers being erected around dusty activities/ site boundary, or similar.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 7	A maximum speed limit of 15 km/h on unsurfaced roads and construction work areas will be imposed and signposted.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 8	Adequate water supply will be provided on the site for effective dust/ particulate matter suppression/ mitigation, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 9	Earthworks and exposed areas/ soil stockpiles will be re-vegetated or stabilised as soon as practicable	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 10	Water-assisted dust sweeper(s) will be used on access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of the site.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 11	Vehicles entering and leaving sites will be covered to prevent escape of materials during transport.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AQ 12	A wheel washing system will be implemented at relevant construction ancillary facilities (with rumble grids to dislodge accumulated dust and mud prior to leaving the site), where reasonably practicable.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
AQ 13	Any acid sulphate soils encountered during earthworks will be managed in accordance with the with the Acid Sulfate Soils Manual (Acid Sulfate Soil Management Advisory Committee, 1998) and Guidelines for the Management of Acid Sulfate Materials: Acid Sulfate Soils, Acid Sulfate Rock and Monosulfidic Black Ooze (NSW Roads and Traffic Authority, 2005b).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
FL 1	A Flood Management Plan will be prepared as part of the CEMP for the proposed modification and will detail the processes for flood preparedness, materials management, weather monitoring, site management, and flood incident management. The flood management plan will be developed in accordance with relevant guidelines.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure. Works would be carried out in accordance with the Flood Management Plan.	Yes
FL 2	Activities that may affect existing drainage systems during construction will be carried out so that existing hydraulic capacity of these systems is maintained where practicable.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
FL 3	Detailed construction planning is required to consider flood risk at construction sites and construction support sites. This will include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A review of site layout and construction activity staging to avoid or minimise obstruction of overland flow paths and limit the extent of flow diversion required • Identification of measures to not worsen flood impacts on the community and on other property and infrastructure during construction up to and including the 1% AEP flood event, where reasonable and feasible • Measures to mitigate alterations to local runoff conditions due to construction activities. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
FL 4	Spoil stockpiles are to be located in areas not subject to frequent inundation by floodwater, and outside the 10% AEP flood extent. The exact level of flood risk accepted at stockpile sites will depend on the duration of stockpiling operations, the type of material stored, the nature of the receiving drainage lines and also the extent to which it would impact flooding conditions in adjacent development.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
FL 5	Construction ancillary facilities are to be located outside high flood hazard areas based on a 1% AEP flood.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>An MCAF may be required for Site C. the MCAF will be located outside the 1% AEP flood zone.</p>	Yes
FL 6	Flood emergency management measures during construction are to be prepared and incorporated into relevant environmental and/or safety management documentation in consultation with NSW State Emergency Services (SES) and relevant local Councils.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
FL 9	Localised increases in flow velocities at drainage outlets that would control runoff from the proposed modification are to be mitigated through the provision of scour protection and energy dissipation measures.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
SW 1	<p>A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) will be prepared as part of the proposed modification. The plan will outline measures to manage soil and water impacts associated with the construction works, including contaminated land.</p> <p>The SWMP will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures to minimise/manage erosion and sediment transport both within the construction footprint and offsite, including requirements for the preparation of erosion and sediment control plans (ESCP) for all progressive stages of construction • Measures to manage runoff from spoil and waste storage areas • Procedures to manage unexpected or previously unidentified contaminants • Measures to manage stockpiles, including locations, separation of waste types, sediment controls and stabilisation • Groundwater management measures to limit the risk of exposure to contaminated groundwater • Controls to manage the risk posed to workers from exposure to contaminated groundwater (if encountered) • Processes for dewatering of water that has accumulated on site and from sediment basins, including relevant discharge criteria • Measures to manage potential tannin leachate • Measures to manage accidental spills, including the requirement to maintain materials such as spill kits • Measures to manage potential saline soils • Details of surface water and groundwater quality monitoring to be undertaken prior to, throughout, and following construction • Enhanced sediment and erosion controls be implemented in areas where it is identified that contamination poses a risk to surface water quality. <p>Erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented and maintained at all work sites in accordance with the principles and requirements in Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction, Volume 1 (DPIE, 2004) and Volume 2D (DECC, 2008a), commonly referred to as the ‘Blue Book,’ as well as relevant Transport Guidelines.</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
SW 2	<p>A dewatering management plan will be prepared and included in the SWMP that sets out the procedures for the discharge of surface water runoff that is retained in sediment controls and exposed excavations. The dewatering management plan will be prepared in accordance with the Technical Guideline – Environmental Management of Construction Site Dewatering (Transport, 2011) and will include consideration of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of water quality criteria for the discharge of on-site water and the treatment techniques required to meet these criteria • Methods for achieving the WQOs for any site discharge through best practice erosion and sediment control measures and/or treatment of water through flocculation prior to discharge from sediment retention sumps • Reuse of stormwater where feasible within the scope of construction activities • Selection of suitable locations for the discharge of captured runoff utilising existing drainage paths where it cannot be reused on site • Procedures for the rectification of sediment controls or site practices should the water quality parameters experience exceedances. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
SW 3	<p>A soil conservation specialist will be engaged for the duration of construction of the proposed modification to provide advice on the planning and implementation of erosion and sediment control measures, including review of Erosion and Sediment Control Plans ESCPs.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
SW 4	<p>Stockpiles will be managed to minimise the potential for mobilisation and transport of dust and sediment in runoff in accordance with Stockpile Site Management Guideline (Roads and Maritime Services, 2015d). This will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the number of stockpiles, the area used for stockpiles and the time that they are left exposed • Locating stockpiles away from drainage lines, waterways, and areas where they may be susceptible to wind erosion • Stabilising stockpiles, establishing appropriate sediment controls, and suppressing dust as required. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
SW 5	Updated water quality assessment will be undertaken during detailed design to inform site specific discharge criteria to meet the objective of maintaining or improving existing water quality in the receiving watercourses during operation.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
SW 6	A water reuse strategy will be developed for the construction of the proposed modification to reduce reliance on potable water. This strategy will be prepared during the detailed design stage and will outline the construction water requirements and potential water sources to supply the water demand in consultation with Sydney Water. Alternative water supply options to potable water will also be investigated, with the aim of reusing water using recycled water where feasible. This includes sourcing non-potable water from construction sediment sumps where it is feasible to reuse.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
SW 7	<p>The following measures will be undertaken to manage activities within watercourses, especially works to widen of bridges:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disturbance of banks and extent of vegetation removal will be minimised • Implementing bank stabilisation, channel reshaping and scour protection where required to mitigate the impact of additional bridge piers on scour and stability of the bed and banks of watercourses • Maintenance of minimum surface water flows to assist in maintaining the viability of aquatic communities and preventing barriers to fish passage • Construction of temporary creek crossings during low flows and design so that drainage of these crossings does not contribute sediment load to the stream • Taking into consideration the former NSW Department of Industry's Guidelines for controlled activities on waterfront land (2018) in the design and construction of works within watercourses 	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>The Proposed Change does not involve any works within watercourses.</p>	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
SW 9	<p>A construction water quality monitoring program will be developed and included in the SWMP for the proposed modification to establish baseline conditions, observe any changes in surface water and groundwater during construction, and inform appropriate management responses.</p> <p>Baseline monitoring will be undertaken monthly for a minimum of 12 months prior to the commencement of construction, inclusive of the monitoring that is presented in Section 5.6 of Appendix G (Surface water and flooding assessment). As a minimum, this will include three wet weather sampling events over six months where feasible.</p> <p>Sampling locations and monitoring methodology to be undertaken during construction will be further developed in detailed design in accordance with the Guidelines for Construction Water Quality Monitoring (RTA, 2003) and the ANZECC Water Quality Guidelines (ANZECC/ ARMCANZ, 2000).</p> <p>This will include the monitoring of surface water quality at or near moderate to high contamination risk areas for relevant contaminants during work in those locations (when/if these areas are confirmed during detailed design).</p> <p>The monitoring will include collection of samples for analysis from sedimentation control discharge points, visual monitoring of other points of release of construction waters and monitoring of downstream waterways. The frequency of monitoring will be confirmed during detailed design and will be a minimum of once every month at all sites, as well as additional monitoring following wet weather events.</p> <p>Should the results of monitoring identify that the water quality management measures are not effective in adequately mitigating water quality impacts, additional mitigation measures will be identified and implemented as required.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
SW 10	<p>Further water quality assessment will be undertaken during detailed design to determine whether additional site-specific discharge criteria are required to meet the objective of maintaining existing water quality in the receiving watercourses.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
B1	<p>A Biodiversity Management Plan will be developed to include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Microbat Management Plan by a microbat specialist to be created (prior to construction) • Environmental site inductions • Demarcation of clearing areas and 'No Go' zones through fencing and inclusion in the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), in accordance with Guide 2: Exclusion zones of the Biodiversity Guidelines: Protecting and managing biodiversity on RTA projects (RTA, 2011) • Methods of vegetation removal • Protocols for tree clearing including pre-clearing surveys and mitigation measures for any fauna encountered • Erosion and sediment controls including dust suppression and minimisation of dust generation • Rehabilitation methods including management of native and riparian vegetation, weeds, fauna habitat • Weed prevention measures and management of priority weeds within the study area in accordance with Guide 6: Weed management of the Biodiversity Guidelines: Protecting and managing biodiversity on RTA projects (RTA, 2011) • Regular scheduled litter and waste removal from the study area • Implementation of an unexpected species find procedure, particularly in regard to bridge widenings and microbats • Habitat will be replaced or re-instated in accordance with Guide 5: Re-use of woody debris and bushrock and Guide 8: Nest boxes of the Biodiversity Guidelines: Protecting and managing biodiversity on RTA projects (RTA, 2011) • Rehabilitation strategy for waterways after the removal of temporary waterway crossing and diversions, including erosion and sediment control, management of flow, stockpile management, stabilisation of bed and banks and revegetation • Any large woody debris to be retained within the retained portions of the study area to provide refuge habitat for invertebrates and reptiles (Guide 5: Re-use of woody debris and bushrock) 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
B2	An ecologist to inspect the study area, including drainage and creek lines and relocate any amphibians prior to and during vegetation clearing	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
B4	Bridge works, as a potential habitat for microbat species, are to be undertaken in accordance with Appendix F of Microbat Management Guidelines (Transport for NSW, 2021a)	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
B5	If sediment/ erosion booms are used, they are placed so they do not obstruct fish passage, where possible	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
B6	Design of temporary waterway crossings and diversions are consistent with Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and construction – Volume 1 and 2D (DPIE, 2004) and Policy and Guidelines for Fish Habitat Conservation and Management (DPI, 2013) and Why do Fish Need to Cross the Road? Fish Passage Requirements for Waterway Crossings (Fairfull, 2003)	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
B7	Relocation of native fish by a trained aquatic ecologist if they become stranded as a result of waterway diversions, temporary crossings, or dewatering activities.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
B8	<p>A detailed water monitoring program will be implemented during construction, where site observations are recorded by a suitably qualified person, and will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Routine inspections of temporary waterway crossings, waterway diversions and dewatering activities • Rapid geomorphic survey, including aquatic macrophyte mapping, bank erosion, channel stability and sediment deposition • Stormwater discharges into the receiving watercourses, including an estimate of flows, visual appearance, and water quality (handheld meter) testing on an opportunistic basis • Visual and olfactory observation of pollution (e.g., oil sheens, coarse debris, odours) • Opportunistic observations of aquatic fauna (e.g. stranded fish). 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
B9	Landscaping to focus on utilising naturally occurring endemic tree and shrub species, in accordance with the updated Landscape Plan for the Westlink M7	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
B10	Monitoring and maintenance of all established erosion and sedimentation controls	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AH1	Aboriginal Site Impact Recording (ASIR) forms will be submitted to the AHIMS Registrar for all Aboriginal sites known to have been destroyed or partially destroyed as a result of the approved project (as indicated in the final approved Indigenous Heritage Archaeology Management Sub Plan (IHMSPP)).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AH3	An Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan (ACHMP) shall be prepared prior to construction of the proposed modification and included in the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP). An Unexpected Aboriginal Heritage Finds Procedure (UAHFP) will be included in the ACHMP to cover the unanticipated discovery of any actual or potential Aboriginal heritage items. The procedure will cover all Aboriginal objects (as defined by the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974), including human skeletal remains.	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>A UAHFP has been developed in the CHMP and will be implemented during construction.</p>	Yes
AH4	All standard environment site inductions prepared for the proposed modification will include an Aboriginal heritage component. At a minimum, this will outline current protocols and responsibilities with respect to the management of Aboriginal heritage within the construction footprint (including unexpected finds) and provide an overview of the diagnostic features of potential Aboriginal site types/ objects.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
AH5	<p>Aboriginal sites located outside of the construction footprint, but directly adjacent to it, will be actively protected during construction via temporary fencing. Fencing is to be installed along relevant sections of the construction footprint and remain in place for the duration of construction works in the vicinity.</p> <p>Where fencing is to be installed along the construction footprint, individual fencing lengths will be determined by a qualified archaeologist on the basis of both a visual inspection of the registered AHIMS site location and critical review of relevant existing data sources (e.g. associated site cards and assessment reports). All relevant staff and contractors are to be made aware</p>	<p>The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.</p> <p>There are no AHIMS located within 100m of the Proposed Change.</p>	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
	of the nature and locations of these sites as part of standard site inductions. All sites will be identified on relevant site plans		
H1	A Construction Heritage Management Plan, to be included in the CEMP, shall be prepared prior to construction of the proposed modification. The CEMP should include the location of the known heritage items that are within the study area, including the Upper Canal System, details relating to vibration management measures for works in the vicinity of the Upper Canal, and a stop works procedure for unexpected finds.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LUP1	A survey of all areas to be leased during construction will be completed to document the pre-leased condition.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LUP2	All areas leased for the modification will be rehabilitated upon completion of construction and restored to their existing condition, or as otherwise agreed with the landowner. This will occur within six months of completion of the construction phase.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LUP3	Terms and conditions of private land use for construction access will be determined in consultation and agreement with relevant landowners.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LUP4	Consultation with the relevant utility providers will be undertaken prior to construction to confirm the presence of utilities and refine potential utility adjustments and utility protection measures during detailed design.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LUP5	The final construction methodology will consider measures required to protect utilities or avoid impacts on these services during construction.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
LV 1	Establish tree protection zones (TPZs) around trees to be retained. Tree protection will be undertaken in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites and will include exclusion fencing of TPZs	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LV 2	Provide well-presented and maintained construction hoarding and site fencing with shade cloth (or similar material) (where necessary) to minimise visual impacts during construction. Hoardings and site fencing will be removed following construction completion.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LV 3	Provide cut-off or directed lighting within and outside of the construction site, with lighting location and direction considered to ensure glare and light spill is minimised.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LV 4	Keep construction areas clean and tidy and place refuse in appropriate receptacles.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LV 6	<p>While the replacement of trees within the Westlink M7 operational footprint may not be possible due to maintenance requirements, it is recommended to reinstate the visual markers of the creek corridors within the Westlink M7, by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planting of riparian tree species (such as Melaleuca and Casuarina) on the batters within the central median as they fall towards the lower area at either end of bridges • Planting of areas under bridges within riparian corridors with indigenous species within the Cumberland Plain Riverflat Forest community, including tall shrubs, grasses and groundcovers. Investigate opportunities for additional tree plantings. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LV7	Undertake seed collection prior to construction (e.g. within three months of construction contract award, where possible), to source seeds to be used in post-construction rehabilitation. Use native and endemic plant species in post-construction rehabilitation otherwise.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
LV8	Opportunity to enhance green infrastructure and tree planting through the areas adjacent to noise walls and other areas along the edges of the corridor to mitigate impacts from tree removal along the Westlink M7 median. This will be subject to detailed design and also the identification of existing verges/ batters within the Westlink M7 corridor that would be appropriate for tree planting completed as part of the works.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
LV9	Opportunity for Water Sensitive Urban Design to be considered when local drainage conditions are altered throughout the corridor where the gradient and widening conditions require further detail	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
C1	<p>A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) will be implemented during construction and incorporate the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Worker health and safety measures, waste management (including stockpiling) and tracking for contamination • Register of known or suspected areas of contamination (from site investigations) and areas requiring remediation • An unexpected finds procedure to manage previously unidentified chemical or asbestos contamination • Asbestos Management Plan for areas where ACM and/or friable asbestos is likely to be encountered, with the plan including worker health and safety measures • Testing procedures to determine the actual presence of acid sulfate soils prior to ground disturbance activities • Testing procedures to determine the presence of saline soils prior to ground disturbance activities. • Process for testing, treating and discharging water from site to meet applicable water quality limits. • Site-specific Erosion and Sediment Control Plan which will identify detailed measures and controls, that are consistent with the practices and principles in the current guidelines, to be applied to minimise erosion and sediment control risks. These include, but not necessarily limited to: runoff, diversion and drainage points; use of sediment basins and sumps; scour protection; stabilising disturbed areas as soon as possible, check dams, fencing and swales; and staged implementation arrangements • Appropriate management criteria and responses to identify and manage water pollution risks associated with potentially contaminated stormwater • Measures to avoid the discharge of contaminated runoff. The assessment criteria for discharges from contaminated areas would be based on applicable WQOs (refer Table 7.4 of the SWFIA in Appendix G). 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
C5	<p>Prior to ground disturbance in areas of potential inland acid sulfate soil occurrence, testing will be carried out to determine the actual presence of acid sulfate soils. This measure is especially applicable to areas on waterbodies where disturbance of sediments and surrounding soil is to occur. If acid sulfate soils are encountered, they will be managed in accordance with the Acid Sulfate Soil Manual (Acid Sulfate Soil Management Advisory Committee, 1998) and Guidelines for the Management of Acid Sulfate Materials: Acid Sulfate</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
	Soils, Acid Sulfate Rock and Monosulfidic Black Ooze (NSW Roads and Traffic Authority 2005b).		
C6	Prior to ground disturbance in high probability salinity areas, testing will be carried out to determine the presence of saline soils. If salinity is encountered, excavated soils will not be reused, and will be managed in accordance with Book 4 Dryland Salinity: Productive Use of Saline Land and Water NSW DECC, 2008c). Erosion controls will be implemented in accordance with the Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Volume 1 (DPIE, 2004).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
SE 1	A Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan will be implemented for the proposed modification. The plan will describe where information of the proposed modification is available, and contain a complaints management procedure, contact details for the person responsible for managing and resolving complaints, and non-English options.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
CC1	Transport will clearly communicate to construction contractor/s that there is expected to be an increased likelihood of extreme rainfall and wind events occurring during construction. The ordering of materials for, and breadth and scope of implementation of mitigation measures proposed as part of this Modification Report will take this into account. The delivery schedule will allow contingency for potential delays associated with extreme rainfall.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
CC7	Implement use of energy efficient LED lighting and low power mode options for other electrical equipment to reduce to reduce energy demand.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
GG1	GHG emissions will be reduced through the use of GreenPower and/or other renewable energy sources as part of the proposed modification electricity procurement. The proposed modification is targeting 100% renewable energy-sourced electricity for operations, and minimum 20% for construction	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
GG2	Solar construction lighting and variable message signs will be utilised during construction	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
GG4	Construction plant and equipment will be well maintained to allow for optimal fuel efficiency	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
GG5	Raw materials will be managed to reduce energy requirements for their processing. For example, stockpiled materials will be covered or provided undercover storage where possible to reduce moisture content of materials, and therefore the process and handling requirements	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
GG7	E10 bioethanol and B5 biodiesel will be utilised where feasible	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
W1	<p>A construction waste and resource management plan (CWRMP) will be prepared prior to construction and outline appropriate management procedures to be implemented during construction. It shall include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A procurement strategy to minimise unnecessary consumption of materials and waste generation • Identification of the waste types and volumes that are likely to be generated • Adherence to the waste management hierarchy principles of avoid/ reduce/ re-use/ recycle/ dispose • Classification of waste in accordance with the Waste Classification Guidelines (NSW EPA, 2014) • Waste management procedures to manage the segregation, handling, storage and disposal of waste, including unsuitable material or unexpected waste volumes, identification of re-use options for surplus materials, and identification of licensed waste disposal facilities to be used • Identification of reporting requirements and procedures for waste tracking required 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
W2	Wherever feasible and reasonable, construction materials will be sourced locally from within the Sydney region	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
W3	<p>A spoil management plan shall be prepared as part of, and in line with the CWRMP. The spoil management plan shall outline appropriate management procedures for the generation, management and importation of spoil. It shall include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procedures for testing and classification of spoil • Identification of spoil re-use options • Spoil stockpile management procedures • Licensed spoil disposal and re-use locations • Imported spoil sources and estimated volumes. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
W5	<p>Remaining vegetation that is not re-used onsite will be discussed with relevant council(s), Western Sydney Parklands Trust and Landcare groups and other relevant government agencies to determine if hollows, tree trunks, mulch, bush rock and root balls salvaged from native vegetation could be used by others in habitat enhancement, beneficial re-use and rehabilitation work, before pursuing other disposal options.</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR1	<p>A Work Health Safety Management Plan (WHSMP) will be prepared for the proposed modification. The WHSMP will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of the hazards and risks associated with construction activities • Risk management measures • Procedures to comply with legislative and industry standard requirements • Use of appropriate personal protective equipment • Contingency plans, as required • An incident response management plan • Training for all personnel (including subcontractors) including site inductions, the recognition and awareness of site hazards and the locations of relevant equipment to protect themselves and manage any spills. All staff will have the relevant training and certificates. 	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR2	<p>Measures to mitigate and manage bushfire risk will be developed and included as part of site-specific hazard and risk management measures within the WHSMP. Measures will include the maintenance of ancillary facilities in a tidy and orderly manner and the storage and management of dangerous goods</p>	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
	and hazardous materials in accordance with applicable legislation, policy, and Australian Standards.		
HR3	A Bushfire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan will be developed for the construction phase. The plan will outline stop work procedures and evacuation routes. The bushfire evacuation procedure within each plan will be completed in accordance with NSW RFS Guide to Developing a Bushfire Emergency Management and Evacuation Plan (2014)	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR4	Relevant works will be managed under a Hot Work and Fire Risk Work procedure. Where necessary essential hot works may be completed on a day declared to be a Total Fire Ban (TOBAN) providing it complies with the Hot Work and Fire Risk Work procedure exemption from the NSW RFS.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR6	An Incident Response Management Plan will be developed and implemented during construction. The response to incidents within the road will be managed in accordance with the memorandum of understanding between Roads and Maritime and the NSW Police Service, NSW Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire Brigade and other emergency services.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR7	Consultation with relevant utility providers will be undertaken to confirm the presence of utilities and refine potential utility adjustments and utility protection measures (with a view to avoiding impacts if possible and protecting or adjusting if required) during detailed design. The final construction methodology will consider any special measures required to avoid impacts on these services during construction, where possible.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR8	Storage, handling and use of dangerous goods and hazardous substances will be in accordance with the Work Health and Safety Act 2011 and the Storage and Handling of Dangerous Goods Code of Practice (WorkCover NSW, 2005).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR9	Storage areas for oils, fuels and other hazardous liquids will be located outside of identified floodprone areas identified in Section 6.2.1 of Appendix G (Surface water and flooding assessment). Secure, bunded areas will be provided around storage areas.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

No.	Mitigation Measure	Discussion	Consistent
HR10	A register and inventory of dangerous goods and hazardous substances will be kept at each storage location. This register will be maintained as part of an incident response management plan developed for the proposed modification. The register will include Safety Data Sheets which will be obtained for dangerous goods and hazardous substances prior to their delivery onsite and stored in an accessible place.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
HR11	All hazardous substances will be transported in accordance with relevant legislation and codes, including the Dangerous Goods (Road and Rail Transport) Regulation 2014 and the 'Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail' (National Transport Commission, 2020).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
Cu1	Consultation with other project owners, operators, and/ or contractors to understand construction programmes and ensure that conflicting requirements for access, traffic lane closures, high noise and vibration generating activities, and nightworks are avoided or minimised as much as reasonably practical, in order to prevent construction fatigue for local sensitive receptors. Communication with other project owners, operators, and/ or contractors should be an iterative process and continue throughout the construction phase. These management measures to prevent construction fatigue should be captured in the Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP). They should also be presented in the topic-specific environmental management plans, such as Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan (see Mitigation Measure T1) and Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP) (see Mitigation Measure NV1).	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes
Cu2	Clear communication will be undertaken with the community when required, which is coordinated with other projects so that similar projects retain consistent messaging and complaint mechanisms.	The Proposed Change would not impact on compliance with this measure.	Yes

Appendix B – Biodiversity Assessment

27th March 2025

Biodiversity Memo:
M7M12 Integration Project – CA028

Dear [REDACTED]

East Coast Ecology (ECE) was engaged by Transport for NSW (Transport) c/- John Holland Group (JHG) to conduct an ecological assessment of seven additional construction sites located outside of the approved construction footprint. The seven sites that were assessed are described below and presented in **Figure 1**:

- Site E & F: Next to either side of the M7, opposite gate S35, near Florence Street (**Figure 2**)
- Site G: Southbound exit ramp, south of gate S31 near the Great Western Highway (**Figure 3**)
- Site D: Next to M7 northbound lane, opposite gate S26 north of Old Wallgrove Road (**Figure 4**)
- Site B: Below the Western 'Kidney Bean', north of gate N25 near Old Wallgrove Road (**Figure 5**)
- Site C: Below the Eastern 'Kidney Bean', north of gate S25 near Old Wallgrove Road (**Figure 5**), and
- Site A: Next to M7 southbound lane, south of gate S25 near Austral Brick (**Figure 6**).

This memo has been prepared to identify potential ecological constraints associated with the sites. This assessment was used to determine if the changes would trigger Condition of Approval D3 and D4. Condition D3 requires that the impacts to plant community types (PCTs) or species credit species (Southern Myotis) must not exceed those listed in the Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) and Condition D4, unless approved by the Planning Secretary. This condition was relevant at the time of writing this document however is now redundant on approval of SSI-663 Modification 7. Condition D4 remains relevant on approval of Modification 7 however credits and PCT totals have changed on approval of SSI-663 Modification 7. These changes have no impact on this assessment.

1. METHODS

An inspection of the Subject Land was carried out on the 6th and 11th of March 2025 by Ecologist, Ethan Dean. The extent of native and exotic vegetation within the Subject Land was determined through a field assessment with the aid of a GPS-enabled tablet. During the inspections, the vegetation composition was assessed to determine if it aligned with the vegetation classes (PCT type and condition class) assigned to vegetation within the approved construction boundary in the BDAR (Niche, 2025), or to a new vegetation class is relevant. The inspections also involved searching for threatened species and their habitats, including hollow-bearing trees. Where inaccessible, sites were surveyed by a combination of drive-by assessments and aerial imagery.

1.1 Limitations

Due to restricted access, a 'drive-by' survey (i.e. survey whilst driving past in a vehicle) in combination with aerial imagery was used for Sites G, B and C. As a result, no targeted surveys for threatened species or their habitats were undertaken.




Figure 1. Location of Sites.

2. RESULTS

The ecological attributes within each site are summarised below (**Table 1**). Further details of the ecological assessment is provided in the following subsections.

Table 1. Summary of the ecological attributes for each site.

Site ID	Total site area (m ²)	Amount of PCT vegetation cleared (m ²)	PCT vegetation zone	Vegetation structure and types	Threatened species or habitat	Trees impacted
Site E & F	4,064	0	PCT 849 – Low Non-PCT vegetation	Native trees, shrubs and ground covers, native planted trees, exotic vegetation.	<i>Grevillea juniperina subsp. juniperina</i>	Yes
Site G	4,541	0	Non-PCT vegetation	Native planted trees and shrubs, exotic vegetation.	No	Yes
Site D	3,442	0	Non-PCT vegetation	Native planted trees and shrubs, exotic vegetation.	No	Yes
Site B	5,215	0	Non-PCT vegetation	Exotic vegetation.	No	No
Site C	5,560	0	Non-PCT vegetation	Native planted trees and shrubs, exotic vegetation.	No	Yes
Site A	11,123	0	Non-PCT vegetation	Native planted trees and shrubs, exotic vegetation.	No	Yes

2.1 Site E & F

Table 2. Ecological assessment results for Site E & F.

Site ID	E & F
Location	Next to either side of the M7, opposite gate S35, near Florence Street
Assessment type	Ground-truthed
PCT ID and vegetation zones	<u>PCT 849: Low</u> <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u>
Area (m²)	<u>PCT 849: Low</u> 314m ² within Site F although none will be impacted. <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> 1,236m ² <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> 276m ²
BC Act listed	<u>PCT 849: Low</u> Cumberland Plain Woodland in the Sydney Basin Bioregion (critically endangered) <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
EPBC Act listed	<u>PCT 849: Low</u> Does not conform <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
Description of vegetation	<u>PCT 849: Low</u> Characterised by a native canopy with minimal representation of native species in the shrub and ground cover layers. PCT 849 will not be impacted by the proposed works within Site F. The understory layer of PCT 849 has previously been removed for installation of the Shared User Path (SUP). There is sufficient clearance to move machinery along the SUP, meaning tree removal and branch trimming will not be occurring within PCT 849. <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. There was potential evidence of native plantings along the edge of the SUP and M7 (possibly for screening). <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. Since then, only exotic species have established.
Native species list	<i>Eucalyptus moluccana</i> , <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> , <i>Acacia parramattensis</i> , <i>Dichondra repens</i> .

Weed species list	<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i> , <i>Eragrostis curvula</i> , <i>Chloris gayana</i> , <i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>
Threatened species recorded	<i>Grevillea juniperina</i> subsp. <i>juniperina</i> was recorded adjacent to Site F but will not be impacted by these works.
Photo 1. PCT 849 (Low)	
Photo 2. Planted native vegetation	

Photo 3. *Grevillea juniperina* subsp. *juniperina* adjacent to Site F





Figure 2. Field-validated vegetation communities and threatened species in Site E & F.

2.2 Site G

Table 3. Ecological assessment results for Site G.

Site ID	G
Location	Southbound exit ramp, south of gate S31 near the Great Western Highway
Assessment type	Drive-by and aerial imagery
PCT ID and vegetation zones	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u>
Area (m²)	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation:</u> 1,504m ² <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic:</u> 373m ²
BC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
EPBC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
Description of vegetation	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. There was potential evidence of native plantings along the edge of the and M7 (possibly for screening). <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. Since then, only exotic species have established.
Native species list	<i>Corymbia maculata</i> , <i>Acacia cultriformis</i>
Weed species list	<i>Chloris gayana</i> .
Threatened species recorded	N/A

**Photo 1. Planted
native vegetation**






Figure 3. Field-validated vegetation communities in Site G.

2.3 Site D

Table 4. Ecological assessment results for Site D.

Site ID	D
Location	Next to M7 northbound lane, opposite gate S26 north of Old Wallgrove Rd
Assessment type	Ground-truthed
PCT ID and vegetation zones	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u>
Area (m²)	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation:</u> 1,692m ² <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic:</u> 487m ²
BC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
EPBC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
Description of vegetation	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. There was potential evidence of native plantings along the edge of the and M7 (possibly for screening). <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. Since then, only exotic species have established.
Native species list	<i>Corymbia maculata</i> , <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> , <i>Callistemon viminalis</i> .
Weed species list	<i>Chloris gayana</i> .
Threatened species recorded	N/A

**Photo 1. Planted
native vegetation**



**Photo 2. Exotic
vegetation**






Figure 4. Field-validated vegetation communities in Site D.

2.4 Site B

Table 5. Ecological assessment results for Site B.

Site ID	B
Location	Below the Western 'Kidney Bean', north of gate N25 near Old Wallgrove Rd
Assessment type	Drive-by and aerial imagery
PCT ID and vegetation zones	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u>
Area (m²)	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic:</u> 1,457m ²
BC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
EPBC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
Description of vegetation	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. Since then, only exotic species have established.
Native species list	N/A
Weed species list	<i>Chloris gayana</i> .
Threatened species recorded	N/A
Photo 1. Exotic vegetation	

2.5 Site C

Table 6. Ecological assessment results for Site C.

Site ID	C
Location	Below the Eastern 'Kidney Bean', north of gate S25 near Old Wallgrove Rd
Assessment type	Drive-by and aerial imagery
PCT ID and vegetation zones	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u>
Area (m²)	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation:</u> 640m ² <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic:</u> 2,060m ²
BC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
EPBC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
Description of vegetation	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. There was potential evidence of native plantings along the edge of the and M7 (possibly for screening). <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. Since then, only exotic species have established.
Native species list	<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> , <i>Eucalyptus fibrosa</i> , <i>Acacia parramattensis</i>
Weed species list	<i>Chloris gayana</i> .
Threatened species recorded	N/A

**Photo 1. Planted
native vegetation**



**Photo 2. Exotic
vegetation**






Figure 5. Field-validated vegetation communities in Site B & C.

2.6 Site A

Table 7. Ecological assessment results for Site A.

Site ID	A
Location	Next to M7 southbound lane, south of gate S25 near Austral Brick
Assessment type	Ground-truthed
PCT ID and vegetation zones	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u>
Area (m²)	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation:</u> 6,729m ² <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic:</u> 1,247m ²
BC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
EPBC Act listed	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> N/A <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> N/A
Description of vegetation	<u>Non-PCT vegetation: Planted native vegetation</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. There was potential evidence of native plantings along the edge of the and M7 (possibly for screening). <u>Non-PCT vegetation: Exotic</u> This area was cleared of vegetation around 2004 for the construction of the M7. Since then, only exotic species have established.
Native species list	<i>Corymbia maculata</i> , <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> , <i>Callistemon viminalis</i> .
Weed species list	<i>Chloris gayana</i> , <i>Opuntia</i> , spp.
Threatened species recorded	N/A

**Photo 1. Planted
native vegetation**



**Photo 2. Exotic
vegetation**







Figure 6. Field-validated vegetation communities in Site A.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Ecologist

E: [REDACTED]@ececology.com.au

REFERENCES

Niche (2022) Westlink M7 Widening, between M5 and Richmond Road, Biodiversity Development Assessment Report.

NSW Department of Climate Change Energy the Environment and Water (NSW DCCEEW) (2025a) BioNet. Vegetation Classification System

NSW Department of Climate Change Energy the Environment and Water (NSW DCCEEW) (2025b) The NSW State Vegetation Type Map



www.ececolology.com.au

Greater Sydney | Canberra | Central West

Appendix C – Relevant Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) Database Searches

Date: 04 April 2025

65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009


Attention: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]@jhgc.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.7894, 150.8537 - Lat, Long To : -33.7849, 150.8615, conducted by Mark Turner on 04 April 2025.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

2	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.

Date: 31 October 2024

65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009


Attention:

Email: @jhg.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.8287, 150.8484 - Lat, Long To : -33.8198, 150.8639, conducted by Mark Turner on 31 October 2024.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

2	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search


- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.

██████████
65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009
Attention: ██████████
Email: ██████████@jhg.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.8107, 150.8507 - Lat, Long To : -33.8096, 150.8526, conducted by Mark Turner on 31 October 2024.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

1	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.

Date: 31 October 2024

65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009


Attention:

Email: @jhg.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.8127, 150.8513 - Lat, Long To : -33.8115, 150.8533, conducted by Mark Turner on 31 October 2024.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

1	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.


Date: 30 October 2024

██████████
65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009
Attention: ██████████
Email: ██████████@jhg.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.8071, 150.8498 - Lat, Long To : -33.8049, 150.8537, conducted by Mark Turner on 30 October 2024.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

1	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.

Date: 30 October 2024

65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009


Attention:

Email: @jhg.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.7437, 150.8437 - Lat, Long To : -33.7393, 150.8514, conducted by Mark Turner on 30 October 2024.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

7	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.

Date: 30 October 2024

65 Pirrama Road
Pyrmont New South Wales 2009

Attention:

Email: @jhg.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.7453, 150.843 - Lat, Long To : -33.7409, 150.8507, conducted by Mark Turner on 30 October 2024.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

6	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.